PHILOSOPHICAL

ESSAYS

CONCERNING

Human Understanding.

By the AUTHOR of the

Essays Moral and Political.



LONDON:

Printed for A. MILLAR, opposite Katharine-Street, in the Strand. MDCCXLVIII.

Ess



ALTONOUS TO STATE

ATT CARLES AS

The and second

CONTENTS.

Essay I	. Of the different Species of Phil	080-
	РНУ.	p. 1.
II.	Of the ORIGIN of IDEAS.	21.
III.	Of the Connexions of Ideas.	31.
IV.	OPERATIONS of the UNDERSTA	
v.	Sceptical SOLUTION of these Dou	BTs. 69.
VI.	Of PROBABILITY.	93.
VII.	Of the IDEA of Power or Necess	ARY
	CONNEXION.	99.
		2TTT

Essay VIII. Of LIBERTY and NECESSITY.

p. 129.

IX. Of the REASON of ANIMALS. 165.

X. Of MIRACLES.

173.

23

En

kin Ad Se ot

fe th

th

S

q

90

XI. Of the PRACTICAL CONSEQUEN-CES of NATURAL RELIGION.

205.

XII. Of the SCEPTICAL or ACADEMI-CAL PHILOSOPHY. 232.

II. Offic Octore of peace

.transasaan 10

CHARAGE

Outhoritons Call Carles and Constitute

Southel Sonution of the Doubles

Yakets and to samo The Azalahi

203

ESSAY

or Chierarier not intraces from com-

ESSAY I.

Of the different Species of PHILOSOPHY.

ORAL Philosophy, or the Science of human Nature, may be treated after two different Manners; each of which has its peculiar Merit, and may contribute to the Entertainment, Instruction, and Reformation of Mankind. The one confiders Man chiefly as born for Action; and as influenc'd in his Actions by Tafte and Sentiment; pursuing one Object and avoiding another, according to the Value, which these Objects feem to poffess, and according to the Light, in which they present themselves. Virtue, of all Objects, is the most valuable and lovely; and accordingly this Species of Philosophers paint her in the most amiable Colours, borrowing all Helps from Poetry and Eloquence, and treating their Subject in an eafy and obvious Manner, fuch as is best sitted to please the Imagination, and engage the Affections. They felect the most most striking Observations and Instances from common Life; place opposite Characters in a proper Contrast; and alluring us into the Paths of Virtue, by the Views of Glory and of Happiness, direct our Steps into these Paths, by the soundest Precepts and most illustrious Examples. They make us feel the Difference betwixt Vice and Virtue; they excite and regulate our Sentiments; and so they can but bend our Hearts to the Love of Probity and true Honour, they think, that they have fully attain'd the End of all their Labours.

THE other Species of Philosophers treat Man rather as a reasonable than an active Being, and endeayour to form his Understanding more than cultivate his Manners. They regard Mankind as a Subject of Speculation; and with a narrow Scrutiny examine human Nature, in order to find those Principles, which regulate our Understandings, excite our Sentiments, and make us approve or blame any particular Object, Action, or Behaviour. They think it a Reproach to all Literature, that Philosophy should not yet have fixt, beyond Controverly, the Foundation of Morals, Reasoning, and Criticism; and should for ever talk of Truth and Falshood, Vice and Virtue Beauty and Deformity, without being able to determine the Source of these Distinctions. While they attempt this arduous Talk, they are deter'd by no IA Diffito geries to till to in every ed.
unint felve.
Wife for the latest the latest to geries to gerie

,79.

Diffic

will
the P
many
able,
into
tions
ate I
neare
On th
on a
Action

वेपित व

m-

he

ps

oft

e-

1-

ır

ey

Ш

1-

-

te

of

e

s,

i-

r

ř.

t

f

٢

t

Difficulties; but proceeding from particular Instances to general Principles, they still push on their Enquiries to Principles more general, and rest not satisfy'd till they arrive at those original Principles, by which, in every Science, all human Curiosity must be bounded. Tho' their Speculations seem abstract and even unintelligible to common Readers, they please themselves with the Approbation of the Learned and the Wise; and think they are sufficiently compensated for the Labours of their whole Lives, if they can discover some hidden Truths which may contribute to the Instruction of Posterity.

'T18 certain, that the easy and obvious Philosophy will always, with the Generality of Mankind, have the Preference to the accurate and abstrafe; and by many will be recommended, not only as more agreeable, but inore useful than the other. It enters more into common Life; moulds the Heart and Affections; and by touching those Principles, which actuate Men, reforms their Conduct, and brings them nearer that Model of Perfection, which it describes. On the contrary, the abstrufe Philosophy, being founded on a Turn of Mind that cannot enter into Bufiness and Action, vanishes when the Philosopher leaves the Shade and comes into open Day; nor can its Precepts and Principles eafily retain any Influence over our Con-The Feelings of our Sentiments, duct and Behaviour. A 2 the

Affections, dislipate all its Conclusions, and reduce the profound Philosopher to a mere Plebeian.

holder you at land the land the belong

THIS also must be confest, that the most durable, as well as justest Fame has been acquired by the easy Philosophy, and that abstract Reasoners seem hitherto to have enjoy'd only a momentary Reputation, from the Caprice or Ignorance of their own Age, but have not been able to support their Renown with more equitable Pofterity. 'Tis easy for a profound Philofopher to commit a Mistake in his subtile Reasonings; and one Mistake is the necessary Parent of another. while he pufnes on his Consequences, and is not deter'd from embracing any Conclusion, by its unusual Appearance, or its Contradiction to popular Opinion: But a Philosopher, who proposes only to represent the common Sense of Mankind in more beautiful and more engaging Colours, if by Accident he commits a Mistake, goes no farther; but renewing his Appeal to common Sense, and the natural Sentiments of the Mind, returns into the right Path, and secures himfelf from any dangerous Illusions. The Fame of Cicero flourishes at present; but that of Aristotle is utterly decay'd. La Bruyere passes the Seas, and still encreases in Renown: But the Glory of Malebranche is confin'd to his own Nation and to his own Age. band a fall out out of the section of our Specification,

And

1 con ing van mot wra fron mer efter and tirel terta to 1 bilit prese licac ness, ral R culti more

Mr. L deft R abftra

Man

e

0

n

e

e

3

1

2

1

2

1

e

0

-13

1

H

1

THE mere Philosopher is a Character, which is commonly but little acceptable in the World, as being suppos'd to contribute nothing either to the Advantage or Pleasure of Society; while he lives remote from Communication with Mankind, and is wrapt up in Principles and Notions equally remote from their Comprehension. On the other hand, the mere Ignorant is still more despis'd; nor is any thing esteem'd a surer Sign of an illiberal Genius, in an Age and Nation where the Sciences flourish, than to be entirely void of all Tafte and Relish for those noble Entertainments. The most perfect Character is suppos'd to lie betwixt those Extremes; retaining an equal Ability and Taste for Books, Company, and Business; preserving in Conversation that Discernment and Delicacy, which arise from polite Letters, and in Business, that Probity and Accuracy, which are the natural Refult of a just Philosophy. In order to diffuse and cultivate fo accomplisht a Character, nothing can be more useful than Compositions of the easy Style and Manner, which draw not too much from Life, require

This is not intended any way to detract from the Merit of Mr. Locke, who was really a great Philosopher, and a just and modest Reasoner. 'Tis only meant to shew the common Fate of such abstract Philosophy,

hended, and fend back the Student among Mankind full of noble Sentiments and wife Precepts, applicable to every Emergence of human Life. By means of fuch Compositions, Virtue becomes amiable, Science agreeable, Company instructive, and Retirement entertaining.

MAN is a reasonable Being; and as such, receives from Science his proper Food and Nourilhment: But To narrow are the Bounds of human Understanding, that little Satisfaction can be hop'd for, in this Particular, either from the Extent or Security of his Acquisitions. Man is a sociable, no less than a reasonanable Being: But neither can he always enjoy Company agreeable and amusing, or preserve the proper Relish of them. Man is also an active Being; and from that Disposition, as well as from the various Necessities of human Life, must submit to Business and Occupation: But the Mind requires some Relaxation, and cannot always support its Bent to Care and Industry. It feems, then, that Nature has pointed out a mixt kind of Life as most suitable to human Race, and fecretly admonish'd them to allow none of these Byaffes to draw too much, fo as to incapacitate them for other Occupations and Entertainments. Indulge your Passion for Science, says she; but let your Science be human, and such as may have a direct Reference to Action Ref the the and Diff Be

Hill

Aa

pred with ter, with enjoymen ever or very pro-

rablabft and atta

the

re-

nd

ole

of

ice

n-

res But

19,

ar-

lc-

12-

m-

per

nd

ous

nd

on, uf-

and

By-

for

our

be

to

ion

ring with single s

Action and Society. Abstruse Thought and profound Researches I prohibit, and will severely punish, by the pensive Melancholy, which they introduce, by the endless Uncertainty, in which they involve you, and by the cold Reception, which your pretended Discoveries will meet with, when communicated. Be a Philosopher; but amidst all your Philosophy, be still a Man.

WERE the Generality of Mankind contented to prefer the easy Philosophy to the abstract and prosound, without throwing any Blame or Contempt on the latter, it might not be improper, perhaps, to comply with this general Opinion, and allow every Man to enjoy, without Opposition, his own Taste and Sentiment. But as the Matter is often carry'd farther, even to the absolute rejecting all prosound Reasonings or what is commonly call'd Metaphysics, we shall now proceed to consider what can reasonably be pleaded in their Behalf.

We may begin with observing, that one considerable Advantage, which results from the accurate and abstract Philosophy, is, its Subserviency to the easy and humane, which, without the former, can never attain a sufficient Degree of Exactness, in its Sentiments, Precepts, or Reasonings. All polite Letters are nothing but Pictures of human Life in various At-

A 4

titudes

echuria.

titudes and Situations; and inspire us with different Sentiments of Praise or Blame, Admiration or Ridicule, according to the Qualities of the Object, which they fet before us. An Artist must be better qualify'd to facceed in this Undertaking, who, befides a delicate Tafte and a quick Apprehension, possesses an accurate Knowledge of the internal Fabric, the Operations of the Understanding, the Workings of the Pasfions, and the various Species of Sentiments, which discriminate Vice and Virtue. However painful this inward Search or Enquiry may appear, it becomes, in some measure, requisite to those, who would describe with Success the obvious and outward Appearances of Life and Manners. The Anatomist presents to the Eye the most hideous and disagreeable Objects ; but his Science is highly useful to the Painter in delineating even a Venus or an Helen. While the latter employs all the richest Colours of his Art, and gives his Figures the most graceful and engaging Airs; he must still carry his Attention to the inward Structure of the human Body, the Polition of the Muscles, the Fabric of the Bones, and the Use and Figure of every Part or Organ. Accuracy is, in every Case, advantageous to Beauty, and just Reasoning to delicate Sentiments. In vain would we exalt the one, by depreciating the other. rtuin a faincient Degree

mente, Precept, on Meafonings. All police Lecters in a sea Bag bas Peters of his in a fee in anchor Ac-

Bi

fellio

that a

all of

more

tho'

cultiv

the v

every

great

balla

finer

more

in h

dern

racy bably

Stud

riofit

one

fures

est :

the A

can

or c

bea

nt

i-

h

d

r

10

.

£

h

13

.

-

S

3

r

e

Y

-

BESIDES, we may observe, in every Art or Profession, even those which most concern Life or Action, that a Spirit of Accuracy, however acquir'd, carries all of them nearer their Perfection, and renders them more subservient to the Interests of Society. And tho' a Philosopher may live remote from Business and Employment, the Genius of Philosophy, if carefully cultivated by feveral, must gradually diffuse itself thro the whole Society, and bestow a similar Accuracy on every Art and Calling. The Politician will acquire greater Forefight and Subtilty, in the fubdividing and ballancing of Power; the Lawyer more Method and finer Principles in his Reasonings; and the General more Regularity in his Discipline, and more Caution in his Plans and Operations. The Stability of modern Governments above the antient, and the Accuracy of modern Philosophy, have improv'd, and probably will ftill improve, by fimilar Gradations.

Were there no Advantage to be reap'd from these Studies beyond the Gratification of an innocent Curiosity, yet ought not even this to be despis'd; as being one Accession to those sew safe and harmlest Pleasures, which are bestow'd on human Race. The sweetest and most inossensive Path of Life leads thro' the Avenues of Science and Learning; and whoever can either remove any Obstructions in this Way, or open up any new Prospect, ought so far to be A 5 esteem'd

esteem'd a Benefactor to Mankind. And the' these Refearches may appear painful and fatiguing; 'tis with fome Minds as with fome Bodies, which, being endow'd with vigorous and florid Health, require fevere Exercise, and reap a Pleasure from what, to the Generality of Mankind, may feem burthensome and laborious. Obscurity, indeed, is painful to the Mind as well as to the Eye; but to bring Light from Obscurity, by whatever Labour, must needs be delightful and rejoicing. Mo and the state of the s

has mainivided out as the

But this Obscurity, in the profound and abstract Philosophy, is objected to, not only as painful and disagreeable, but as the inevitable Source of Uncertainty and Error. Here indeed lie the justest and most plausible Objection against a considerable Part of Metaphysics, that they are not properly a Science, but arise either from the fruitless Efforts of human Vanity, which would penetrate into Subjects utterly inaccessible to the Understanding, or from the Craft of popular Superflitions, which, being unable to defend themselves on fair Ground, raise these entangling Brambles to cover and protect their Weakness. Chac'd from the open Country, these Robbers fly into the Forest, and lie in wait to break in upon every unguarded Avenue of the Mind, and over-whelm it with religious Fears and Prejudices. The stoutest Antagonift, if he remits his Watch a Moment, is opprest: And

Gal wit reig

An

1 defi ftill 80 the Red Me ban Pro Per cal of

> city unl wil ftin his

the

ten

tha

alo

atc

efe:

'tis

ing

fe-

the

ind

)b-

ht-

100

act

nd:

er-

nd

of

ce,

a-

in-

aft

le-

ng

b':

he

n-

th

0-

t:

nd

rioufly

And many, thro' Cowardice and Folly, open the Gates to the Enemies, and willingly receive them with Reverence and Submission, as their legal Sovereigns.

tiven, in order to live as abled wher after a

But is this a just Cause why Philosophers should defift from fuch Researches, and leave Superstition still in Possession of her Retreat? Is it not reasonable to draw a direct contrary Conclusion, and perceive the Necessity of carrying the War into the most fecret Recesses of the Enemy? In vain do we hope, that Men, from frequent Disappointments, will at last abandon fuch airy Sciences, and discover the proper Province of human Reason. For besides, that many Persons find too sensible an Interest in perpetually reealling fuch Topics; befides this, I fay, the Motive of blind Despair can never reasonably have place in the Sciences; fince, however unsuccessful former Attempts may have prov'd, there is still room to hope, that the Industry, Good-fortune, or improv'd Sagacity of succeeding Generations may reach Discoveries unknown to former Ages. Each adventurous Genius will still leap at the arduous Prize, and find himself stimulated, rather than discourag'd, by the Failures of his Predecessors; while he hopes, that the Glory of atchieving fo hard an Adventure is referv'd for him alone. The only Method of freeing Learning, at once, from these abstruse Questions, is to enquire se-

A 6

riously into the Nature of human Understanding, and fhew, from an exact Analysis of its Powers and Capacity, that it is, by no means, fitted for fuch remote and abstruse Subjects. We must submit to this Fatigue, in order to live at Ease ever after: And must cultivate true Metaphysics with some Care, in order to destroy the false and adulterate. Indolence, which, to fome Perfons, affords a Safeguard against this deceitful Philosophy, is, with others, over-ballanc'd by Curiofity; and Despair, which, at some Moments, prevails, may give place afterwards to fanguine Hopes and Expectations. Accurate and just Reasoning is the only eatholic Remedy, fitted for all Persons and all Dispositions, and is alone able to subvert that abstrufe Philosophy and metaphysical Jargon, which, being mixt up with popular Superfition, renders it, in a manner, impenetrable to careless Reasoners, and gives it the Air of Science and Wisdom.

Enquiry, the most uncertain and disagreeable Part of Learning, there are many positive Advantages, which result from an accurate Scrutiny into the Powers and Faculties of human Nature. 'Tis remarkable concerning, the Operations of the Mind, that tho' most intimately present to us, yet whenever they become the Object of Reslection, they seem involv'd in Obscurity, nor can the Eye readily find those Lines and Boundaries, which discriminate and distinguish them. The Objects are

too

too

tion

Sup

and

the

kno

rate

pro

ord

ject

ing

for

our

the

fict

ing

Ge

Pov far

pea

con

all

tert

all!

ed,

8

t

F

S

3

F

e

3

8

10

e.

£.

2

Tu g,

7

£

2

h

C

0

gaines.

too fine to remain long in the same Aspect or Situation; and must be apprehended, in an Instant, by a Superior Subtilty and Penetration, deriv'd from Nature. and improv'd by Habit and Reflection. It becomes, therefore, no inconfiderable Part of Science barely to know the different Operations of the Mind, to separate them from each other, to class them under their proper Divisions, and to correct all that seeming Disorder, in which they lie involv'd, when made the Object of Reflection and Enquiry. This Task of ordering and diffinguishing, which has no Merit, when perform'd with regard to external Bodies, the Objects of our Senses, rises in its Value, when directed towards the Operations of the Mind, in proportion to the Difficulty and Labour, which we meet with in performing it. And if we can go no farther than this mental Geography or Delineation of the diffinct Parts and Powers of the Mind, 'tis at least a Satisfaction to go for far; and the more contemptible this Science may appear (and it is by no means contemptible) the more contemptible still must the Ignorance of it appear, in all Pretenders to Learning and Philosophy.

Non can there remain any Suspicion, that this Science is uncertain and chimerical; unless we should entertain such a Scepticism, as is entirely subversive of all Speculation, and even Action. It cannot be doubted ed, that the Mind is endow'd with feveral Powers and

Faculties.

Faculties, that these Powers are totally distinct from each other, that what is really distinct to the immediate Perception may be diffinguish'd by Reflection : and confequently, that there is a Truth and Falshood in all Propositions on this Subject, and a Truth and Falshood, which lies not beyond the Compass of human Understanding. There are many obvious Difinctions of this kind, such as those betwixt the Will and Understanding, the Imagination and Passions. which fall within the Comprehension of every human Creature; and the finer and more philosophical Di-Ainctions are no less real and certain, tho' more difficult to be comprehended. Some Instances, especially late ones, of Success in these Enquiries, may give us a juster Notion of the Certainty and Solidity of this Branch of Learning. And shall we esteem it worthy the Labour of a Philosopher to give us a true System of the Planets, and adjust the Position and Order of those remote Bodies; while we affect to overlook those, who, with fo much Success, delineate and describe the Parts of the Mind, in which we are so intimately concern'd * 2 dollas bus sources do are cores

Bur

ti

di

ar

at

te

tat

to

fan

Pe

tio

non

Qb

nev

phe

has

cem

-158 and their routines was noticed that Sel-

That Faculty, by which we discern Truth and Falshood, and that by which we perceive Vice and Virtue had long been confounded with each other, and all Morality was supposed to be built on eternal and immutable Relations, which to every intelligent Mind were equally invariable as any Proposition concerning

n

20

3 d

d

10 1-

11

8, n

-

y

15

15

y

f e

,

e

y

T

d,

en:

to:

1-

1ng

Bur may we not hope, that Philosophy, if cultivated with Care, and encourag'd by the Attention of the Public, may carry its Researches still farther, and discover, at least in some degree, the secret Springs. and Principles, by which the human Mind is actuated in its Operations? Astronomers had long contented themselves with proving, from the Phænomena,

cerning Quantity or Number. But a + late Philosopher has taught us, by the most convincing Arguments, that Morality is nothing in the abstract Nature of Things, but is entirely relative to the Sentiment or mental Tafte of each particular Being; in the fame Manner as the Distinctions of sweet and bitter, hot and cold, arise from the particular Feeling of each Sense or Organ. Moral Perceptions therefore, ought not to be class'd with the Operations of the Understanding, but with the Tastes or Sentiments.

It had been usual with Philosophers to divide all the Passions of the Mind into two Classes, the felfish and benevolent, which were suppos'd to stand in constant Opposition and Contrariety; nor was it thought that the latter could ever attain their proper. Object but at the Expence of the former. Among the felfish Pasfions were rank'd Avarice, Ambition, Revenge: Among the benevolent, natural Affection, Friendship, public Spirit. Philosophers may now & perceive the Impropriety of this Division. It has been prov'd, beyond all Controversy, that even the Passions, commonly esteem'd selfish, carry the Mind beyond Self, directly

The state of the s

ale tone listy on wail was on that all

mena, the true Motions, Order, and Magnitude of the heavenly Bodies: Till a Philosopher, at last, arose, who seems, from the happiest Reasoning, to have also determin'd the Laws and Forces, by which their Revolutions are govern'd and directed. The like has been perform'd with regard to other Parts of Nature. And there is no Reason to despair of equal Success in our Enquiries concerning the mental Powers and Oeconomy, if prosecuted with equal Capacity and Caution. 'Tis probable, that one Operation and Principle of the Mind depends on another; which, again, may be resolv'd into one more general and universal: And how far these Researches may possibly be carry'd, 'twill be difficult for us, before, or even after, a care-

June 14 , angele par death of the golden all and and offer all

ful

Atte

thof

noth

the

that,

Ran

it m

and

defi

how

lue

fitio

whe

fear

riety

hav

Paf

ever

ing

Vic

like

eve

getl

Acc

the

up :

and

dies

tions of the Underflanding, but with the Teles or bestiquents, to the Object; that tho' the Satisfaction of these Passions gives us Enjoyment, yet the Prospect of this Enjoyment is not the Cause of the Passion, but on the contrary the Passion is antecedent to the Enjoyment, and without the former, the latter could" never possibly exist; that the Case is precisely the same with the Passions, denominated benevolent, and consequently that a Man is no more interested when he seeks his own Glory than when the Happinels of his Friend is the Object of his Wishes; nor is he any more difinterested when he sacrifices his Ease and Quiet to ! public Good than when he labours for the Gratification of Avarice and Ambition. Here therefore is a confiderable Adjustment? in the Boundaries of the Passions, which had been confounded by the Negligence or Inaccuracy of former Philosophers. These two Instances may suffice to show us the Nature and Importance of this Species of Philosophy.

Personions therefore, toght and to be claft if with the Open-

Of the different Species of PHILOSOPHY. ful Tryal, exactly to determine. This is certain, that Attempts of this Kind are every day made even by those, who philosophize the most negligently; and nothing can be more requisite than to enter upon the Enterprize with thorough Care and Attention; that, if it lie within the Compass of human Underflanding, it may at last be happily atchiev'd; if not, it may, however, be rejected with fome Confidence and Security. This last Conclusion, surely, is not defirable, nor ought it to be embrac'd too rashly. For how much must we diminish from the Beauty and Value of this Species of Philosophy, upon such a Suppofition? Moralists have hitherto been accustom'd, when they consider'd the vast Multitude and Diversity of Actions, that excite our Approbation or Dislike, to fearch for fome common Principle, on which this Variety of Sentiments might depend. And tho' they have fometimes carry'd the Matter too far, by their Passion for some one general Principle; it must, however, be confest, that they are excusable, in expecting to find some general Principles, into which all the Vices and Virtues were justly to be refolv'd. The like has been the Endeavour of Critics, Logicians, and even Politicians: Nor have their Attempts been altogether unfuccessful; tho' perhaps longer Time, greater Accuracy, and more ardent Application may bring these Sciences still nearer their Perfection. To throw up at once all Pretentions of this Kind may be juftly b'meshe ag profested Baquiry, with Claumes, and

0.0

0

ALUX T

effeem'd more rath, precipitate, and dogmatical, than even the boldest and most affirmative Philosophy, which has ever attempted to impose its crude Dictates and Principles on Mankind. the American in thorsugh One and Attention ;

that, if it he within the Compain of human Under-

WHAT tho' those Reasonings concerning human Nature feem abstract, and of difficult Comprehension? This affords no Prefumption of their Falthood. On the contrary, it feems impossible, that what has hitherto escap'd so many wise and profound Philosophers can be very obvious and easy. And whatever Pains these Researches may cost us, we may think ourselves sufficiently rewarded, not only in point of Profit but of Pleasure, if, by that means, we can make any Addition to our Stock of Knowledge, in Subjects of fuch unspeakable Importance.

Bur as, after all, the Abstractedness of these Speculations is no Recommendation, but rather a Difadvartage to them, and as this Difficulty may perhaps be furmounted by Care and Art, and the avoiding all unnecessary Detail, we have, in the following Esfays, attempted to throw fome Light upon Subjects, from which Uncertainty has hitherto deter'd the Wife, and Obscurity the Ignorant. Happy, if we can unite the Boundaries of the different Species of Philosophy, by reconciling profound Enquiry, with Clearness, and

Truth

Trut

fonis

Fou

to h

tion

han

phy,

ates

Sick L

on on one on one of the off the off

\$ L S 11

d. e Truth with Novelty! And still more happy, if, reafoning in this easy Manner, we can undermine the Foundations of an abstructe Philosophy, which seems to have serv'd hitherto only as a Shelter to Superstition and a Cover to Absurdity and Error!

ESSAY

Of the different Species of Englished experience of the with Mevally! And, fall trong larger, if, yethoning in this cay Manage, we can undermite the language of an element this larger, Michael Mercher to have lerved hisherto only as a Shelter to Cuperification and a Cover to Abfurdley and Error!

in the control of the

•

4 作品中国18世纪19世代的国际19世纪19

CHRISTIAN CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR

the same additional content of the state of

White Billiam and to be her also not be here

TARREST TO STATE OF THE STATE O

her the frame of the party to the first the second above the local

STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE SECURE OF THE PARTY OF THE PART

REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY

Hittelian in the company of the arrange to be

de the parison of the state of the

District and the second between

. It was the way

of the Heat he af antic

but to vacit

ner, exce

is, th

rend All

ver the

1

ESSAY II.

s pi . selvi-A . I that it wis to sand sevel melle with

me the and Performs in Cave, I only under

or rollenide il a continuon o di

Of the ORIGIN of IDEAS.

VERY one will readily allow, that there is a Considerable Difference betwixt the Perceptions of the Mind, when a Man feels the Pain of excessive Heat or the Pleasure of moderate Warmth, and when he afterwards recalls to his Memory this Sensation, or anticipates it by his Imagination. These Faculties may mimick or copy the Perceptions of the Senses; but they never can reach entirely the Force and Vivacity of the original Sentiment. The utmost we say of them, even when they operate with greatest Vigour, is, that they represent their Object in so lively a Manner, that we could almost say we feel or see it : But, except the Mind be disorder'd by Disease or Madness, they never can arrive at fuch a pitch of Vivacity as to render these Perceptions altogether undistinguishable. All the Colours of Poetry, however splendid, can never paint natural Objects in such a manner as to make the Description be taken for a real Landskip. The most

most lively Thought is still inferior to the dullest Senfation.

We may observe a like Distinction to run thro' all the other Perceptions of the Mind. A Man, in a Fit of Anger, is actuated in a very different Manner from one, who only thinks of that Emotion. If you tell me, that any Person is in Love, I easily understand your Meaning, and form a just Conception of his Situation; but never can mistake that Conception for the real Disorders and Agitations of the Passion. When we restect on all our past Sentiments and Affections, our Thought is a faithful Mirror, and copies its Objects truly; but the Colours it employs are faded and dead, in comparison of those, in which our original Perceptions were cloth'd. It requires no nice Discernment nor metaphysical Head to mark the Distinction betwixt them.

HERE therefore we may divide all the Perceptions of the Mind into two Classes or Species, which are distinguish'd by their different Degrees of Force and Vivacity. The less forcible and lively are commonly denominated Thoughts or Ideas. The other Species want a Name in our Language, and in most others; I suppose, because it was not requisite for any, but philosophical Purposes, to rank them under a general Term or Appellation. Let us, therefore, use a little Freedom, and call them IMPRESSIONS, employing

ng the
ufual.
our n
or fee
preffic
the le
we re
above

No bound escape even ality. and A ceive while it cre in an of the the un in tot of m the P lute (

Liber that

(51)

1-

it

m

11

id i-

'n

1.

ts

d

•

8

3

è

d

2)

-

2

a

ing that Word in a Sense somewhat different from the insual. By the Term, Impressions, then, we mean all our more lively Perceptions, when we hear, or see, or feel, or love, or hate, or desire, or wilk. And Impressions are contradistinguish'd from Ideas, which are the less lively Perceptions we are conscious of, when we restect on any of these Sensations or Movements above mention'd.

Norhing, at first View, may feem more unbounded than the Thought of Man, which not only escapes all human Power and Authority, but is not even restrain'd within the Limits of Nature and Reality. To form Monsters, and join incongruous Shapes and Appearances costs it no more Trouble than to conceive the most natural and familiar Objects. while the Body is confin'd to one Planet, along which it creeps with Pain and Difficulty; the Thought can in an Instant transport us into the most distant Regions of the Universe; or even beyond the Universe, into the unbounded Chaos, where Nature is suppos'd to lie in total Confusion. What never was seen, nor heard of may yet be conceiv'd; nor is any thing beyond the Power of Thought, except what implies an absolute Contradiction. narrower Structury, to be derived

But the Thought feems to possess this unbounded Liberty, we shall find, upon a nearer Examination, that it is really confin'd within very narrow Limits,

and that all this creative Power of the Mind amounts to no more than the compounding, transposing, augmenting, or diminishing the Materials afforded us by the Senses and Experience. When we think of a golden Mountain, we only join two confistent Ideas, Gold, and Mountain, with which we were formerly acquainted. A virtuous Horse we can conceive; because, from our own Feeling, we can conceive Virtue, and this we may unite to the Figure and Shape of a Horse, which is an Animal familiar to us. In short all the Materials of thinking are deriv'd either from our outward or inward Sentiment: The Mixture and Composition of these belongs alone to the Mind and Or to express myself in more philosophical Language, all our Ideas or more feeble Perceptions are Copies of our Impressions or more lively ones.

To prove this, the two following Arguments will, I hope, be sufficient. First, When we analyse our Thoughts or Ideas, however compounded or sublime, we always find, that they resolve themselves into such simple Ideas as were copy'd from a precedent Feeling or Sentiment. Even those Ideas, which, at first View, seem the most wide of this Origin, are sound, upon a narrower Scrutiny, to be deriv'd from it. The Idea of God, as meaning an infinitely intelligent, wise, and good Being, arises from resecting on the Operations of our own Mind, and angmenting those Quali-

ties

ties o

mit.

we pl

Idea

Thof

folute

one,

ducir

riv'd

us, i

Imp

to it

S

Org Seni

tible

forn

Refl

you

Diff

the.

tion

lan

An

cien

is a

bel

ints

ug-

by

zol-

eas,

rly

be-

7ir-

of

ort

om

ind

nd

cal

ons

M.

II,

ur

le,

ch

ng

W,

la

ea

e,

a-

1-

es

ties of Goodness and Wisdom, without Bound or Limit. We may prosecute this Enquiry to what Length we please; where we shall always find, that every Idea we examine is copy'd from a similar Impression. Those, who would assert, that this Position is not absolutely universal and without Exception, have only one, and that an easy Method of resuting it, by producing that Idea, which, in their Opinion, is not deriv'd from this Source. It will then be incumbent on us, if we would maintain our Doctrine, to produce the Impression or lively Perception, that corresponds to it.

SECONDLY. If it happen, from a Defect of the Organ, that a Man is not susceptible of any Species of Sensations, we always find, that he is as little susceptible of the correspondent Ideas. A blind Man can form no Notion of Colours; a deaf Man of Sounds. Restore either of them that Sense, in which he is deficient; by opening this new Inlet for his Sensations, you also open an Inlet for the Ideas, and he finds no Difficulty of conceiving these Objects. The Case is the same if the Object, proper for exciting any Sensation, has never been apply'd to the Organ. A Laplander or Negro has no Notion of the Rolish of Wine. And tho' there are few or no Instances of a like Deficiency in the Mind, where a Person has never felt or is altogether incapable of a Sentiment or Passion, that belongs to his Species; yet we find the same Observation to take place in a leffer Degree. A Man of mild Manners can form no Notion of inveterate Revenge or Cruelty; nor can a felfish Heart easily conceive the Heights of Friendship and Generosity. 'Tis readily allow'd, that other Beings may possess many Senses, of which we can have no Conception; because the Ideas of them have never been introduc'd to us in the only Manner, by which an Idea can have access to the Mind, viz. by the actual Feeling and Sensation.

THERE is, however, one contradictory Phænomenon, which may prove, that 'tis not absolutely impossible for Ideas to go before their correspondent Impressions. I believe it will readily be allow'd, that the several distinct Ideas of Colours, which enter by the Eyes, or those of Sounds, which are convey'd by the Hearing, are really different from each other; tho', at the same time, resembling. Now if this be true of different Colours, it must be no less so, of the different Shades of the same Colour; and each Shade produces a distinct Idea, independent of the rest. For if this should be deny'd, 'tis possible, by the continual Gradation of Shades, to run a Colour infensibly into what is most remote from it; and if you will not allow any of the Means to be different, you cannot, without Absurdity, deny the Extremes to be the fame. Suppose, therefore, a Person to have enjoy'd his Sight for thirty Years, and to have become perfectly well acquainted

acqu parti has b rent plac' deep a Bla fible, twixt I afk. Imag to his had a believ can; Ideas the co partic fervin alter (

feems, perly intelligions to drawn abstrace

Mind

ild

or

the

ily

es,

the

the

to

ne-

m-

m-

hat

by

by

er:

be

the

ade

For

ual

nto

al-

not,

ne.

ght

vell

ited

acquainted with Colours of all kinds, excepting one particular Shade of Blue, for Instance, which it never has been his fortune to meet with. Let all the different Shades of that Colour, except that fingle one, be plac'd before him, descending gradually from the deepest to the lightest; 'tis plain, that he will perceive a Blank, where that Shade is wanting, and will be fenfible, that there is a greater Distance in that Place betwixt the contiguous Colours than in any other. Now I ask, whether 'tis possible for him, from his own Imagination, to supply this Deficiency, and raife up to himself the Idea of that particular Shade, tho' it had never been convey'd to him by his Senses? I believe there are few but will be of Opinion that he can; and this may ferve as a Proof, that the simple Ideas are not always, in every Instance, deriv'd from the correspondent Impressions; tho' this Instance is so particular and fingular, that 'tis scarce worth our obferving, and does not merit, that for it alone we should alter our general Maxim.

Here, therefore, is a Proposition, which not only seems, in itself, simple and intelligible; but, if properly employ'd, might render every Dispute equally intelligible, and banish all that Jargon, which has so long taken possession of metaphysical Reasonings, and drawn such Disgrace upon them: All Ideas, especially abstract ones, are naturally faint and obscure: The Mind has but a stender Hold of them: They are apt

to be confounded with other refembling Ideas: And when we have often employ'd any Term, tho' without a distinct Meaning, we are apt to imagine it has a determinate Idea, annex'd to it. On the contrary, all Impressions, that is, all Sensations, either outward or inward, are firong and fenfible: The Limits betwixt them are more exactly determin'd: Nor is it easy to fall into any Error or Mistake with regard to them. When therefore we entertain any Suspicion, that a philosophical Term is employ'd without any Meaning or Idea (as is but too frequent) we need but enquire. from what Impression is that Suppos'd Idea deriv'd? And if it be impossible to assign any, this will serve to confirm our Suspicion. By bringing Ideas into fo clear a Light, we may reasonably hope to remove all Dispute, that may arise, concerning their Nature and Reality the correspondent Impressions; the' the

Tis probable, that no more was meant by those, who deny'd innate Ideas, than that all our Ideas were Copies of our Impressions; tho' it must be confess'd; that the Terms they employ'd were not chosen with such Caution, nor so exactly defin'd as to prevent all Mistakes about their Doctrine. For what is meant by innate? If innate be equivalent to natural, then all the Perceptions and Ideas of the Mind must be allow'd to be innate or natural, in whatever Sense we take the latter Word, whether in Opposition to what is uncommon, artificial, or miraculous. If by innate be meant, cotemporary to our Birth, the Dispute seems to be frivolous; nor is it worth while to enquire a subat time Thinking begins, whether before, at, or after our Birth. 'Again, the Word, Idea, seems to be commonly take

1

Thou be me

inav

above copy's

To
Locke
makin
tediou
like A
Breat M

in a very loose Sense, even by Mr. Locke himself, as standing for any of our Perceptions, our Sensations and Passions, as well as Thoughts. Now in this Sense I would desire to know, what can be meant by afferting, that Self-Love, or Resentment of Injuries, or the Passion betwixt the Sexes is not innate?

nd

ut

e-

all

or

ixt

to.

m.

t a

ing

ire.

e to
fo
e all
and

they dewhat hen all be inwheniracuhe Dif-

takes

But admitting these Terms, Impressions and Ideas, in the Sense above explain'd, and understanding by innate what is original or copy'd from no precedent Perception, then may we affert, that all our Impressions are innate, and our Ideas not innate.

To be ingenuous, I must own it to be my Opinion, that Mr. Locke was betray'd into this Question by the Schoolmen, who making use of undefin'd Terms, draw out their Disputes to a tedious Length, without ever touching the Point in Question. A like Ambiguity and Circumsocution seems to run thro' all that Breat Man's Reasonings on this Subject.

B 3

ESSAY

dea Me

Bullet of the second second second second

AND THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF

The second second second property and the second se

THE SPANSE SECTION OF STRAIGH

BOOK STORY THE THE STORY OF THE STORY OF THE STORY

THE RESIDENCE OF THE RESIDENCE

A CASE AND A STATE OF THE STATE

wit our fen

> in 1 me wil

Dre nat

the

Ide and imn

it in Per

ESSAY III.

Of the Connexion of IDEAS.

IS evident, that there is a Principle of Connexion betwixt the different Thoughts or Ideas of the Mind, and that in their Appearance to the Memory or Imagination, they introduce each other with a certain Degree of Method and Regularity. In our more ferious Thinking or Difcourse, this is so obfervable, that any particular Thought, which breaks in upon this regular Tract or Chain of Ideas, is immediately remark'd and rejected. And even in our wildest and most wandering Reveries, nay in our very Dreams, we shall find, if we reflect, that the Imagination run not altogether at Adventures, but that there was still a Connexion upheld among the different Ideas, which succeeded each other. Were the loosest and freest Conversation to be transcrib'd, there would immediately be observ'd something, which connected it in all its Transitions. Or where this is wanting, the Person, who broke the Thread of Discourse, might B 4

Mind a Succession of Thought, which had gradually led him away from the Subject of Conversation. Atmongst the Languages of different Nations, even where we cannot suspect the least Connexion or Communication, 'tis found, that the Words, expressive of the most compound Ideas, do yet nearly correspond to each other: A certain Proof, that the simple Ideas, which they express, were bound together by some universal Principle, which had an equal Instance on all Mankind.

Tho' it be too obvious to escape our Observation, that different Ideas are connected together; I do not find, that any Philosopher has attempted to enumerate or class all the Principles of Connexion; a Subject, however, that seems very worthy of our Curiosity. To me, there appears to be only three Principles of Connexion among Ideas, viz. Resemblance, Contiguity in Time or Place, and Cause or Esset.

THAT these Principles serve to connect Ideas will not, I believe, be much doubted. A Picture naturally leads our Thoughts to the Original *: The Mention of one Apartment in a Building naturally introduces an Enquiry or Discourse concerning the others †: And if

of Perfect Coulon plain tonactive the

we

· Resemblance,

† Contiguity?

1112

we th on th mera ciples prove Man' Cafes caref Thou der t Inflan the n mera pleat this k tilitie

As in Pathe Com

Conn we 1

tertai other

howe

his

lly

A-

re

ni-

he

to

IS,

ne

n

n,

e t

29

of

7

· ·

1

y. f.

2

£

e

we think of an Wound, we can scarce forbear reflecting. on the Pain, which follows it §. But that this Enumeration is compleat, and that there are no other Principles of Connexion, except these, may be difficult to prove to the Satisfaction of the Reader, or even to a Man's own Satisfaction. All we can do, in fuch Cases, is to run over several Inflances, and examine carefully the Principle, that binds the different Thoughts to each other, never stoping till we render the Principle as general as possible. The more Inflances we examine, and the more Care we employ, the more Affurance shall we acquire, that the Enumeration which we form from the Whole, is compleat and entire. Instead of entering into a Detail of this kind, which would lead us into many useless Subtilities, we shall consider some of the Esfects of this Connexion upon the Passions and Imagination; where we may open up a Field of Speculation more entertaining, and perhaps more instructive, than the other.

As Man is a reasonable Being, and is continually in Pursuit of Happiness, which he hopes to find in the Gratification of some Passion or Affection, he seldom acts or speaks or thinks without a Purpose and Intention. He has still some Object in View; and however improper the Means may sometimes be,

B 4 which

[&]amp; Cause and Effect.

which he chuses for the Attainment of his End, he never loses View of an End, nor will he so much as throw away his Thoughts or Resections, where he hopes not to reap any Satisfaction from them.

In all Compositions of Genius, therefore, 'tis requisite that the Writer have some Plan or Object; and tho' he may be hurry'd from this Plan by the Vehemence of Thought, as in an Ode, or drop it careless, as in an Epistle or Essay, there must appear some Aim or Intention, in his first setting out, if not in the Composition of the whole Work. A Production without a Design would resemble more the Ravings of a Madman, than the sober Essorts of Genius and Learning.

As this Rule admits of no Exception, it follows, that in narrative Compositions, the Events or Actions, which the Writer relates, must be connected together, by some Bond or Tye: They must be related to each other in the Imagination, and form a kind of Unity, which may bring them under one Plan or View, and which may be the Object or End of the Writer in his first Undertaking.

This connecting Principle among the feveral Events, which form the Subject of a Poem or History may, figns Plan Ever racul of hi

tenti

write would in The Portice hender

amid

Bridiffer positification florial natur Prince quen of the

deav

ne:

1 23

he

re-

a:

re-

ear

not

uc-

av-

ius

ns,

er,

ch

ty,

nd

his

E-

ry

ıy,

may be very different, according to the different Defigns of the Poet or Historian. Ovid has form'd his Plan upon the connecting Principle of Resemblance. Every fabulous Transformation, produc'd by the miraculous Power of the Gods, falls within the Compass of his Work. There needs but this one Circumstance in any Event to bring it under his original Plan or Intention.

An Annalist or Historian, who should undertake to write the History of Europe during any Century, would be influenc'd by the Connexion of Contiguity in Time or Place. All Events, which happen in that Portion of Space, and Period of Time, are comprehended in his Design, tho' in other respects different and unconnected. They have still a Species of Unity, amidst all their Diversity.

But the most usual Species of Connexion among the different Events, which enter into any narrative Composition, is that of Cause and Effect; while the Historian traces the Series of Actions according to their natural Order, remounts to their secret Springs and Principles, and delineates their most remote Confequences. He chuses for his Subject a certain Portion of that great Chain of Events, which compose the History of Mankind; Each Link in this Chain he endeavours to touch in his Narration: Sometimes, undeavours to touch in his Narration: Sometimes, undeavours to touch in his Narration:

B 6

avoidable:

avoidable Ignorance renders all his Attempts fruitless: Semetimes, he supplies by Conjecture what is wanting in Knowledge: And always, he is sensible, that the more unbroken the Chain is, which he presents to his Readers, the more perfect is his Production. He sees, that the Knowledge of Causes is not only the most satisfactory; this Relation or Connexion being the strongest of all others; but also the most instructive; since it is by this Knowledge alone, we are enabled to controul Events, and govern Futurity.

Here therefore we may attain some Notion of that Unity of Action, about which all Critics, after Aristotle, have talk'd so much: Perhaps, to little Purpose, while they directed not their Tasse or Sentiment by the Accuracy of Philosophy. It appears, that in all Productions, as well as in the Epic and Tragic, there is a certain Unity requir'd, and that, on no Occasion, our Thoughts can be allow'd to run at Adventures, if we would produce a Work, that will give any lasting Entertainment to Mankind. It appears also, that even a Biographer, who should write the Life of Achilles, would connect the Events, by shewing their mutual Dependance and Relation, as much as a Poet, who should make the Anger of that Hero, the Subject of his Narration*. Nor only in any limited Portion

* Contrary to Ariflotle Mūdoc d' toriv Eic, the drues rivès corrai, tav mesi, d' evoc h. Nohad yas, if antisa to pevel outhouter, et av triur der toriv ev. "Outw de if neateic evoc nohad triv, the druia desula piveral neatic, &c. Kep. n.

of Li other tion, to ftr lar C vents fore, differ Deg Ever not c Acto fatis! of th the . in th and enfla of I and Circ flam

firic

fitio

app Spo S ::

nt-

nat.

to

he.

ig.

C-

1-

at.

e,

,

11.

e

f.

5

t',

E

10

of Life, a Man's Actions have a Dependance on each other, but also during the whole Period of his Duration, from the Cradle to the Grave; nor is it possible to strike off one Link, however minute, in this regular Chain, without affecting the whole Series of Events, which follow. The Unity of Action, therefore, which is to be found in Biography or History, differs from that of Epic Poetry, not in Kind, but in Degree. In Epic Poetry, the Connexion among the Events is more close and fensible: The Narration is not carry'd on thro' fuch a Length of Time: And the Actors hasten to some remarkable Period, which. satisfies the Curiosity of the Reader. This Conduct of the Epic Poet depends on that particular Situation of the Imagination and of the Passions, which is suppos'd. in that Production. The Imagination, both of Writer and Reader, is more enliven'd, and the Passions more enflam'd than in History, Biography, or any Species of Narration, that confine themselves to strict Truth Let us consider the Effect of these two and Reality. Circumstances, of an enliven'd Imagination and enflam'd Paffions, which belong to Poetry, especially the Epic Kind, above any other Species of Compofition; and let us fee for what Reason they require at stricter and closer Unity in the Fable.

FIRST. All Poetry, being a Species of Painting, approaches us nearen to the Objects than any other Species of Narration, throws a stronger Light upon them,

them, and delineates more distinctly those minute Circumstances, which, tho' to the Historian they feem superfluous, serve mightily to enliven the Imagery, and gratify the Fancy. If it be not necessary, as in the Itiad, to inform us each time the Hero buckles his Shoes, and ties his Garters, 'twill be requifite, perhaps, to enter into a greater Detail than in the Henrinde; where the Events are run over with fuch Rapidity, that we scarce have Leizure to become acquainted with the Scene or Action. Were a Poet, therefore, to comprehend in his Subject any great Compass of Time or Series of Events, and trace up the Death of Hellor to its remote Causes, in the Rape of Helen, or the Judgment of Paris; he must draw out his Poem to an immeasurable Length, in order to fill this large Canvas with just Painting and Imagery. The Reader's Imagination, enflam'd with fuch a Series of poetical Descriptions, and his Passions, agitated by a continual Sympathy with the Actors, must flag. long before the Period of the Narration, and must fink into Lashtude and Disgust, from the repeated Violence of the same Movements,

SECONDLY. That an Epic Poet must not trace the Causes to any great Distance, will farther appear, if we confider another Reason, which is drawn from a Property of the Passions still more remarkable and sin-'Tis evident, that, in a just Composition, all the Affections, excited by the different Events, de-

fcrib'd

ferib

other

one e

necte

awal

one

the I

or I

the ?

fecti

Sym

a lik ferv

feize

to t

were ject,

with

in th

Scer

ing it w

to e cipa

leffe

grea

tho'

Col

the

ir-

em:

Ty,

in

his

er-

11-

2-

C-

t,

at

p

e'

W

0

.

d

3.

t

ferib'd and represented, add mutual Force to eachother; and that, while the Heroes are all engag'd in: one common Scene, and each Action is strongly connected with the whole, the Concern is continually awake, and the Passions make an easy Transition from one Object to another. The strong Connexion of the Events, as it facilitates the Passage of the Thought. or Imagination from one to another, facilitates alfor the Transfusion of the Passions, and preserves the Affection still in the same Channel and Direction. One Sympathy and Concern for Eve prepares the Way for a like Sympathy with Adam: The Affection is preferv'd almost entire in the Transition; and the Mind! feizes immediately the new Object as strongly related to that which formerly engag'd its Attention. were the Poet to make a total Digression from his Subject, and introduce a new Actor, no way connected with the Personages, the Imagination, feeling a Breach in the Transition, would enter coldly into the new Scene; would kindle by flow Degrees; and in returning to the main Subject of the Poem, would pass, as it were, upon foreign Ground, and have its Concern to excite anew, in order to take Party with the principal Actors. The same Inconvenience follows in a lesser Degree, where the Poet traces his Events to too great a Distance, and binds together Actions, which. tho' not altogether disjoin'd, have not fo firong a Connexion as is requifite to forward the Transition of the Passions. Hence arises the Artifice of oblique NarNarration, employ'd in the Odyssey and Eneid; where the Hero is introduc'd, at first, near the Period of his Designs, and afterwards shows us, as it were in Perspective, the more distant Events and Causes. By this means, the Reader's Curiosity is immediately excited: The Events follow with Rapidity, and in a very close Connexion: And the Concern is preserv'd alive, and continually encreases, by means of the near Relation of the Objects, from the Beginning to the End of the Narration.

THE same Rule takes place in dramatic Poetry ; nor is it ever permitted, in a regular Composition, to introduce an Actor, who has no Connexion, or but a finall one, with the principal Personages of the Fable. The Spectator's Concern must not be diverted by any Scenes, disjoin'd and separate from the rest. This breaks the Course of the Passions, and prevents that Communication of the feveral Emotions, by which one Scene adds Force to another, and transfuses the Pity and Terror it excites upon each succeeding Scene, 'till the whole produces that Rapidity of Movement, which is peculiar to the Theatre. How must it extinguish this Warmth of Assection to be entertain'd, on a sudden, with a new Action and new Personages, no way related to the former; to find fo fensible a Breach or Vacuity in the Course of the Paffions, by means of this Breach in the Connexion of Ideas; and instead of carrying the Sympathy of one Scene

Scene i ment, new Sc

But to drai Differe ferve (positio in ord tire an this R viz. 1 er, to any I Subje may Auth the S at the with. versa babil of SI our Unit Poet

relat

fame

Scene into the following, to be oblig'd, every Moment, to excite a new Concern, and take Party in a new Scene of Action?

the time kind a bott in a little

is-

h.

y.

1.

1

2

.

But tho' this Rule of Unity of Action be common to dramatic and epic Poetry; we may still observe a Difference betwixt them, which may, perhaps, deferve our Attention. In both these Species of Composition, tis requisite the Action be one and simple, in order to preserve the Concern or Sympathy entire and undiverted: But in epic or narrative Poetry, this Rule is also establish'd upon another Foundation, viz. the Necessity, that is incumbent on every Writer, to form some Plan or Design, before he enter on any Discourse or Narration, and to comprehend his Subject in some general Aspect or united View, which may be the constant Object of his Attention. As the Author is entirely lost in dramatic Compositions, and the Spectator supposes himself to be really present at the Actions represented; this Reason has no Place with regard to the Stage; but any Dialogue or Conversation may be introduc'd, which, without Improbability, might have pass'd in that determinate Portion of Space, represented by the Theatre. Hence in all our English Comedies, even those of Congresse, the Unity of Action is never strictly observ'd; but the Poet thinks it sufficient, if his Personages be any way. related to each other, by Blood, or by living in the fame Family; and he afterwards introduces them in particular.

particular Scenes, where they display their Humours and Characters, without much forwarding the main Action. The double Plots of Terence are Licences of the fame Kind; but in a lesser Degree. And tho' this Conduct be not perfectly regular, it is not wholly enfuitable to the Nature of Comedy, where the Movements and Passions are not rais'd to such a height as in Tragedy; at the same time, that the Fiction or Representation palliates, in some Degree, such Licences. In a narrative Poem, the first Proposition or Defign confines the Author to one Subject; and any Digressions of this Nature would, at first View, be rejected, as abfurd and monstrous. Neither Boccace, la Fontaine, nor any Author of that Kind, the' Pleafantry be their chief Object, have ever indulg'd them.

Pastry, we may conclude, from the foregoing Reafacings, that as a certain Unity is requifite in all
Productions, it cannot be wanting to History more
than to any other; that in History, the Connexion
among the feveral Events, which unites them into
tme Body, is the Relation of Cause and Effect, the
fame which takes place in epic Poetry; and that is
the latter Composition, this Connexion is only requir'd to be closer and more sensible, on account of
the lively Imagination and strong Passions, which
must

Pelop
the S

As epic which their important is a light perhaps perha

Namand Dead duc' Con

twix

dess, blanc other ours

nain

s of

olly

the

ght

ion

Li-

70

ny

be

Ce,

'd

it

2-

Ш

re

R

ic

.

f

ŧ

must be touch'd by the Poet in his Narration. The Peloponnessan War is a proper Subject for History, the Siege of Athens for an epic Poem, and the Death of Alcibiades for a Tragedy.

As the Difference, therefore, betwixt History and epic Poetry confists only in the Degrees of Connexion, which bind together those several Events, of which their Subject is compos'd, 'twill be difficult, if not impossible, by Words, to determine exactly the Bounds, which separate them from each other. That is a Matter of Taste more than of Reasoning; and perhaps, this Unity may often be discovered in a Subject, where, at first View, and from an abstract Consideration, we should least expect to find it.

"Tis evident, that Homer, in the Course of his Narration, exceeds the first Proposition of his Subject; and that the Anger of Achilles, which caus'd the Death of Hetter, is not the same with that which produc'd so many Ills to the Greeks. But the strong Connexion betwixt these two Movements, the quick Transition from one to the other, the Contraste betwixt the Essects of Concord and Discord amongst the Princes.

Contrafle or Contrariety is a Species of Connesion among I-dess, which may, perhaps, be confider'd as a Species of Refemblance. Where two Objects are contrary, the one destroys the other, i. e. is the Cause of its Annihilation, and the Idea of the Annihilation of an Object implies the Idea of its former Eastlence.

Princes, and the natural Curiofity we have to fee Achilles in Action, after so long Repose; all these Causes carry on the Reader, and produce a sufficient Unity in the Subject.

IT may be objected to Milton, that he has trac'd up his Causes to too great a Distance, and that the Rebellion of the Angels produces the Fall of Man by a Train of Events, which, is both very long and very Not to mention that the Creation of the World, which he has related at Length, is no more the Cause of that Catastrophe, than of the Battle of Pharfalia, or any other Event, that has ever happen'd. But if we consider, on the other hand, that all these Events, the Rebellion of the Angels, the. Creation of the World, and the Fall of Man, refemble each other, in being miraculous and out of the common Course of Nature; that they are suppos'd to be contiguous in Time; and that being detach'd from all other Events, and being the only original Facts, which Revelation discovers, they strike the Eye at once, and naturally recall each other to the Thought or Imagination: If we confider all these Circumstances, I say, we shall find, that these Parts of the Action have a fufficient Unity to make them be comprehended in To which we may add, one Fable or Narration. that the Rebellion of the Angels and the Fall of Man have a peculiar Refemblance as being Counterparts to each other, and prefenting to the Reader, the fame Moral, of Obedience to our Creator.

THESE

THI

der to

Sufpi

Subject

the hu

ciation

the S

will,

that t

to and

with

which

intro

Actio

lofes

alon

to tl

catio

lead

for

hav

nes

Sem

lee

efe

ent

d

he

y.

ry

he

re

of

5-

at

e.

la

1-

C.

u

h

d

9

1

,

THESE loofe Hints I have thrown together, in order to excite the Curiofity of Philosophers, and bege, Suspicion at least, if not a full Persuasion, that this Subject is very copious, and that many Operations of the human Mind depend on the Connexion or Affociation of Ideas, which is here explain'd. Particularly, the Sympathy betwixt the Passions and Imagination will, perhaps, appear remarkable; while we observe that the Affections, excited by one Object, pass easily to another connected with it; but transfuse themselves with Difficulty, or not at all, along different Objects, which have no manner of Connexion together. By introducing, into any Composition, Personages and Actions, foreign to each other, an injudicious Author loses that Communication of Emotions, by which alone he can interest the Heart, and raise the Passions to their proper Height and Period. The full Explication of this Principle and all its Consequences would lead us into Reasonings too prosound and too copious for these Essays. 'Tis sufficient for us, at present, to have establish'd this Conclusion, that the three connecting Principles of all Ideas are the Relations of Resemblance, Contiguity, and Causation.

SCEP

Of the Convince of Least Confident

The state of the state of the state of the state of

the formation of the countries of the co

and the Antonio Control of the Antonio of the Anton

Affect the series of Cornection of States of the States of

tooler by and in the or to be the put one

Trades to the following the major managers

- A Science of the second of the second state that the

The state of the s

THE LOUIS SEE THE MAN TO SEE THE

A¹ Relati

Kind Arithmeither Square

these werable Dependent

tion b

verse. angle

ESSAY IV.

RATIONS of the Understanding.

PART L

A LL the Objects of human Reason or Enquiry may naturally be divided into two Kinds, viz. Relations of Ideas and Matters of Fatt: Of the first Kind are the Propositions in Geometry, Algebra, and Arithmetic; and in short, every Proposition, that is either intuitively or demonstratively certain. That the Square of the Hypothenuse is equal to the Squares of the two Sides, is a Proposition, that expresses a Relation betwixt these Figures. That three times five is equal to the half of thirty, expresses a Relation betwin hese Numbers. Propositions of this Kind are discoverable by the mere Operation of Thought, without Dependance on what is any where existent in the Universe. Tho' there never were a true Circle or Triangle in Nature, the Propositions, demonstrated by Enclid, Euclid, would for ever retain all their Truth and Evidence.

MATTERS of Fact, which are the second Objects of human Reason, are not ascertain'd to us in the same Manner; nor is our Evidence of their Truth, however great, of a like Nature with the foregoing. The contrary of every Matter of Fact is still possible; because it can never imply a Contradiction, and is conceiv'd by the Mind with equal Distinctness and Facility, as if ever so conformable to Truth and Reality. That the Sun will not rise To-morrow is no less intelligible a Proposition, and implies no more Contradiction, than the Assirmation, that it will rise. We should in vain, therefore, attempt to demonstrate its Falshood. Were it demonstratively salse, it would imply a Contradiction, and could never be distinctly conceiv'd by the Mind.

It may, therefore, be a Subject, worthy Curiofity, to enquire what is the Nature of that Evidence, which assures us of any real Existence and Matter of Fact, beyond the present Testimony of our Senses, or the Records of our Memory. This Part of Philosophy, it is observable, has been little cultivated, either by the Antients or Moderns; and therefore our Doubts and Errors, in the Prosecution of so important an Enquiry, may be the more excusable, while we mare

Curity Enqui Philos be a ufual,

than !

hro' rection

Aı be for Mean Evide to af whiel the C fon; Letter forme Wate conclu All or Natu is a C fer'd gether

The I

thre

Exclud.

ant

ects

me

-WC

The

be-

Fa-

ity.

elli-

dic-

We

its

uld

Ally

lity,

nich

act,

the

ohy,

by

ubts

En-

arc

hre

hro' fuch difficult Paths, without any Guide or Direction. They may even prove useful, by exciting Curiosity, and destroying that implicite Faith and Security, which is the Bane of all Reasoning and free Enquiry. The Discovery of Desects in the common Philosophy, if any such there be, will not, I presume, be a Discouragement, but rather an Incitement, as is usual, to attempt something more full and satisfactory, than has yet been propos'd to the Public.

ALI. Reasonings concerning Matter of Fact seem to be founded on the Relation of Caufe and Effect. By Means of that Relation alone can we go beyond the Evidence of our Memory and Senses. If you were to ask a Man, why he believes any Matter of Fact, which is absent; for Instance, that his Friend is in the Country, or in France; he would give you a Reafon; and this Reason would be some other Fact; as a Letter receiv'd from him, or the Knowledge of his former Refolutions and Promifes. A Man, finding a Watch or any other Machine in a defert Island, would conclude, that there had once been Men in that Island, All our Reasonings concerning Fact are of the same Nature. And here 'tis constantly suppos'd, that thereis a Connexion betwixt the prefent Fact and that infer'd from it. Were there nothing to bind them together, the Inference would be altogether precarious. The hearing of an articulate Voice and rational Dif. courfe

-

course in the Dark assures us of the Presence of some Person: Why? Because these are the Essects of the human Make and Fabric, and closely connected with it. If we anatomize all the other Reasonings of this Nature, we shall find, that they are sounded on the Relation of Cause and Essect, and that this Relation is either near or remote, direct or collateral. Heat and Light are collateral Essects of Fire, and the one Essect may justly be inser'd from the other.

Is we would fatisfy ourselves, therefore, concerning the Nature of that Evidence, which assures us of all Matters of Fact, we must enquire how we arrive at the Knowledge of Causes and Effects.

I SHALL venture to affirm, as a general Proposition, which admits of no Exception, that the Knowledge of this Relation of Cause and Effect is not, in any Instance, attain'd by Reasonings a priori; but arises entirely from Experience, when we find, that particular Objects are constantly conjoin'd with each other. Let any Object be presented to a Man of ever so strong natural Reason and Abilities; if that Object be entirely new to him, he will never be able, by the most accurate Examination of its sensible Qualities, to discover any of its Causes or Effects. Adam, tho' his rational Faculties be supposed, at the very first, ever so perfect, could not have infer'd from the Fluidity

hat it was the Cauwill arise Experience.

luidity

THI coveral dily b remem to us; we the them. Man, and w endow adhere Force make Event of Na only l the I a Los

a price

fom

f the

with

this

n the

ation

Heat

one

em-

s of

rive

posi-

OW-

in

but that

ach

jedt

by ali-

221,

ery

the ity luidity and Transparency of Water, that it would affocate him, or from the Light and Warmth of Fire, hat it would consume him. No Object ever discovers, by the Qualities, which appear to the Senses, either the Causes, which produc'd it, or the Effects, which will arise from it; nor can our Reason, unaffished by Experience, ever draw any Inferences concerning real Existence and Matter of Fact.

THIS Proposition, that Causes and Effects are discoverable, not by Reason, but by Experience, will readily be admitted with regard to fuch Objects, as we remember, to have been once altogether unknown to us; fince we must be conscious of the utter Inability we then lay under of foretelling what would arise from them. Present two smooth Pieces of Marble to a Man, who has no Tincture of natural Philosophy; and whatever Degree of Sense or Reason he may be endow'd with, he will never discover, that they will adhere together in fuch a Manner as to require great Force to separate them in a direct Line, while they make fo small Resistance to a lateral Pressure. Such Events, as bear little Analogy to the common Course of Nature, are also readily acknowledged to be known only by Experience; nor does any Man imagine that the Explosion of Gunpowder, or the Attraction of a Loadstone could ever be discover'd by Arguments a priori. In like manner, when an Effect is suppos'd

to depend upon an intricate Machinery or fecret Structure, of Parts, we make no Difficulty to attribute all our Knowledge of it to Experience. Who will affer, that he can give the ultimate Reason, why Milk or Bread is proper Nourishment for a Man, not for a Lyon or a Tyger?

But the fame Truth may not appear, at first View. to have the fame Evidence with regard to Events, which have become familiar to us from our first Appearance in the World, which bear a close Analogy to the whole Course of Nature, and which are suppos'd to depend on the simple Qualities of Objects, without any fecret Structure of Parts. We are apt to imagine, that we could discover these Effects, by the mere Operations of our Reason, without Experience. We fancy, that, were we brought, of a sudden, into this World, we could at first have infer'd, that one Billiard-ball would communicate Motion to another upon Impulse; and that we needed not to have waited for the Event, in order to pronounce with Certainty concerning it. Such is the Influence of Cuftom, that, where it is strongest, it not only covers our natural Ignorance, but even conceals itself, and feems not to take place, merely because it is found in the highest Degree.

Rut

Bu and al

are kn

tions r

cernin

befeed

tion?

it afcr

this I

ver p

the m

Effee

quen

fecon

tion i

fugge

Piece

any :

Matt

this !

ward

in th

ticu

Struc.

iffert.

lk or

for a

iew,

ents,

Ap-

logy

fup-

ects,

t to

the

nce.

into

one

ther

ave

er-

uf-

rers

ind

in

T

Bur to convince us, that all the Laws of Nature and all the Operations of Bodies, without Exception, are known only by Experience, the following Reflections may, perhaps, suffice. Were any Object prefented to us, and were we requir'd to pronounce concerning the Effect, that will refult from it, without confulting past Observation; after what Manner, I befeech you, must the Mind proceed in this Operation? It must invent or imagine some Event, which it ascribes to the Object as its Effect; and 'tis plain this Invention must be entirely arbitrary. It can never possibly find the Effect in the suppos'd Cause, by the most accurate Scrutiny and Examination. For the Effect is totally different from the Cause, and consequently can never be discover'd in it. Motion in the second Billiard-ball is a quite diffinct Event from Motion in the first; nor is there any thing in the one to fuggest the smallest Hint of the other. A Stone or Piece of Metal rais'd into the Air, and left without any Support, immediately falls: But to confider the Matter a priori; is there any thing we discover in this Situation, which can beget the Idea of a downward, rather than an upward, or any other Motion, in the Stone or Metal?

And as the first Imagination or Invention of a particular Effect, in all natural Operations, is arbitrary,

where we confult not Experience; fo must we also esteem the suppos'd Tye or Connexion betwixt the Cause and Effect, which binds them together, and renders it impossible, that any other Effect could refult from the Operation of that Cause. When I see, for Instance, a Billiard-ball moving in a strait Line towards another; even suppose Motion in the second Ball should by Accident be suggested to me, as the Refult of their Contact or Impulse; might I not conceive, that a hundred other different Events might as well follow from that Cause? May not both these Balls remain at absolute Rest? May not the first Ball return in a strait Line, or leap off from the second in any Line or Direction? All these Suppositions are confishent and conceivable. Why then should we give the Preference to one, which is no more confiftent nor conceivable than the rest? All our Reasonings a priori will never be able to shew us any Foundation for this Preference.

In a word, then, every Effect is a distinct Event from its Cause. It could not, therefore, be discover'd in the Cause, and the first Invention or Conception of it, a priori, must be entirely arbitrary. And even after it is suggested, the Conjunction of it with the Cause must appear equally arbitrary; since there are always many other Effects, which, to Reason, must seem fully as consistent and natural. 'Twould, therefore,

any fin

HE losoph preten the C Action fect in Effort produ plicity a few Analo the Ca attem fatisfy them. tally ! lastici tion o timat Natu happ can t these

phy

alfo

the

and

fee,

Line

ond

the

con-

t as

hefe

Ball

l in

are

give

nor

iori

this

rent

Co-

ep-

And

vith

nere

on,

ald,

ore,

therefore, be in vain for us to pretend to determine any fingle Event, or infer any Cause or Effect, without the Assistance of Observation and Experience.

HENCE we may discover the Reason, why no Philosopher, that has been rational and modest, has ever pretended to assign the ultimate Causes of any of the Operations of Nature, or to show distinctly the Actions of that Power, which produces any fingle Effect in the Universe. 'Tis confess'd, that the utmost Effort of human Reason is, to reduce the Principles, productive of natural Phænomena, to a greater Simplicity, and to refolve the many particular Effects into a few general Causes, by Means of Reasonings from Analogy, Experience, and Observation. But as to the Causes of these general Causes, we should in vain attempt their Discovery; nor shall we ever be able to fatisfy ourselves, by any particular Explication of them. These ultimate Springs and Principles are totally shut up from human Curiosity and Enquiry. Elasticity, Gravity, Cohesion of Parts, Communication of Motion by Impulse; these are probably the ultimate Causes and Principles we shall ever discover in Nature; and we may efteem ourselves sufficiently happy, if, by accurate Enquiry and Reasoning, we can trace up the particular Phænomena to, or near to, these general Principles. The most perfect Philosophy of the natural Kind does only stave off our Igno-

C 4

rance

rance a little longer: As perhaps the most perfect Philosophy of the moral or metaphysical Kind serves only to discover larger Portions of our Ignorance. Thus the Observation of human Ignorance and Weakness is the Result of all our Philosophy, and meets us, at every Turn, in spite of our Endeavours to conquer, or avoid it.

Non is Geometry, when taken into the Affistance of natural Philosophy, ever able to remedy this Defect, or lead us into the Knowledge of ultimate Caufes, by all that Accuracy of Reasoning, for which it is so juftly celebrated. Every Part of mix'd Mathematics goes still upon the Supposition, that certain Laws are establish'd by Nature in her Operations; and abstract Reasonings are employ'd, either to assist Experience in the Discovery of these Laws, or to determine their Influence in particular Inflances, where it depends upon any precise Degrees of Distance and Quantity. Thus 'tis a Law of Motion, discover'd by Experience, that the Moment or Force of any Body in Motion is in the compound Ratio or Proportion of its folid Contents and its Velocity; and confequently, that a small Force may remove the greatest Obstacle or raise the greatest Weight, if by any Contrivance or Machinery we can encrease the Velocity of that Force, fo as to make it an Overmatch for its Antagonist, Geometry affifts us in the Application of this Law, by giving

giving gures but in the Know fider the I could fuch and must fonis Cold

Sati Eac cult quin our And Rel Wb

one

Ope

Phi-

only

hus

s is

at

ler,

ace

le-

es,

fo

12-

WS

nd ift

e-

re

id.

y

y

of.

1

9

r

13

. 5

giving us the just Dimensions of all the Parts and Figures, which can enter into any Species of Machine; but still the Discovery of the Law itself is owing merely to Experience, and all the abstract Reasonings in the World could never lead us one Step towards the Knowledge of it. When we reason a priori, and consider merely any Object or Cause, as it appears to the Mind, independent of all Observation, it never could suggest to us the Notion of any distinct Object, such as its Effect; much less, show us the inseparable and inviolable Connexion betwixt them. A Man must be very sagacious, who could discover by Reasoning, that Crystal is the Effect of Heat and Ice of Cold, without being previously acquainted with the Operations of these Qualities.

PART II.

But we have not, as yet, attain'd any tolerable Satisfaction with regard to the Question first propos'd. Each Solution still gives rise to a new Question as difficult as the foregoing, and leads us on to farther Enquiries. When it is ask'd, What is the Nature of all our Reasonings concerning Matter of Fact? the proper Answer seems to be, that they are founded on the Relation of Cause and Effect. When again it is ask'd, What is the Foundation of all our Reasonings and Conclusions concerning that Relation? it may be reply'd in one Word, Experience. But if we still carry on

our fifting and examining Humour, and ask, What is the Foundation of all our Conclusions from Experience? this produces a new Question, which may be of more difficult Solution and Explication. Philosophers, that give themselves Airs of superior Wisdom and Sufficiency, have a hard Task, when they encounter Perfons of inquisitive Dispositions, who push them from every Corner, to which they retreat, and who are sure at last to bring them to some dangerous Dilemma. The best Expedient to prevent this Consusion is to be modest in our Pretensions; and even to discover the Dissiculty ourselves before it is objected to us. By this Means, we may make a kind of Merit of our very Ignorance.

I SHALL content myself, in this Essay, with an easy Task, and shall pretend only to give a negative Answer to the Question here propos'd. I say then, that even after we have Experience of the Operations of Cause and Essect, our Conclusions from that Experience are not sounded on Reasoning or any Process of the Understanding. This Answer we must endeavour, both to explain, and to desend.

It must certainly be allow'd, that Nature has kept us at a great Distance from all her Secrets, and has afforded us only the Knowledge of a few superficial Qualities of Objects, while she conceals from us those Powers

Powers thefe C of the but nei those (Suppor an Ide wonde movin and w to othe Conce natura where like fe fimilar low fr fistenc be pre the E Nour the M know that th Quali that t

cerni

any t

Powers and Principles, on which the Influence of hese Objects entirely depends. Our Senses inform us of the Colour, Weight, and Confistence of Bread; but neither Senses nor Reason ever can inform us of those Qualities, which fit it for the Nourishment and Support of a human Body. Sight or Feeling convey an Idea of the actual Motion of Bodies; but as to that wonderful Force or Power, which would carry on a moving Body for ever in a continu'd Change of Place, and which Bodies never lose but by communicating it to others; of this we cannot form the most distant Conception. But notwithstanding this Ignorance of natural Powers and Principles, we always prefume, where we see like sensible Qualities, that they have like fecret Powers, and lay our Account, that Effects, fimilar to those, which we have experienc'd, will follow from them. If a Body of like Colour and Confistence with that Bread, which we have formerly eat, be presented to us, we make no Scruple of repeating the Experiment, and expect, with Certainty, like Nourishment and Support. Now this is a Process of the Mind or Thought, of which I would willingly know the Foundation. 'Tis allow'd on all hands, that there is no known Connexion betwixt the fenfible Qualities and the fecret Powers; and confequently, that the Mind is not led to form such a Conclusion concerning their constant and regular Conjunction, by any thing it knows of their Nature. As to past Ex-

C 6

periences

a-

25

58

ore

hat

ffi-

er-

m

re

ia.

be

he

By

ry

an

ve

n,

ns

X-

ess

pt as

ial

213

perience, it can be allow'd to give direct and certain Information only of those precise Objects, and that precise Period of Time, which fell under its Cognizance: But why this Experience should be extended to future Times, and to other Objects, which, for aught we know, may be only in Appearance similar; this is the main Question I would insist on. The Bread I formerly eat nourish'd me; that is, a Body, of fuch fensible Qualities, was, at that Time, endow'd with fuch fecret Powers: But does it follow, that other Bread must also nourish me at another Time, and that like fensible Qualities must always be attended with like fecret Powers? The Consequence feems no way necessary. At least, it must be acknowledg'd, that there is here a Consequence drawn by the Mind; that there is a certain Step taken; a Process of Thought, and an Inference, which wants to be explain'd. These two Propositions are far from being the same, I have found that such an Object has always been attended with such an Effect, and, I foresee, that other Objects, which are, to Appearance, fimilar, will be attended with similar Effects. I shall allow, if you please, that the one Proposition may justly be infer'd from the other: I know in fact, that it always is in-But if you infift, that the Inference is made by a Chain of Reasoning, I desire you may produce that Reasoning. The Connexion betwixt these Propositions is not intuitive. There is requir'd a Medium, which may enable the Mind to draw fuch an Inference

Wha prehe it, w

of T
netra
quiri
any
fupp
as th
truft
cause
that
fon i
Task
Kno
can

Reli or t

Case

111

at

11-

or

he

y,

ď

at

e,

t-

ce

V-

ne

of

X-

g

75

at

11

u

d

1-

y

at .

1-

1,

e

if

What that Medium is, I must confess, passes my Comprehension; and 'tis incumbent on those to produce it, who affert, that it really exists, and is the Origin of all our Conclusions concerning Matter of Fact.

This negative Argument must certainly, in Process of Time, become altogether convincing, if many penetrating and able Philosophers shall turn their Enquiries this Way; and no one be ever able to discover any connecting Proposition or intermediate Step, which supports the Understanding in this Conclusion. But as the Question is yet new, every Reader may not trust so far to his own Penetration, as to conclude, because an Argument escapes his Research and Enquiry, that therefore it does not really exist. For this Reason it may be requisite to venture upon a more difficult. Task; and enumerating all the Branches of human Knowledge, endeavour to show, that none of them can afford such an Argument.

ALL Reasonings may be divided into two Kinds, viz. demonstrative Reasonings, or those concerning Relations of Ideas, and moral or probable Reasonings, or those concerning Matter of Fact and Existence. That there are no demonstrative Arguments in the Case, seems evident; since it implies no Contradiction, that the Course of Nature may change, and that

as fold and find Clory.

that Objects feemingly like those we have experienc'd may be attended with different or contrary Effects. May I not clearly and distinctly conceive, that a Body falling from the Clouds, and which, in all other refpects, refembles Snow, has yet the Tafte of Salt or Feeling of Fire? Is there any more intelligible Proposition than to affirm, that all the Trees flourish in December and January, and decay in May and June? Now whatever is intelligible, and can be diffinctly conceiv'd, implies no Contradiction, and can never be prov'd false by any demonstrative Arguments or abstract Reasonings a priori.

Ir we be, therefore, engag'd by Arguments to put trust in past Experience, and make it the Standard of our future Judgment, these Arguments must be probable only, or fuch as regard Matter of Fact and real Existence, according to the Division above mention'd. But that there are no Arguments of this Kind, must appear, if our Explication of that Species of Reasoning be admitted as folid and fatisfactory. We have faid, that all Arguments concerning Existence are founded on the Relation of Cause and Effect; that our Knowledge of that Relation is deriv'd entirely from Experience; and that all our experimental Conclufions proceed upon the Supposition, that the future will be conformable to the past. To endeavour, therefore, the Proof of this last Supposition by probable

bable I must b for gra

IN founde natura pect E follow or Ma rity of human to hav the P might Advar plac'd appear Sum feems Reaso Instan But th Eggs larity them.

perim

and S

'd

S.

ly eor

)-

n

?

y

e

-

t

f

1

bable Arguments, or Arguments regarding Existence, must be evidently going in a Circle, and taking that for granted, which is the very Point in Question.

In Reality, all Arguments from Experience are founded on the Similarity, which we discover among natural Objects, and by which we are induc'd to expect Effects fimilar to those, which we have found to follow from fuch Objects. And tho' none but a Fool or Madman will ever pretend to dispute the Authority of Experience, or to reject that great Guide of human Life; it may furely be allow'd a Philosopher to have fo much Curiofity, at least, as to examine the Principle of human Nature, which gives this mighty Authority to Experience, and makes us draw Advantage from that Similarity, which Nature has plac'd among different Objects. From Causes, which appear fimilar, we expect fimilar Effects. This is the Sum of all our experimental Conclusions. Now it feems evident, that if this Conclusion were form'd by Reason, it would be as perfect at first, and upon one Instance, as after ever so long a Course of Experience. But the Case is far otherwise. Nothing so like as Eggs; yet no one, on account of this apparent Similarity, expects the same Taste and Relish in all of them. 'Tis only after a long Course of uniform Experiments in any Kind, that we attain a firm Reliance and Security with regard to a particular Event. Now where

where is that Process of Reasoning, which from one Instance draws a Conclusion, so different from that which it infers from a hundred Inflances, that are no way different from that fingle Inflance? This Queftion I propose as much for the Sake of Information, as with an Intention of raising Difficulties. I cannot find, I cannot imagine any fuch Reasoning. But I keep my Mind still open to Instruction, if any one will vouchfafe to bestow it on me.

Should it be faid, that from a Number of uniform Experiments, we infer a Connexion betwixt the sensible Qualities and the secret Powers; this, I must confess, seems the same Difficulty, couch'd in different Terms. The Question still recurs, On what Process of Argument this Inference is founded? Where is the Medium, the interposing Ideas, which join Propositions fo very wide of each other? 'Tis confes'd, that the Colour, Confiftence, and other sensible Qualities of Bread appear not, of themselves, to have any Connexion with the fecret Powers of Nourishment and Support. For otherwise we could infer these secret Powers from the first Appearance of these sensible Qualities, without the Aid of Experience, contrary to the Sentiment of all Philosophers; and contrary to plain Matter of Fact. Here then is our natural State of Ignorance with regard to the Powers and Influence of all Objects. How is this remedy'd by Experience?

It

It only

ing fre

partici

dow'd

Object

pect f

with a

Confu

and S the M

Man

fible 9

when

conjoin

of a T

fpect:

ference the Ir

tive:

menta

from the fu

ers w

If the

may o

future

rife to

there

Inere!

one

hat

no

ion

-as

not

I

vill

ni-

the

uft Fe-

hat

ere

ro-

d,

na-

ny

nd

ret

ble

to

to

ate

nce

e?

It

JASIE.

It only shews us a Number of uniform Effects, refulting from certain Objects, and teaches us, that those particular Objects, at that particular Time, were endow'd with fuch Powers and Forces. When a new Object of fimilar fenfible Qualities is produc'd, we expect fimilar Powers and Forces, and lay our Account with a like Effect. From a Body of a like Colour and ' Confistence with Bread, we look for like Nourishment and Support. But this furely is a Step or Progress of the Mind, which wants to be explain'd. When a Man fays, I bove found, in all past Instances, such senfible Qualities, compain'd with fuch fecret Powers : And when he fays, fimilar fenfible Qualities will always be conjoin'd with similar secret Powers; he is not guilty of a Tantology, nor are these Propositions in any respect the same. You say the one Proposition is an Inference from the other. But you must confess, that the Inference is not intuitive; neither is it demonstrative: Of what Nature is it then? To say it is experimental is begging the Question. For all Inferences from Experience suppose, as their Foundation, that the future will resemble the past, and that fimilar Powers will be conjoin'd with similar sensible Qualities. If there be any Suspicion, that the Course of Nature may change, and that the past may be no Rule for the! future, all Experience becomes useless, and can give rife to no Inferences or Conclusions. 'Tis impossible, therefore, that any Arguments from Experience can prove

prove this Resemblance of the past to the future; fince all these Arguments are founded on the Suppofition of that Refemblance. Let the Course of Things be allow'd hitherto never fo regular; that alone, without some new Argument or Inference, proves not, that, for the future, it will continue fo. In vain do you pretend to have learnt the Nature of Bodies from your past Experience. Their secret Nature, and confequently, all their Effects and Influence may change, without any Change in their fenfible Qualities. This happens fometimes, and with regard to fome Objects: Why may it not happen always, and with regard to all Objects? What Logic, what Process of Argument fecures you against this Supposition? My Practice, you fay, refutes my Doubts. But you mistake the Purport of my Question. As an Agent, I am quite fatisfy'd in the Point: But as a Philosopher, who has some Share of Curiofity, I will not fay Scepticism, I want to learn the Foundation of this Inference. No Reading, no Enquiry has yet been able to remove my Difficulty, or give me Satisfaction in a Matter of fuch vast Importance. Can I do better than propose the Difficulty to the Public, even tho', perhaps, I have fmall Hopes of obtaining a Solution? We shall at least, by this Means, be sensible of our Ignorance, if we do not augment our Knowledge.

I Must confess, that a Man is guilty of unpardonable Arrogance, who concludes, because an Argument ment that the confess should upon conclupass all amine them Suspice the Ethe property which

fants,
Expe
jects,
them
from
ful n
expect
to its
fert,
led in
or R
that

gance

e;

0-

gs

th-

ot,

do

m

n-

re,

his

s:

to

ent

ce,

ite

I

Vo

ny

he

ve

at if

0-

u-

ent

ment has escap'd his own Investigation and Enquirys that therefore it does not really exist. I must also confess, that tho' all the Learned, for several Ages, should have employ'd their Time in fruitless Search upon any Subject, it may still perhaps, be rash to conclude positively, that the Subject must, therefore, pass all human Comprehension. Even tho' we examine all the Sources of our Knowledge, and conclude them unsit for such a Subject, there may still remain a Suspicion, that the Enumeration is not compleat, or the Examination not accurate. But with regard to the present Subject, there are some Considerations, which seem to remove all this Accusation of Arrogance or Suspicion of Mistake.

'Tis certain, that the most ignorant and stupid Peasants, nay Infants, nay even brute Beasts improve by
Experience, and learn the Qualities of natural Objects, by observing the Effects, which result from
them. When a Child has felt the Sensation of Pain
from touching the Flame of a Candle, he will be careful not to put his Hand near any Candle; but will
expect a similar Effect from a Cause, which is similar
to its sensible Qualities and Appearance. If you affert, therefore, that the Understanding of the Child is
led into this Conclusion by any Process of Argument
or Ratiocination, I may justly require you to produce
that Argument; nor have you any Pretext to refuse so

equitable a Demand. You cannot say, that the Argument is abstruse, and may possibly escape your Search and Enquiry; fince you confess, that it is obvious to the Capacity of a mere Infant. If you hefitate, therefore, a Moment, or if, after Reflection, you produce any intricate and profound Argument, you, in a Manner, give up the Question, and confess, that it is not Reasoning, which engages us to suppose the past refembling the future, and to expect fimilar Effects from Caufes, which are, to Appearance, similar. This is the Proposition, which I intended to enforce by the present Essay. If I be right, I pretend to have made no mighty Discovery. And if I be wrong, I must acknowledge myself-to be indeed a very backward Scholar; fince I cannot now discover an Argument, which, it feems, was perfectly familiar to me, long before I was out of my Cradle.

Donald of the Control of the Control Od-

Low topological of the color of the time to the cale.

Al not to cut live He of noor one Cardiet Lee will a

0 00 000 0000

AMOUNT OF THE PARTY WAS NOT THE

ESSAY

or Extlacination, I many all, require you to produce that Argue and, not have you any Present so refute to

Aur, Gereitie, die the Unitentande of the Child is

lad into this Constitute to the train of Arthurstone

equitable

Sci

tho' is tirpate dent tion, folution much per. nanin deave

fin'd all V study

like t

irur bfiou

hat the Efar.

rce

I

ard

nt,

ng

Y

tail

ESSAY V.

SCEPTICAL SOLUTION of thefe DOUBTS.

PART I.

HE Passion for Philosophy, like that for Religion, feems liable to this Inconvenience, that, tho' it aims at the Correction of our Manners and Extirpation of our Vices, it may only ferve, by imprudent Management, to foster a predominant Inclination, and push the Mind, with more determin'd Refolution, towards that Side, which already draws too much, by the Byafs and Propenfity of the natural Temper. 'Tis certain, that, while we aspire to the magnanimous Firmness of the philosophic Sage, and endeavour to confine our Pleasures altogether within our own Minds, we may, at last, render our Philosophy. like that of Epittetus and other Stoics, only a more refin'd System of Selfishness, and reason ourselves out of all Virtue, as well as focial Enjoyment. While we study with Attention the Vanity of human Life, and

turn all our Thoughts on the empty and transitory Nature of Riches and Honours, we are, perhaps, all the while flattering our natural Indolence, which, hating the Buftle of the World and Drudgery of Bufiness, seeks a Pretext of Reason, to give itself a full and uncontroul'd Indulgence. There is, however, one Species of Philosophy, which seems little liable to this Inconvenience, and that because it strikes in with no disorderly Passion of the human Mind, nor can mingle itself with any natural Affection or Propenfity; and that is the ACADEMIC OF SCEPTICAL Philosophy. The Academics talk always of Doubts and Suspense of Judgment, of Danger in hasty Determinations, of confining to very narrow Bounds the Enquiries of the Understanding, and of renouncing all Speculations that lie not within the Limits of common Life and Practice. Nothing, therefore, can be more contrary than such a Philosophy to the supine Indolence of the Mind, its rash Arrogance, its lofty Pretensions, and its superstitious Credulity. Every Pasfion is mortify'd by it, except the Love of Truth; and that Passion never is, nor can be carry'd to too high a Degree. 'Tis furprifing, therefore, that this Philosophy, which, in almost every Instance, must be harmless and innocent, should be the Subject of so much groundless Reproach and Obloquy. But, perhaps, the very Circumstance, which renders it so innocent, is what chiefly exposes it to the public Hatred

and R
it gain
and F
who f
ligious

No endear should Life, tion, maint abstra clude, in all taken Argui no Da Know Difco ment other that P man !

Facult

is, ma

ry

all

ch,

ull

er,

to

an

y; fo-

ind ni-

In-

all

non

ore

do-

re-

Paf-

th;

too

this

t be

of fo

per-

atred

and

and Resentment. By flattering no irregular Passion, it gains few Partizans: By opposing so many Vices and Follies, it raises to itself abundance of Enemies, who stigmatize it as libertine, prophane, and irreligious.

Non need we fear, that this Philosophy, while it endeavours to limit our Enquiries to common Life. should ever undermine the Reasonings of common Life, and carry its Doubts fo far as to deftroy all Action, as well as Speculation. Nature will always maintain her Rights, and prevail in the End over any abstract Reasoning whatsoever. Tho' we should conclude, for Instance, as in the foregoing Essay, that, in all Reasonings from Experience, there is a Step taken by the Mind, which is not supported by any Argument or Process of the Understanding; there is no Danger, that these Reasonings, on which almost all Knowledge depends, will ever be affected by fuch a Discovery. If the Mind be not engag'd by Argument to make this Step, it must be induc'd by some other Principle of equal Weight and Authority; and that Principle will preferve its Influence as long as human Nature remains the fame. What that Principle is, may well be worth the Pains of Enquiry.

Suppose a Person, tho' endow'd with the strongest Faculties of Reason and Resection, to be brought of

a sudden into this World; he would, indeed, imme diately observe a continual Succession of Objects, and one Event following another; but he would not be able to discover any thing farther. He would not, a first, by any Reasoning, be able to reach the Idea of Cause and Effect; fince the particular Powers, by which all natural Operations are perform'd, never appear to the Senses; nor is it reasonable to conclude, merely because one Event, in one Instance, precede another, that therefore the one is the Caufe, and the other the Effect. Their Conjunction may be arbitrary and casual. There may be no Reason to infer the Existence of the one from the Appearance of the other. And in a word, fuch a Person, without more Experience, could never employ his Conjecture or Reasoning concerning any Matter of Fact, or be alfur'd of any thing beyond what was immediately prefent to his Memory and Senfes.

Suppose again, that he has acquir'd more Experience, and has liv'd so long in the World as to have observ'd similar Objects or Events to be constantly conjoin'd together; What is the Consequence of this Experience? He immediately infers the Existence of the one Object from the Appearance of the other. Yet he has not, by all his Experience, acquir'd any Idea or Knowledge of the secret Power, by which the one Object produces the other; nor is it, by any Pro-

it: A
standi

TH ever t produ ration Proce this P that V mate: out a fally a Effect ther, must i which perier fo far cultie tis ce fition, after t

cess

and I

ame.

and

ot be

t; at

ea of

, by

ap.

lude,

cede

d the

arbi-

infer

f the

mon

e or

e al-

pre-

xpe-

have

antly

f this

e of

ther.

any

h the

Pro-

clutions it draws from

cess of Reasoning, he is engag'd to draw this Inference. But still he finds himself determin'd to draw it: And tho' he should be convinc'd, that his Understanding has no Part in the Operation, he would nevertheless continue in the same Course of Thinking. There is some other Principle, which determines him to form such a Conclusion.

THIS Principle is CUSTOM OF HABIT. For whereever the Repetition of any particular Act or Operation produces a Propenfity to renew the same Act or Operation, without being impell'd by any Reasoning or Process of the Understanding; we always fay, that this Propenfity is the Effect of Custom. By employing that Word, we pretend not to have given the ultimate Reason of such a Propensity. We only point out a Principle of human Nature, which is univerfally acknowledg'd, and which is well known by its Effects. Perhaps, we can push our Enquiries no farther, or pretend to give the Cause of this Cause; but must rest contented with it as the ultimate Principle, which we can affign, of all our Conclusions from Experience. 'Tis sufficient Satisfaction, that we can go fo far; without repining at the Narrownels of our Faculties, because they will carry us no farther. And 'tis certain we here advance a very intelligible Propofition, at least, if not a true one, when we affert, that, after the constant Conjunction of two Objects, Heat and Flame, for Instance, Weight and Solidity, we

are determin'd by Custom alone to expect the one from the Appearance of the other. This Hypothesis feems even the only one, which explains the Difficulty, why we draw an Inference from a thousand Instances, which we are not able to draw from one Instance, that is, in no respect, different from them. Reason is incapable of any such Variation. The Conclusions it draws from considering one Circle are the fame, which it would form upon furveying all the Circles in the Universe. But no Man, having seen only one Body move after being impell'd by another, could infer, that every other Body will move after a like Impulse. All Inferences from Experience, therefore, are Effects of Custom, not of Reasoning *.

Custom,

* Nothing is more usual than for Writers even on moral, political, or physical Subjects, to diffinguish betwirt Reason and Experience, and to suppose, that these Species of Argumentation are entirely different from each other. The former are taken for the mere Result of our intellectual Faculties, which, by confidering a priori the Nature of Things, and examining the Effects, that must follow from their Operation, establish particular Principles of Science and Philosophy. The latter are Suppos'd to be deriv'd entirely from Sense and Observation, by which we learn what has actually refulted from the Operation of particular Obiects, and are thence able to infer what will, for the future, refult from them. Thus, for Instance, the Limitations and Refraints of civil Government and a legal Constitution may be defended, either from Reason, which, reflecting on the great Frailty

Cu Tis !

and Co fafely l and Hi bition, imprud The tain'd while ' chant i whatev it be al with re fuch pa out the

But receiv'd not fort Bottom

Stabilit

Reflect

If we ences ab foning : laft, in affign n ference effeem' not be SNO

efis

ffi-

In-In-

m.

on-

the

the

een

ler.

er a

ere-

M,

oral,

and

tion

aken

con-

Ef-

cular

to be learn

Ob-

Re-

de-

ailty

and

Custom, then, is the great Guide of human Life.
Tis that Principle alone, which renders our Experience

the experienced Event is exactly and fully shader to there all to

and Corruption of human Nature, teaches, that no Man can fafely be trufted with unlimited Authority; or from Experience and History, which inform us of the enormous Abuses, that Ambition, in every Age and Country, has been found to make of for imprudent a Confidence.

The same Distinction betwixt Reason and Experience is maintain'd in all our Deliberations concerning the Conduct of Life; while the experienc'd Statesman, General, Physician, or Merchant is truffed and follow'd; and the unpractic'd Novice, with whatever natural Talents endow'd, neglected and despis'd. Tho' it be allow'd, that Reason may form very plausible Conjectures with regard to the Consequences of such a particular Conduct in such particular Circumstances; 'tis still suppos'd imperfect, without the Affistance of Experience, which is alone able to give Stability and Certainty to the Maxims, deriv'd from Study and Resection.

But notwithstanding that this Distinction be thus universally receiv'd, both in the active and speculative Scenes of Life, I shall not scruple to pronounce, that, in my Opinion, it is, at the Bottom, erroneous, or at least, superficial.

If we examine those Arguments, which, in any of the Sciences above mentioned, are supposed to be the mere Effects of Reasoning and Resection, they will all be found to terminate, at last, in some general Principle or Conclusion, for which we can assign no Reason but Observation and Experience. The only Difference betwirt them and those Maxima, which are vulgarly esteemed the Result of pure Experience, is, that the former cannot be established without some Process of Thought, and some

D 2 Reflection

mifehol

rience useful to us, and makes us expect for the future a similar Train of Events with those which have Resection on what we have observed, in order to distinguish its Circumstances, and trace its Consequences: Whereas in the latter the experienced Event is exactly and fully similar to that which we infer as the Result of any particular Situation. The History of a Tiberius or a Nero makes us dread a like Tyranny were our Monarches freed from the Restraints of Laws and Senates: But the Observation of any Fraud or Cruelty in private Life is sufficient, with the Aid of a little Thought, to give us the same Apprehension; while it serves as an Instance of the general Corruption of human Nature, and shows us the Danger we must incur by reposing an entire Considence in Mankind. 'Tis Experience, in both Cases, which is ultimately the Foundation of our Inserence and Conclusion.

There is no Man so young and unexperienc'd, as not to have form'd, from Observation, many general and just Maxims concerning human Affairs and the Conduct of Life; but it must be confess'd, that, when he comes to put these in Practice, he will be extremely liable to Error, till Time and farther Experience, both enlarge these Maxims, and teach him their proper Use and Application. In every Situation or Incident, there are many particular and feemingly minute Circumstances, which the Man of greatest Talents is, at first, apt to overlook, tho' on them the Justness of his Conclusions, and consequently, the Prudence of his Conduct, entirely depend. Not to mention, that, to a young Beginner, the general Observations and Maxims occur not always on the proper Occasions, nor can be immediately apply'd with due Calmness and Distinction. The Truth is, an unexperienc'd Reasoner could be no Reasoner at all, were he absolutely unexperienc'd; and when we affign that Character to any one, we mean it only in a comparative Sense, and suppose him possess d of Experience in a fmaller and more imperfect Degree.

appear'd

appear tom, of Fact Memor to adj Power be an

Part o

Bu Conclu Memo which remote to the procee should ous Bu in anti bitants he cou We le but the Instrud rences at the Events Fact,

ings w

fu-

ave

its

tter

nich

tory

our

the ent, pre-

tion

by in

ence

have

con-

st be

will

nce,

and

Man.

the

e of

oung

ways

with

nc'd

nex-

ess'd

ar'd

appear'd in the past. Without the Influence of Custom, we should be entirely ignorant of every Matter of Fact, beyond what is immediately present to the Memory and Senses. We should never know how to adjust Means to Ends, or to employ our natural Powers in the Production of any Effect. There would be an End at once of all Action, as well as of the chief Part of Speculation.

Bur here it may be proper to remark, that tho' our Conclusions from Experience carry us beyond our Memory and Senses, and affure us of Matters of Fact, which happen'd in the most distant Places and most remote Ages; yet some Fact must always be present to the Senses or Memory, from which we may first proceed in drawing these Conclusions. A Man, who should find in a desert Country the Remains of pompous Buildings, would conclude, that the Country had, in antient Times, been cultivated by civiliz'd Inhabitants; but did nothing of this Nature occur to him, he could never be able to form fuch an Inference. We learn the Events of former Ages from History; but then we must peruse the Volumes, in which this Instruction is contain'd, and thence carry up our Inferences from one Testimony to another, till we arrive at the Eye-witnesses and Spectators of these distant Events. In a word, if we proceed not upon some Fact, present to our Memory or Senses, our Reasonings would be merely hypothetical; and however the particular D 3

rationing

particular Links might be connected with each other, the whole Chain of Inferences would have nothing to fupport it, nor could we ever, by its Means, arrive at the Knowledge of any real Existence. If I ask, why you believe any particular Matter of Fact, which you relate, you must tell me some Reason; and this Reason will be some other Fact, connected with it: But as you cannot proceed after this Manner, in infinitum, you must at last terminate in some Fact, which is present to your Memory or Senses; or must allow, that your Belief is entirely without Foundation.

WHAT then is the Conclusion of the whole Matter? A simple one; tho' it must be confess'd, pretty remote from the common Theories of Philosophy. All Behef of Matter of Fact or real Existence is deriv'd merely from some Object, present to the Memory or Senses, and a customary Conjunction betwixt that and any other Object. Or in other Words; having found, in many Inflances, that any two Kinds of Objects, Flame and Heat, Snow and Cold, have always been conjoin'd together; if Flame or Snow be presented anew to our Senfes; the Mind is carry'd by Custom to expect Heat or Cold, and to believe, that fuch a Quatity does exift, and will discover itself upon a nearer Approach. This Belief is the necessary Result of placing the Mind in fuch Circumstances. 'Tis an Operation of the Soul, when we are fo fituated, as biovenueld be merely hypothetical; and however the

recei juries Inflin and prev

ftop we c Que most riofit it ca exan and a By t and fuch tain' may As 1 Part follo

negl

ner,

to

e at

vhy

re,

fon

you you

ent

our

er?

ote

Be-

v'd

70

and

nd,

cts.

een

ited

a to

na-

of an

as aid.

receive Benefits, or Hatred, when we meet with In juries. All these Operations are a Species of natural Instincts, which no Reason or Process of the Thought and Understanding is able, either to produce, or to prevent.

AT this Point, 'twould be very allowable for us to ftop our philosophical Researches. In most Questions, we can never make a fingle Step farther; and in all Questions, we must terminate here at last, after our most restless and curious Enquiries. But still our Curiofity will be pardonable, perhaps commendable, if it carry us on to still farther Researches, and make us examine more accurately the Nature of this Belief, and of the customary Conjunction, whence it is deriv'd. By this Means, we may meet with some Explications and Analogies, that will give Satisfaction; at least to fuch as love the abstract Sciences, and can be entertain'd with Speculations, which, however accurate, may still retain a Degree of Doubt and Uncertainty. As to Readers of a different Tafte; the remaining Part of this Essay is not calculated for them, and the following Essays may well be understood, tho' it be neglected.

D 4

PART

a service in the first of P.A.R.T. II.

THERE is nothing more free than the Imagination of Man; and tho' it cannot exceed that original Stock of Ideas, which is furnish'd by our internal and external Senses, it has unlimited Power of mixing, compounding, separating and dividing these Ideas, to all the Varieties of Fiction and Vision. It can feign a Train of Events, with all the Appearance of Reality, ascribe to them a particular Time and Place, conceive them as existent, and paint them out to itself with every Circumstance, that belongs to any historical Fact, which it believes with the greatest Certainty. Wherein, therefore, confifts the Difference betwixt such a Fiction and Belief? It lies not merely in any peculiar Idea, which is annex'd to a Conception, that commands our affent, and which is wanting to every known Fiction. For as the Mind has Authority over all its Ideas, it could voluntarily annex this particular Idea to any Fiction, and confequently be able to believe whatever it pleases; contrary to what we find by daily Experience. We can, in our Conception, join the Head of a Man to the Body of a Horse; but it is not in our Power to believe, that fuch an Animal has ever really existed.

Ir follows, therefore, that the Difference betwixt Fiction and Belief lies in some Sentiment or Feeling, which

which which mand like a partic any p prefen by the conce and th timent Herei there we car Differ that w that di Billian Table, This (it feel

> WE of this difficul as if w

which

munica

.

on.

ck

T-

n-

all

a

у,

ve

th

cal

y.

ixt

ny

on,

to

ty

ar-

ble

we

on,

nal

ixt

ng,

ich

which is annex'd to the latter, not to the former, and which depends not on the Will, nor can be commanded at Pleasure. It must be excited by Nature, like all other Sentiments; and must arise from the particular Situation, in which the Mind is plac'd at any particular Juncture: Whenever any Object is presented to the Memory or Senses, it immediately, by the Force of Custom, carries the Imagination to conceive that Object, which is usually conjoin'd to it; and this Conception is attended with a Feeling or Sentiment, different from the loose Reveries of the Fancy. Herein confifts the whole Nature of Belief. For as there is no Matter of Fact we believe fo firmly, that we cannot conceive the contrary, there would be no Difference betwixt the Conception affented to, and that which is rejected, were it not for some Sentimenr, that distinguishes the one from the other. If I see a Billiard-Ball moving towards another, on a fmooth Table, I can easily conceive it to stop upon Contact. This Conception implies no Contradiction; but still it feels very differently from that Conception, by which I represent to myself the Impulse, and the Communication of Motion from one Ball to another.

WERE we to attempt a Definition or Description of this Sentiment, we should, perhaps, find it a very difficult, if not impossible Task; in the same Manner as if we should endeavour to define the Feeling of

D 5

Cold.

Man

the I

expla

may

it.

fore,

ficien

losopi

is for

the I

Imag

ence :

inforc

vernin

fent,

quaint

next]

diately

with a

to my

lities a

feft of

than Id

differen

fluence

Pain,

Cold or Passion of Anger, to such as never had an Experience of these Sentiments. BELIEF is the true and proper Name of this Feeling; and no one is ever at a loss to know the Meaning of that Term; because every Man is every Moment conscious of the Sentitiment, represented by it. It may not, however, be improper to attempt a Description of this Sentiment; in hopes we may, by that means, arrive at some Analogies, that may afford a more perfect Explication of it. I fay then, that Belief is nothing but a more vivid, lively, forcible, firm, fleady Conception of an Object, than what the Imagination alone is ever able to attain. This Variety of Terms, which may feem so unphilosophical, is intended only to express that Act of the Mind, which renders Realities, or what is taken for fuch, more present to us than Fictions, causes them to weigh more in the Thought, and gives them a superior Influence on the Passions and Imagination. Provided we agree about the Thing, 'is needless to dispute about the Terms. The Imagination has the Command over all its Idees, and can join and mix and vary them, in all the Ways possible. It may conceive ficticious Objects with all the Circum-Rances of Place and Time. It may fet them, in a Manner, before our Eyes, in their true Colours, just as they might have existed. But as it is impossible that that Faculty of Imagination can ever, of itself reach Belief; 'tis evident, that Belief confifts not in the peculiar Nature or Order of Ideas, but in the Mann

ig.

and

at a

unfe

nti-

be

ent;

na-

n of

aore

f an

able

feem

that

at is

ions,

gives

nagi-

gina-

1 join

. It

rcum-

in 2

s, juit

ffible

itfelf

not in

in the Manus

115

Manner of their Conception, and in their Feeling to the Mind. I confess, that 'tis impossible perfectly to explain this Feeling or Manner of Conception. We may make use of Words, that express something near it. But its true and proper Name, as we observ'd before, is Belief; which is a Term, that every one fufficiently understands in common Life. And in Philosophy, we can go no farther than affert, that Belief is fomething felt by the Mind, which distinguishes the Ideas of the Judgment from the Fictions of the Imagination. It gives them more Force and Influence; makes them appear of greater Importance; inforces them in the Mind, and renders them the governing Principle of all our Actions. I hear at prefent, for Instance, a Person's Voice, whom I am acquainted with; and this Sound comes as from the next Room. This Impression of my Senses immediately conveys my Thoughts to the Person, along with all the furrounding Objects. I paint them out to myfelf as existing at present, with the same Qualities and Relations, that I formerly knew them polfest of. These Ideas take faster hold of my Mind, than Ideas of an inchanted Castle. They are very different to the Feeling, and have a much greater Influence of every Kind, either to give Pleasure or Pain, Joy or Sorrow.

D 6

the prostant Containing of the Containing but

Let us, then, take in the whole Compass of this Doctrine, and allow, that the Sentiment of Belief is nothing but a Conception of an Object more intense and steady than what attends the mere Fictions of the Imagination, and that this Manner of Conception arises from a customary Conjunction of the Object with something present to the Memory or Senses: I believe it will not be difficult, upon these Suppositions, to find other Operations of the Mind analogous to it, and to trace up these Phænomena to Principles still more general.

WE have already observ'd, that Nature has establish'd Connexions among particular Ideas, and that no fooner one occurs to our Thoughts than it introduces its correlative, and carries our Attention towards it, by a gentle and insensible Movement. These Principles of Connexion or Affociation we have reduc'd to three, viz. Resemblance, Contiguity, and Causation; which are the only Bonds, that unite our; Thoughts together, and beget that regular Train of Reflection or Discourse, which, in a greater or lesser. Degree, takes place amongst all Mankind. Now here arises a Question, on which the Solution of the present Difficulty will depend. Does it happen, in all these Relations, that when one of the Objects is prefented to the Senses or Memory, the Mind is not only carry'd to the Conception of the Correlative, but reaches a steadier and stronger Conception of it than what

what This rifes the C Prince gene the I

W ment ance him that of Jo In p and him him, him : tho' to th weak a Ple 'tis fe to c

T

Imag

15

is

fe :

ne.

n

et

I s,

t,

a--

at:

0--

 d_{S}

fe:

e-

nd.

ur;

of:

er.

W

ne.

ıll'

elý

ut

at

what otherwise it would have been able to attain? This seems to be the Case with that Belief, which arises from the Relation of Cause and Effect. And if the Case be the same with the other Relations or Principles of Association, we may establish this as a general Law, that takes place in all the Operations of the Mind.

WE may, therefore, observe, as the first Experiment to our present Purpose, that upon the Appearance of the Picture of an absent Friend, our Idea of him is evidently enliven'd by the Resemblance, and that every Passion, which that Idea occasions, whether of Joy or Sorrow, acquires new Force and Vigour. In producing this Effect, there concur both a Relation and a present Impression. Where the Picture bears him no Resemblance, or at least was not intended for him, it never fo much as conveys our Thought to him: And where it is absent, as well as the Person; tho' the Mind may pass from the Thought of the one. to that of the other; it feels its Idea to be rather, weaken'd than enliven'd by that Transition. We take a Pleasure in viewing the Picture of a Friend, when 'tis fet before us ; but when 'tis remov'd, rather chuse to confider him directly, than by Reflexion in an Image, which is equally distant and obscure.

THE Ceremonies of the Roman Catholic Religion may be confider'd as Experiments of the same Nature.

The Devotees of that strange Superstition usually plead in Excuse of the Mummeries, with which they are upbraided, that they feel the good Effect of those external Motions, and Postures, and Actions, in enlivening their Devotion and quickning their Fervour, which otherwife would decay away, if directed entirely to distant and immaterial Objects. We shadow out the Objects of our Faith, fay they, in fensible Types and Images, and render them more present to us by the immediate Presence of these Types, than 'tis possible for us to do, merely by an intellectual View and Contemplation. Senfible Objects have always a greater Influence on the Fancy than any other; and this Influence they readily convey to those Ideas, to which they are related, and which they refemble-I shall only infer from these Practices, and this Reafoning, that the Effect of Resemblance in enlivening the Idea is very common; and as in every Case a Resemblance and a present Impression must concur, we are abundantly supply'd with Experiments to prove the Reality of the foregoing Principle.

We may add Force to these Experiments by others of a different Kind, in considering the Effects of Consignity as well as of Resemblance. 'Tis certain that Distance diminishes the Force of every Idea, and that upon our Approach to any Object; tho' it does not discover itself to our Senses; it operates upon the Mind with an Influence, that imitates an immediate Impression.

Important only it wis from near tho' in the rally Cafe with that vaci

quod dign wear mus weom cepin bort ipfu fupfu curi mib

loc

diff

aď

ire

X-

n-

ır,

n-

W

le

to

m

al

1-

1;

S,

e -

1-

g

-

e

e

3

t

ď

S

9

3

Impression. The thinking on any Object readily transports the Mind to what is contiguous; but 'tis only the actual Presence of an Object, that transports it with a superior Vivacity. When I am a few Miles from home, whatever relates to it touches me more nearly than when I am two hundred Leagues distant; tho' even at that Distance the reslecting on any thing in the Neighbourhood of my Friends or Family naturally produces an Idea of them. But as in this latter Case, both the Objects of the Mind are Ideas; notwithstanding there is an easy Transition betwixt them; that Transition alone is not able to give a superior Vivacity to any of the Ideas, for want of some immediate Impression*.

No

^{*} Naturane nobis, inquit, datum dicam, an errore quodam, ut, cum ea loca videamus, in quibus memoria dignos viros acceperimus multum effe versatos, magis moveamur, quam siquando eorum ipsorum aut facta audiamus aut scriptum aliquod legamus? Velut ego nunc moveor. Venit enim mibi Platonis in mentem, quem accepimus primum bic disputare solitum: Cujus etiam illi bortuli propingui non memoriam solum mibi afferunt, sed ipsum widentur in conspectu meo bic ponere. Hic Speufippus, bie Xenocrates, bie ejus auditor Polemo; cujus ipsa illa sessio fuit, quam videamus. Equidem etiam curiam nostram, Hollilium dico, non bane novam, quæ mibi minor effe videtur postquam est major, solebam intuens, Scipionem, Catonem, Lælium, nostrum vero in primis avum cogitare. Tanta vis admonitionis est in locis; ut non fine causa ex his memoriæ deducta sit disciplina. Cicero de Finibus. Lib. 5.

No one can doubt but Causation has the same Influence as the other two Relations of Refemblance and Contiguity. Superstitious People are fond of the Relicts of Saints and holy Men, for the fame Reason, that they feek after Types or Images, in order to enliven their Devotion, and give them a more intimate and strong Conception of those exemplary Lives,. which they defire to imitate. Now 'tis evident one of the best Relicts a Devotee could procure would be the Handywork of a Saint; and if his Cloaths and Furniture are ever to be consider'd in this Light, 'tis because they were once at his Disposal, and were mov'd and affected by him; in which Respect they are to be confider'd as imperfect Effects, and as connected with. him by a shorter Chain of Consequences than any of those, by which we learn the Reality of his Existence.

dead or absent, were presented to us; 'tis evident, that this Object would instantly revive its correlative idea, and recall to our Thoughts all our past Intimacies and Familiarities in more lively Colours than they would otherwise have appear'd to us. This is another Phænomenon, which seems to prove the Principle above mentioned.

him soldeness harmond . I what advantage in

nicela singuinoppia riveres l'estatope present

to too ato the explication density to he

W.B.

WE

Belief

withou

inliver

suppos

isted.

of Ho

I affer

Memo

from f

and V

throw

imme

exting

from

fon. Exper

tion (

floatin

imme

and a

which

Sense

not t

ftron

me,

1-

d

-

1,

e

,,

£

e

1

2

1.

f

9.

1.

S.

WE may observe, that in these Phænomena the Belief of the correlative Object is always pre-suppos'd; without which the Relation could have no Effect in inlivening the Idea. The Influence of the Picture supposes, that we believe our Friend to have once existed. Contiguity to Home can never excite our Ideas of Home, unless we believe that it really exists. Now I affert, that this Belief, where it reaches beyond the Memory or Senses, is of a similar Nature, and arises from fimilar Causes, with the Transition of Thought and Vivacity of Conception here explain'd. When I throw a Piece of dry Wood into a Fire, my Mind is immediately carry'd to conceive, that its augments, not extinguishes the Flame. This Transition of Thought from the Cause to the Effect proceeds not from Reafon. It derives its Origin altogether from Custom and Experience. And as it first begins from an Object, present to the Senses, it renders the Idea or Conception of Flame more strong and lively than any loose, floating Reverie of the Imagination. That Idea arises immediately. The Thought passes instantly to it, and conveys to it all that Force of Conception, which is deriv'd from the Impression present to the Senses. When a Sword is level'd at my Breaft, does not the Idea of Wound and Pain strike me more strongly, than when a Glass of Wine is presented to me, even tho' by Accident this Idea should be prefented the producing of Good, or available of this sented after the Appearance of the latter Object? But what is there in this whole Matter to cause such a strong Conception, but only a present Object and a customary Transition to the Idea of another Object, which we have been accustom'd to conjoin with the former? This is the whole Operation of the Mind in all our Conclusions concerning Matter of Fact and Existence; and 'tis a Satisfaction to find some Analogies, by which it may be explain'd. The Transition from a present Object does in all Cases give Strength and Solidity to the related Idea.

HERE is a kind of pre-establish'd Harmony betwixt the Course of Nature and the Successions of our Ideas; and tho' the Powers and Forces, by which the former is govern'd, be wholly unknown to us, yet our Thoughts and Conceptions have fill, we find, gone on in the fame Train with the other Works of Nature. Cuftom is that admirable Principle, by which this Correspondence has been effected; so necessary to the Subfiftence of our Species, and the Regulation of our Conduct, in every Circumstance and Occurrence of human Life. Had not the Presence of an Object instantly excited the Idea of those Objects, commonly conjoin'd with it, all our Knowledge must have been limited to the narrow Sphere of our Memory and Senses; and we should never have been able to adjust Means to Ends, nor employ our natural Powers, either to the producing of Good, or avoiding of Evil. Those, Those tion ploy

IS foreg by w vice . man to the flow durin every to E Prud the I which cove Thou Dedi taugl the I they fline pond mon

Pow

Succ

Those, who delight in the Discovery and Contemplation of final Causes, have here ample Subject to employ their Wonder and Admiration.

But

a

da et,

he

in

ind

10-

on

th

ixt

is;

r is

hts

he

om on-

ft-

on-

in-

rly

en

nd

ust ei-

ril.

I SHALL add, as a farther Confirmation of the foregoing Theory, that as this Operation of the Mind, by which we infer like Effects from like Caufes, and vice versa, is so essential to the Subsistence of all human Creatures, it is not probable it could be trufted to the fallacious Deductions of our Reason, which is flow in its Operations, appears not, in any Degree, during the first Years of Infancy, and at best is, in every Age and Period of human Life, extremely liable to Error and Mistake. 'Tis more like the ordinary Prudence of Nature to secure so necessary an Act of the Mind, by some Instinct or mechanical Tendency, which may be infallible in its Operations, may difcover itself at the first Appearance of Life and Thought, and may be independent of all the labour'd Deductions of the Understanding. As Nature has taught us the Use of our Limbs, without giving us the Knowledge of the Muscles and Nerves, by which they are actuated; fo has she implanted in us an Inflinct, that carries forward the Thought in a correfpondent Course to that which she has establish'd among external Objects; tho' we are ignorant of those Powers and Forces, on which this regular Course and Succession of Objects totally depends.

Copiest Solarie of the Doubles. Their, who design is the Dilegram and Congress of the week has been and and present the tipand the Western and Arthurst and the Control of the ASHALL and as in facility Continuous of the Land of the street of the stre Less , so Les Della mod attalles les les une Color le · u sin la mathanagent plant, regent of the Co. below at the set property which is greated 41 a at the fire address of the late of the state of the state of the correctly the interest the contract of the contract of of its fall in box granted to start friend, gains city Agrand July of Saran Life, engoned Halls to Miner and Auguste. "I'm note like the onlinery To Star of Judiose of appell or speak to appeal and the Miller by one laying or nieth while Tendenty all the brighes in its Commonly that all coper inche at the art appearance of his It would state the to come of the tale of the Labour et the beginning of the Upderflunding. As Pennse has with the of our limb, which the said The state of the State of the state of the state of but he in all distributed to help on the procession, with -ratios is at suggest the base of edgap all thems to be delicated and the state of the state of the state of state assumed Objects y district are ignorant of and lawer sheld dones, or which the regular Courts and Miles of the Manual of the Company o THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF VA 20 Elements of December 1 to a My

any ing,

a Suras the fite (

Affe

bable Men form gume

D ou

ESSAY VI.

Of PROBABILITY*.

THO' there be no fuch Thing as Chance in the World; our Ignorance of the real Cause of any Event has the same Insluence on the Understanding, and begets a like Species of Belief or Opinion.

THERE is certainly a Probability, which arises from a Superiority of Chances on any Side; and according as this Superiority encreases, and surpasses the opposite Chances, the Probability receives a proportionable Encrease, and begets still a higher Degree of Belief or Assent to that Side, in which we discover the Superiority.

* Mr. Locke divides all Arguments into demonstrative and probable. In this View, we must say, that 'tis only probable all Men must die or that the Sun will rise To-morrow. But to conform our Language more to common Use, we should divide Arguments into Demonstrations, Proofs, and Probabilities. By Proofs meaning such Arguments from Experience as leave no room for Doubt or Opposition. Number of Spots on four Sides, and with another Figure or Number of Spots on the two remaining Sides, 'twould be more probable, that the former should turn up than the latter; tho' if it had a thousand Sides mark'd in the same Manner, and only one opposite Side, the Probability would be much higher, and our Belief or Expectation of the Event more steady and secure. This Process of the Thought or Reasoning may seem trivial and obvious; but to those, who consider it more narrowly, it may, perhaps, afford Matter for very curious Speculation.

IT feems evident, that when the Mind looks forward to discover the Event, which may result from the Throw of such a Dye, it considers the turning up of each particular Side as alike probable; and this is the very Nature of Chance to render all the particular Events, comprehended in it, entirely equal. finding a greater Number of Sides concur in the one Event than in the other, the View is carry'd more frequently to that Event, and meets it oftner, in revolving the various Possibilities or Chances, on which the ultimate Refult depends. This Concurrence of the feveral Views in one particular Event begets immediately, by an inexplicable Contrivance of Nature, the Sentiment of Belief, and gives that Event the Advantage over its Antagonist, which is supported by a leffer Number of Views, and recurs less frequently

to the
a firm
what
this O
count
or Gl
Imagi
rende
more
Securi

as winch parties found tion.
every Impulsible Caufe certain Opium Medical any I

lecret

to the Mind. If we allow, that Belief is nothing but a firmer and stronger Conception of an Object than what attends the mere Fictions of the Imagination, this Operation may, perhaps, in some measure, be accounted for. The Concurrence of these several Views or Glimpses imprints its Idea more strongly on the Imagination; gives it superior Force and Vigour; renders its Influence on the Passions and Affections more sensible; and in a word, begets that Reliance or Security, which constitutes the Nature of Belief and Opinion.

THE Case is the same with the Probability of Causes as with that of Chance. There are fome Caufes. which are entirely uniform and constant in producing a particular Effect; and no Instance has ever yet been found of any Failure or Irregularity in their Operation. Fire has always burnt, and Water suffocated every human Creature: The Production of Motion by Impulse and Gravity is an universal Law, that has his therto admitted of no Exception. But there are other Causes which have been found more irregular and uncertain; nor has Rhubarb prov'd always a Purge, or Opium a Soporific to every one, who has taken thefe Medicines. 'Tis true; when any Cause fails of producing its usual Effect, Philosophers ascribe not this to any Irregularity in Nature; but suppose, that some ecret Causes, in the particular Structure of Parts, have

10

Fi-

les,

um

des

fite

our and

ing

con-

Mat-

for-

rom

g up

is is

cular

But

one

more

n re-

which

ce of

s im-

ature,

e Ad

by a

wently

3700

have prevented the Operation. Our Reafonings, however, and Conclusions concerning the Event are the same as if this Principle had no Place. Being determin'd by Custom to transfer the past to the future, in all our Inferences; where the past has been entirely regular and uniform, we expect the Event with the greatest Assurance, and leave no room for any contrary Supposition. But where different Effects have been found to follow from Causes, which are to Appearance exactly fimilar, all these various Effects must occur to the Mind in transferring the past to the future, and enter into our Confideration, when we determine the Probability of the Event. Tho' we give the Preference to that which has been found most ufual, and believe that this Effect will exist, we must not overlook the other Effects, but must give each of them a particular Weight and Authority, in Proportion as we have found it to be more or less frequent. 'Tis more probable, in every Place of Europe, that there will be Frost sometime in January, than that the Weather will continue fresh throughout that whole Month; tho' this Probability varies according to the different Climates, and approaches to a Certainty in the more northern Kingdoms. Here then it feems evident that when we transfer the past to the future, in order to determine the Effect that will refult from any Cause, we transfer all the different Events, in the same Proportion as they have appear'd in the past, and conceive one to have existed a hundred Times, arricular Structure of Parts.

for

for In

As a Event

beget

Prefer

portec

curs n

the pa

this O

Syster

Diffic

if the

phers,

tive al

rious a

gs,

are

de-

ire.

ely

the

on-

ave

Ap-

nust

fude-

give

t u-

nuft

h of pornent. that the hole the the

ture,

past, imes, for for Instance, another ten Times, and another once. As a great Number of Views do here concur in one Event, they fortify and confirm it to the Imagination, beget that Sentiment we call Belief, and give it the Preference above its Antagonist, which is not supported by an equal Number of Experiments, and occurs not so frequently to the Thought in transferring the past to the future. Let any one try to account for this Operation of the Mind upon any of the receiv'd Systems of Philosophy, and he will be sensible of the Difficulty. For my part, I shall think it sufficient, if the present Hints excite the Curiosity of Philosophers, and make them sensible how extremely defective all receiv'd Theories are, in treating of such curious and such sublime Subjects.

E

ESSAY

C. Promenter.

Hono towons bas somil are where weeking . . a period viction of victor do liero concit la one distribution of the contract of the first track of the of a cytal at the car and a cytal and a cytal -ciel tott to deiln Burganit, ni prete alectetel -ba ber lettericken all Tomaka It leaguest of the relationship to a profit of an inches plan de top an the samount of the one fix but I beauthy done had be o l'Osemica o di dis littera apon ner of the received ARBEIT I ALL HATT HET WE SOT . IT MEETS. 1 the product when come the Certality of Pilling. -Soleh videntills well state to make a lade here of the ela ilali id grisa e ni grafatante il prior liu e a 1116 11 C. 454 41 C. 444 C. 456 10 C. 1111 11

YARRI II.

是是1965年的1965年中,1965年中,1965年中,1965年中,1965年中

CONTRACTOR OF TAXABLE

is im still e. or V: cle, 1 and S exact

Ideas

and d

1- 11:5

A an

· in the

-11 / T Of

NOT !

any 7 of its

for t

my of the object that may be profited to a

at we have occasion to commortine h. Ambiguing, and, mo one L'ochedin gliadary il anola dit va-

the Chardons of the United and are, the various thions of the Persons, the really in incursive, dis-

Of the IDEA of POWER or necessary CONNEXION. SA BALL SAME

Our may file I. T'A A P m, that if we confider thefe Sciences in a proper Lightstheir Advantage

HE great Advantage of the mathematical Sciences above the moral confifts in this, that the Ideas of the former, being fenfible, are always clear and determinate, the smallest Distinction betwixt them is immediately perceptible, and the same Terms are still expressive of the same Ideas, without Ambiguity or Variation. An Oval is never miltaken for a Circle, nor an Hyperbola for an Ellipfis. The Isoceles and Scalenum are diftinguish'd by Boundaries more exact than Vice and Virtue, Right and Wrong. If any Term be defin'd in Geometry, the Mind readily, of itself, substitutes, on all Occasions, the Definition for the Term defin'd: Or even when no Definition is

employ'd, the Object itself may be presented to the Senses, and by that Means be steadily and clearly apprehended. But the finer Sentiments of the Mind the Operations of the Understanding, the various Agitations of the Passions, tho' really in themselves distinct, eafily escape us, when survey'd by Restection; nor is it in our Power to recall the original Object, as often as we have occasion to contemplate it. Ambiguity, by this Means, is gradually introduc'd into our Reaforings: Similar Objects are readily taken to be the fame: And the Conclusion becomes, at last, very wide of the Premises.

ONE may fafely, however, affirm, that if we confider thefe Sciences in a proper Light, their Advantages and Disadvantages do very nearly compensate each other, and reduce both of them to a State of Equality. If the Mind with greater Facility retains the Ideas of Ge ometry clear and determinate, it must carry on a mud longer and more intricate Chain of Reasoning, and com pare Ideas much wider of each other, in order to read the abstruser Truths of that Science. And if more Ideas are apt, without extreme Care, to fall into Ob fcurity and Confusion, the Inferences are always mud shorter in these Disquisitions, and the intermedian Steps, that lead to the Conclusion, much fewer that in the Sciences, which treat of Quantity and Number In reality, there is scarce a Proposition of Euclid rolomy

fim four Chi of th well Nati Caui Igno Imp is the Tern is the requi haps, tarded nome canno most c fophy ments clude, among struct t

THE obscure mergy ?

Care a

fimp

the

ap-

ind

lgi-

net,

or is

ften

uity,

Rea-

the

very

con-

ntages

ch o

nality.

of Ge

much

d com-

o read

mon

to Ob

s mud

median er tha

lumbe

uclid

fimp

fimple as not to confift of more Parts, than are to be found in any moral Reasoning, which runs not into Chimera and Conceit. If we can trace the Principles of the human Mind thro' a few Steps, we may be very well fatisfy'd with our Progress; considering how soon Nature throws a Bar to all our Enquiries concerning Causes, and reduces us to an Acknowledgment of our Ignorance. The chief Obstacle, therefore, to our Improvement in the moral or metaphyfical Sciences is the Obscurity of the Ideas, and Ambiguity of the Terms. The principal Difficulty in the Mathematics is the Length of Inferences and Compass of Thought, requifite to the forming any Conclusion. And perhaps, our Progress in natural Philosophy is mostly retarded by the Want of proper Experiments and Phænomena, which often are discover'd by Chance, and cannot always be found, when requisite, even by the most diligent and prudent Enquiry. As moral Philofophy feems hitherto to have received less Improvements than either Geometry or Physics, we may conclude, that, if there be any Difference in this Respect amongst these Sciences, the Difficulties, which obstruct the Progress of the former, require the greatest Care and Capacity to be furmounted.

THERE are no Ideas, that occur in Metaphysics, more obscure and uncertain, than those of Power, Force, Energy, or necessary Connexion, which it is every Mo-

can we timew Livin upon there lanes, and render

ment necessary for us to treat of in all our Disquistions. We shall, therefore, endeavour, in this Essay, to fix, if possible, the precise Meaning of these Terms, and thereby remove some Part of that Obscurity, which is so much complain'd of in this Species of Philosophy.

Tiede Butte butter of Asia

IT feems a Proposition, which will not admit of much Dispute, that all our Ideas are nothing but Copies of our Impressions, or in other Words, that 'tis impossible for us to think of any Thing, which we have not antecedently felt, either by our external or internal Senses. I have endeavour'd in a former Eslay * to explain and prove this Proposition, and have express'd my Hopes, that, by a proper Application of it, Men may be able to reach a greater Clearness and Precision in philosophical Reasonings, than what they have hitherto been ever able to attain. Complex Ideas may, perhaps, be well known by Definition, which is nothing but an Enumeration of those Parts or fimple Ideas, that compose them. But when we have push'd up Definitions to the most simple Ideas, and find still some Ambiguity and Obscurity; what Refource are we then possess'd of? By what Invention can we throw Light upon these Ideas, and render them altogether precise and determinate to our intel-

- Effay II.

lectual

obleure and orientaint,

lectua Sentia Impro not o plac'o Light feurit fain a in the ple Io our

Power prefficiently, less may

Subje

w and c able, necessity Effect Confe

Impu

ment

S.

x,

nd

ch

0-

of

0-

tis

ve

or

ifve

on

ess

nat

ex

on,

ve

nd

le-

on

der

el-

23

af

lectual View? Produce the Impressions or original Sentiments, from which the Ideas are copy'd. These Impressions are all strong and sensible. They admit not of Ambiguity and Obscurity. They are not only plac'd in a full Light themselves, but may throw Light on their correspondent Ideas, which lie in Obscurity. And by this Means, we may, perhaps, attain a new Microscope or Species of Optics, by which, in the moral Sciences, the most minute and most simple Ideas, may be so enlarg'd as to fall readily under our Apprehension, and be equally known with the grossest and most sensible Objects, that can be the Subjects of our Disquisition and Enquiry.

To be fully acquainted, therefore, with the Idea of Power or necessary Connexion, let us examine its Impression; and in order to find that with greater Certainty, let us fearch for all the Sources, from which it may possibly be deriv'd.

WHEN we look about us towards external Objects, and confider the Operation of Causes, we are never able, in any single Instance, to discover any Power or necessary Connexion; any Quality, which binds the Effect to the Cause, and renders the one an infallible Consequence of the other. We only find, that the one does actually, in fact, follow the other. The Impulse of one Billiard-Ball is attended with Motion

E 4

This is the whole, that appears to the in the fecond. outward Senses. The Mind feels no Sentiment or inward Impression from this Succession of Objects: Consequently, there is nothing in any fingle, particular Instance of Cause and Effect, which can suggest the Idea of Power or necessary Connexion.

FROM the first Appearance of an Object, we never can conjecture what Effect will refult from it. But were the Power or Energy of any Caufe discoverable by the Mind, we could forefee the Effect, even without Experience, and might, at first, pronounce with Certainty concerning it, by the mere Dint of Thought and Reasoning.

ticidique, mediania les de

In Reality, there is no Part of Matter, that does ever, by its fenfible Qualities, discover any Power or Energy, or give us ground to imagine, that it could produce any thing, or be follow'd by any other Object, which we could denominate its Effect. Solidity, Extension, Motion; these Qualities are all compleat in themselves, and never point out any other Event, which may refult from them. The Scenes of the Universe are continually shifting, and one Object follows another in an uninterrupted Succession; but the Power or Force, which actuates the whole Machine, is entirely conceal'd from us, and never discovers itself in any of the sensible Qualities of Body. We know, that

that, but v room possib riv'd flance cover

Idea

pear ceffar Inftan flectio copy' that v own I mand Body, Opera our Li

* M from Ex ter, and pable of the Idea original,

fore, ca

557 1

le

or

3 :

u-

ft

er

ut

ole

hith

ht

088

Or

uld

)b-

ity,

eat

ent,

ni-

SWC

wer

en-

fin

OW,

that

that, in fact, Heat is a constant Attendant of Flame; but what is the Connexion betwixt them, we have noroom so much as to conjecture or imagine. 'Tis impossible, therefore, that the Idea of Power can be deriv'd from the Contemplation of Bodies, in single Instances of their Operation; because no Bodies ever discover any Power, which can be the Original of this Idea.*

SINCE, therefore, external Objects, as they appear to the Senses, give us no Idea of Power or necessary Connexion, by their Operations in particular Instances; let us see, if this Idea be deriv'd from Reselection on the Operations of our own Minds, and be copy'd from any internal Impression. It may be said, that we are every Moment conscious of Power in our own Minds, while we feel, that, by the simple Command of our Will, we can move the Organs of our Body, or direct the Faculties of our Minds, in their Operation. An Act of Volition produces Motion in our Limbs, or raises a new Idea in our Imagination.

feid Te word an weight Eos and this

Caule, which connects it with the Buck, and render

^{*} Mr. Locke, in his Chapter of Power, says, that finding from Experience, that there are several new Productions in Matter, and concluding that there must somewhere be a Power, capable of producing them, we arrive at last by this Reasoning at the Idea of Power. But no Reasoning can ever give us a new, original, simple Idea; as this Philosopher confesses. This, there-

This Influence of the Will we know by Consciousness. Hence we acquire the Idea of Power or Energy; and are certain, that we ourselves and all other intelligent Beings are possess'd of Power. The Operations and mutual Influence of Bodies are, perhaps, sufficient to prove, that they also are posses'd of it. However this may be, the Idea of Power must certainly be allow'd to be an Idea of Reflection, fince it arises from reflecting on the Operations of our own Minds, and on the Command, which is exercis'd by Will over the Organs of the Body and Faculties of the Mind.

WE shall proceed to examine this Pretension, and shall endeavour to avoid, as far as we are able, all Jargon and Confusion, in treating of such subtile and fuch profound Subjects.

I ASSERT, then, in the first Place, that the Influence of Volition over the Organs of the Body, is a Fact, which, like all other natural Operations, could be known only by Experience, and could never be foreseen from any apparent Energy or Power in the Cause, which connects it with the Effect, and renders the one a necessary Consequence of the other. The Motions of our Body follow upon the Command of our Will. This we are every Moment conscious of: But the Means, by which this is effected; the Energy, by which the Will performs fo extraordinary an Operation;

OI CO lige

my by an] Th we tain exte extr Cor ceiv kno the ! and

Se of th fign : mark Why Fing

stion

by v

stanc

Operation; this we are so far from being immediately conscious of, that it must for ever escape our most diligent Search and Enquiry.

1

t

d t

T

-

n

d

e

d

11

d

11-

2

ld

ne

rs

of

f :

r-

ın

اذا

FOR first; Is there any Principle in all Nature more mysterious than the Union of the Soul with the Body; by which a suppos'd spiritual Substance acquires such an Influence over a material one, that the most refin'd Thought is able to actuate the groffest Body? Were we empower'd, by a fecret Wish, to remove Mountains, or controul the Planets in their Orbits; this extensive Authority over Matter would not be more extraordinary, nor more beyond the Bounds of our Comprehension. But if by Consciousness we perceiv'd any Power or Energy in the Will, we must know this Power; we must know its Connexion with the Effect; we must know the secret Union of Soul and Body, and the Nature of both these Substances; by which the one is able to operate, in fo many Instances, upon the other.

Secondly, We are not able to move all the Organs of the Body with a like Authority; tho' we cannot affign any other Reason, besides Experience, for so remarkable a Difference betwixt the one and the other. Why has the Will an Influence over the Tongue and Fingers, and not over the Heart or Liver? This Question would never embarrass us, were we conscious

of a Power in the former Case, and not in the latter.

We should, then, perceive, independent of Experience, why the Authority of Will over the Organs of the Body is circumscrib'd within such particular Limits. Being in that Case fully acquainted with the Power or Force, by which it operates, we should also know, why its Instuence reaches precisely to such Boundaries, and no farther.

or Arm, or who had newly lost those Members, frequently endeavours, at first, to move them, and employ them to their usual Offices. Here he is as much conscious of Power to command such Limbs, as a Man in perfect Health is to actuate any Member, which remains in its natural State and Condition. But Consciousness never deceives. Consequently, neither in the one Case nor the other, are we ever conscious of any Power. We only tearn the Influence of our Will from Experience. And Experience only teaches us, how one Event constantly follows another, without instructing us in the secret Connexion, which binds them together, and renders them inseparable.

Thirdly, We learn from Anatomy, that the immediate Object of Power in voluntary Motion, is not the Member itself, which is mov'd, but certain Muscles, and Nerves, and animal Spirits, and perhaps, something

thin whi read diat Pro tion kno to th the l Eve from duce a lon if th Wer all Pe the I or fe to m but o tho'

hope,

yet o

our (

r.

9=

of

1-

ne

fo

ch

eg

e-

n-

ch

a

er,

But-

her

ous

our

hes

th-

nds

1)

me-

the les,

me-

ning

thing still more minute and more unknown, thro? which the Motion is successively propagated, 'ere it reach the Member itself, whose Motion is the immediate Object of Volition. Can there be a more certain Proof, that the Power, by which this whole Operation is perform'd, fo far from being directly and fully known by an inward Sentiment or Consciousness, is. to the last degree, mysterious and unintelligible? Here the Mind wills a certain Event: Immediately, another Event, unknown to ourselves, and totally different from that intended, is produc'd: This Event produces another, equally unknown: Till at laft, thro' a long Succession, the desir'd Event is produc'd. But if the original Power were felt, it must be known: Were it known, its Effect must also be known; fince all Power is relative to its Effect. And vice versa, if the Effect be not known, the Power cannot be known or felt. How indeed can we be conscious of a Power to move our Limbs, when we have no fuch Power; but only that to move certain animal Spirits, which, tho' they produce at fast the Motion of our Limbs, yet operate in a Manner, that is altogether beyond our Comprehension ? Reference to the Mint in the five

WE may, therefore, conclude from the whole, I hope, without any Temerity, tho' with Assurance; that our Idea of Power is not copy'd from any Sentiwood and I was the the contract of wood out the state ment

ment or Consciousness of Power within ourselves, when we give rise to animal Motion, or apply our Limbs to their proper Use and Office. That their Motion follows the Command of the Will is a Matter of common Experience, like other natural Events: But the Power or Energy, by which this is effected, like that in other natural Events, is unknown and inconceivable *.

SHALL we then affert, that we are conscious of a Power or Energy in our own Minds, when, by an Act or Command of our Will, we raise up a new Idea, fix the Mind to a Contemplation of it, turn it on all Sides,

It may be pretended, that the Refistance, which we meet with in Bodies, obliging us frequently to exert our Force, and call up all our Power; this gives us the Idea of Force and Power, *Tis this Nifus or strong Endeavour, of which we are conscious, that is the original Impression, from which this Idea is copy'd. But, first, we attribute Power to a vast Number of Objects, where we never can suppose this Resistance or Exertion of Power to take place : To the supreme Being, who never meets with any Refistance; to the Mind in its Command over our Ideas and Limbs, in common Thinking and Motion, where the Effect follows immediately upon the Will, without any Exertion or fummoning up of Force; to inanimate Matter, which is not capable of this Sentiment. Secondly, This Sentiment of an Endeavour to overcome Refistance has no known Connexion with any Event: What follows it, we know by Experience, but could not know a priori.

Sides we to cura that dea

F Pow by w thefe fore, latio tend Soul the o a Pr impli Sight nite. is no Mino of an But t form

Sei

tirely

25,

ur

eir

er

d,

n-

a

an

ea,

all

ës,

eet

bas

er.

us,

'd.

As,

ver

any and

ol-

mble our

nt:

WO

Sides, and at last dismiss it for some other Idea, when we think, that we have survey'd it with sufficient Accuracy? I believe the same Arguments will prove, that even this Command of the Will gives us no real Idea of Force or Energy.

Firf., It must be allow'd, that when we know a Power, we know that very Circumstance in the Cause, by which it is enabled to produce the Effect: For thefe are suppos'd to be synonimous. We must, therefore, know both the Cause and Effect, and the Relation betwixt the one and the other. But do we pretend to be acquainted with the Nature of the human Soul and the Nature of an Idea, or the Aptitude of the one to produce the other? This is a real Creation; a Production of fomething out of nothing: Which implies a Power fo great, that it may feem, at first Sight, beyond the Reach of any Being, less than infinite. At least, it must be own'd, that such a Power is not felt, nor known, nor even conceivable by the Mind. We only feel the Event, viz. the Existence of an Idea, consequent to a Command of the Will: But the Manner, by which this Operation is perform'd; the Power, by which it is produc'd; is entirely beyond our Comprehension.

Secondly, The Command of the Mind over itself is limited, as well as its Command over the Body; and these

Contemplation of the Nature of the Cause and the Effect; but only by Experience and Observation, as in all other natural Events and in the Operation of external Objects. Our Authority over our Sentiments and Passions is much weaker than that over our Ideas; and even the latter Authority is circumscrib'd within very narrow Limits. Will any one pretend to assign the ultimate Reason of these Limits, or show why the Power is desicient in one Case and not in another?

Thirdly, This Self-command is very different at different Times. A Man in Health possesses more of it, than one languishing with Sickness. We are more Masters of our Thoughts in the Morning than in the Evening: Fasting, than after a full Meal. Can we give any Reason for these Variations, except Experience? Where then is the Power, of which we pretend to be conscious? Is there not here some secret Mechanism or Structure of Parts, either in a spiritual or material Substance or both, upon which the Effect depends, and which being altogether unknown to us, renders the Power or Energy of the Will equally unknown and incomprehensible?

WOLITION is furely an Act of the Mind, with which we are infliciently acquainted. Reflect upon it.

Confider

Confilike thing the O to fpe Scene this E rience to con

refult

buing betwing the ent-

TI culty milia heavy of Ar but f the v is cor in its a Tu Caufe fual A any (the I Earth that t Caufe

1000

ny

he

23

of

nts

I-

p'd

to

WO

an+

id

at

of

ore

the

we

pe-

re-

ret

ual

ect

us,

un-

ith.

it.

ider

Consider it on all Sides. Do you find any thing in it like this creative Power, by which it raises from not thing a new Idea, and by a kind of Fiat, imitates the Omnipotence of its Maker, if I may be allow'd so to speak, who call'd forth into Existence all the various Scenes of Nature? So far from being conscious of this Energy in the Will, it requires as certain Experience, as that which we are posses'd of in the Case, to convince us, that such extraordinary Effects do ever result from a simple Act of Volition.

THE Generality of Mankind never find any Difficulty in accounting for the more common and familiar Operations of Nature; fuch as the Descent of heavy Bodies, the Growth of Plants, the Generation of Animals, or the Nourishment of Bodies by Food; but suppose, that, in all these Cases, they perceive the very Force and Energy of the Cause, by which if is connected with its Effect, and is for ever infallible in its Operation. They acquire, by long Habit, fuch a Turn of Mind, that, upon the Appearance of the Cause, they immediately expect with Assurance its ufual Attendant, and hardly conceive it possible; that any other Event could refult from it. 'Tis only on the Discovery of extraordinary Phænomena, such as Earthquakes, Peftilences, and Prodigies of any Kind, that they find themselves at a Loss to assign a proper Cause, and to explain the Manner, in which the Effect 3000

feet is produc'd by it. 'Tis usual for Men, in such Difficulties, to have recourse to some invisible, intelligent Principle, (quafi Deus ex macbina) as the immediate Cause of that Event, which surprises them, and which, they think, cannot be accounted for from the common Powers of Nature. But Philosophers, who carry their Scrutiny a little farther, immediately perceive, that, even in the most familiar Events, the Energy of the Cause is as unintelligible as in the most extraordinary and unufual, and that we only learn by Experience the frequent Conjunction of one Object with another, without being ever able to comprehend any thing like CONNEXION betwixt them. Here then many Philosophers think themselves oblig'd by Reason to have recourse, on all Occasions, to the same Principle, which the Vulgar never appeal to but in Cases, that appear miraculous and supernatural. They acknowledge Mind and Intelligence to be, not only the ultimate and original Cause of all Things, but the immediate and fole Cause of every Event, that appears in Nature. They pretend, that those Objects, which are commonly denominated Causes, are in Reality nothing but Occasions; and that the true and direct Principle of every Effect is not any Power or Force in Nature, but a Volition of the supreme Being, who wills, that fuch particular Objects should be for ever conjoin'd with each other. Instead of faying, that one Billiard-Ball moves another, by a Force, which it has deriv'd from

from t they fa fecond the Im genera the G advan we ar pends less is the C Mind Confc one C rance, fion. Cause they a tated Mind. nipote Confe manne duces felf, w

tent, a

neoufl

do Ph

TUE

from the Author of Nature; 'tis the Deity himself, they fay, who, by a particular Volition, moves the fecond Ball, being determin'd to this Operation by the Impulse of the first Ball; in Consequence of those general Laws, which he has laid down to himself in the Government of the Universe. But Philosophers, advancing still in their Enquiries, discover, that, as we are totally ignorant of the Power, on which depends the mutual Operation of Bodies, we are no less ignorant of that Power, on which depends the Operation of Mind on Body, or of Body on Mind; nor are we able, either from our Senses or Consciousness, to assign the ultimate Principle, in the one Case more than in the other. The same Ignorance, therefore, reduces them to the fame Conclufion. They affert, that the Deity is the immediate Cause of the Union betwixt Soul and Body, and that they are not the Organs of Sense, which, being agitated by external Objects, produce Sensations in the Mind; but that 'tis a particular Volition of our omnipotent Maker, which excites fuch a Sensation, in Confequence of fuch a Motion in the Organ. In like manner, it is not any Energy in the Will, that produces local Motion in our Members: 'Tis God himfelf, who is pleas'd to fecond our Will, in itself impotent, and to command that Motion, which we erroneously attribute to our own Power and Efficacy. Nor do Philosophers stop at this Conclusion. They some-

times

Tull

ich

el-

m-

m,

m

rs,

ely

he

oft

by

ect

nd

en

on

in-

es.

ac-

the

m-

in

ich

10-

in-

Va-

lls,

ı'd

rd-

v'd

rom

times

times apply the same Inferences to the Mind itself, in its internal Operations. Our mental Vision or Conception of Ideas is nothing but a Revelation made to us by our Maker. When we voluntarily turn our Thoughts to any Object, and raise up its Image in the Fancy; it is not the Will, which creates that Idea: 'Tis the universal Creator of all Things, who discovers it to the Mind, and renders it present to us.

THUS, according to these Philosophers, every Thing is full of God. Not contented with the Principle, that nothing exists but by his Will, that nothing posfestes any Power but by his Concession: They roll Nature, and all created Beings of every Power, in order to render their Dependance on the Deity still more sensible and immediate. They consider not, that by this Theory they diminish, instead of magnifying, the Grandeur of those Attributes, which they affect fo much to celebrate. It argues furely more Power in the Deity to delegate a certain Degree of Power to his inferior Creatures than to operate every Thing by his immediate Volition. It argues more Wisdom to contrive at first the Fabric of the World with such perfect Forefight, that, of itself, and by its own proper Operation, it may serve all the Purposes of Providence, than if the great Creator were oblig'd every Moment to adjust its Parts, and animate by his Breath all the Wheels of that stupendous Machine.

But

B

futat

Refle

Fi

niver

who

Real

fin'd

men

gical

folut

Read

fions

Life

long

and ,

Met

gies

Our

And

guid

tude

fanc

cappl

Land of the line of the contract

in

n-

to

ur

he a:

ing

ole,

oh

or-

ore By

the

T fo

T in

his

his

con-

rfect

)pe-

nce,

1 the

But

Bur if we would have a more philosophical Confutation of this Theory, perhaps the two following Reflections may suffice.

It feems to me, that this Theory, of the univerfal Energy and Operation of the Supreme Beings is too bold ever to carry Conviction with it to a Man. who is sufficiently appriz'd of the Weakness of human Reason, and the narrow Limits, to which it is confin'd in all its Operations. Tho' the Chain of Arguments, that lead to it, were ever so conclusive and logical, there must arise a strong Supicion, if not an abfolute Assurance, that it has led us quite beyond the Reach of our Faculties, when it establishes Conclusions so extraordinary, and so remote from common Life and Experience. We are got into Fairy-land. long ere we have reach'd the last Steps of our Theory; and there we have no Reason to trust our common Methods of Argument, or think that our usual Analogies and Probabilities have any Weight or Authority. Our Line is too short to fathom such immense Abysses. And however we may flatter ourfelves, that we are guided in every Step we take by a kind of Verisimilitude and Experience; we may be affur'd, that this fancy'd Experience has no Authority, when we thus apply it to Subjects, that lie entirely out of the Sphere Hotter, Wo had by Experience, that a Body at Men er in of Experience. But on this we shall have Occasion to touch afterwards .

had very perhaps the ever following

Secondly, I cannot perceive any Force in the Arguments, on which this Theory is founded. We are ignorant, 'tis true, of the Manner, in which Bodies operate on each other: Their Force or Energy is entirely incomprehensible. But are we not equally ignorant of the Manner or Force, by which a Mind, even the supreme Mind, operates either on itself or on Body? Whence, I befeech you, do we acquire any Idea of it? We have no Sentiment or Consciousness of this Power in ourselves: We have no Idea of the supreme Being, but what we learn from Reflection on our own Faculties. Were our Ignerance, therefore, a good Reason for our rejecting any Thing, we should be led into that Principle of refusing all Energy to the supreme Being as much as to the groffest Matter. We furely comprehend as little the Operations of the one as of the other. Is it more difficult to conceive, that Motion may arise from Impulse, than that it may arise from Volition? All we know is our profound Ignorance in both Cafes 4. d and 120 and horaver we may facter outflines that we are

TART PART ever three teleby a blad of Verificalis.

Bu which We have ceffary

could

tion con fome ne tion from Facts. Facts, v in the fa tain Effe never th or Energ blish tha great Ph plain his deft as to on, witho thing in infinuated Deity, wi made it th no Autho fo much

has a real

has it bec

die Enly XII. and ayant ow a compregati bas abut

[†] I need not examine at length the wis inertia, which is so much talk'd of in the new Philosophy, and which it ascrib'd to Matter. We find by Experience, that a Body at Rest or in Motion

PART II. so wo vi ...

Lut one Bleit fellowing corrber, whichen Lee

Ř

.

e

es

1-

g-

d, or

re

n-

no

m

10-

ny

ng

he

the

ffi-

lfe,

15

6.2

T

5111

is fo

d to

Mo

tion

But to hasten to a Conclusion of this Argument; which is already drawn out to too great a Length: We have fought, in vain, for an Idea of Power or necessary Connexion in all the Sources, from which we could suppose it to be deriv'd. It appears, that, in fingle

tion continues for ever in its present State, till but from it by fome new Cause: And that a Body impell'd takes as much Motion from the impelling Body as it acquires itself. These are Facts. When we call this a vis inertiae, we only mark these Facts, without pretending to have any Idea of the inert Power ; in the same Manner as when we talk of Gravity, we mean certain Effects without comprehending that active Power. It was never the Meaning of Sir Isaac Newton to rob Matter of all Force or Energy; tho' fome of his Followers have endeavour'd to effablish that Theory upon his Authority. On the contrary that great Philosopher had recourse to an etherial active Matter to explain his universal Attraction; tho' he was so cautious and modeft as to allow, that it was a mere Hypothesis, not to be infifted on, without more Experiments. I must confess, that there is something in the Fate of Opinions a little extraordinary. Des-Cartes infinuated that Doctrine of the universal and sole Efficacy of the Deity, without infifting on it. Malebranche and other Cartefians made it the Foundation of all their Philosophy. It had, however, no Authority in England. Locke, Clarke, and Cudworth, never to much as take notice of it, but suppose all along, that Matter has a real, tho' subordinate and deriv'd Power. By what Means has it become so prevalent among our modern Metaphysicians?

exan

fente

netra

Expe

carry

imm

Ever

have

other

foret

estee

judge

Expe

one p

Stance

longe

peara

which

Existe

the c

Conn

by v

opera

ceffity

it was

edic-

fingle Inflances of the Operation of Bodies, we never can, by our outmost Scrutiny, discover any Thing but one Event following another, without being able to comprehend any Force or Power, by which the Cause operates, or any Connexion betwixt it and its suppos'd Effect. The same Difficulty occurs in contemplating the Operations of Mind on Body; where we observe the Motion of the latter to follow upon the Volition of the former; but are not able to observe or conceive the Tye, which binds them together, or the Energy, by which the Mind produces this Effect. The Authority of the Will over our own Faculties and Ideas is not a whit more comprehensible: So that apon the whole, there appears not, thro' all Nature, any one Instance of Connexion, that is conceivable by us: All Events feem entirely loofe and separate. One Event follows another; but we never can observe any Tye betwixt them : They feem conjoin'd, but never rainefled. And as we can have no Idea of any Thing, that never appear'd to our outward Sense or inward Sentiment, the necessary Conclusion feems to be, that we have no Idea of Connexion or Power at all, and that these Words are absolutely without any Meaning. when employ'd either in philosophical Reasonings, of common Life of the second of a second remains and a second remains

But we have still one Method of avoiding this Conclusion, and one Source, which we have not you examin't

Distribute in Engineer. I de Cante, and Coducerte, never

examin'd. When any natural Object or Event is prefented, 'tis impossible for us, by any Sagacity or Penetration, to discover, or even conjecture, without Experience, what Event will refult from it, or to carry our Forefight beyond those Objects, which are immediately present to the Memory and Senses. Even after one Instance or Experiment, where we have observ'd a particular Event to follow upon another, we are not entitled to form a general Rule, or foretel what will happen in like Cases; it being justly esteem'd an unpardonable Rashness and Temerity to judge of the whole Course of Nature from one fingle Experiment, however accurate or certain. one particular Species of Events has always, in all Instances, been conjoin'd with another, we make no longer any Scruple to foretell the one upon the Appearance of the other, and to employ that Reasoning, which can alone affure us of any Matter of Fact or Existence. We then call the one Object, Cause; and the other, Effect: We suppose, that there is some Connexion betwixt them; fome Power in the one, by which it infallibly produces the other, and operates with the greatest Certainty and strongest Newhich it is higgested. - The first Time a Man Lyille

Communication of Motion by Impalie as by the

Shock of two Billiard-balls, he could not pronounce

cogicia's, with the other, After he has observed se-

19.58

er

g

le

he

its

n-

ere

he

rve

10

ect.

and

that

are,

by

One

any

ever

ung,

ward

that

and

ning,

8, Of

ot yet min'd

Ir appears, then, that this Idea of a necessary Connexion amongst Objects or Events arises from a Number of fimilar Instances of the constant Conjunction of these Events, and can never be suggested by any one of these Instances, survey'd in all possible Lights and But what is there in a Number of Instances, Positions. different from every fingle Instance, which is suppos'd to be exactly fimilar? Nothing but this, that after a Repetition of fimilar Instances, the Mind is carry'd by Habit, upon the Appearance of one Event, to expect its usual Attendant, and to believe, that it will exist. This Connexion, therefore, which we feel in the Mind, or customary Transition of the Imagination from one Object to its usual Attendant, is the only Sentiment or Impression, from which we form the Idea of Power or necessary Connexion. Nothing farther is in the Gase. Contemplate the Subject on all Sides, you will never find any other Origin of this Idea. There is no other Difference betwixt one Instance, from which we never can receive the Idea of Connexion, and a Number of fimilar Inflances, by which it is fuggefted. The first Time a Man faw the Communication of Motion by Impulse, as by the Shock of two Billiard-balls, he could not pronounce that the one Effect was connected; but only that it was conjoin'd, with the other. After he has observ'd se-

vera them to gi but t in h iften Whe with a Coi Infere ther's extrac Evide gener Sufpic extrac to Sce ing th

And furprize ing, the Relation perfection

founde

Reafo

reral

1-

1-

of

ie

nd

S.

ď

a

by

:et

ft.

he

on

ıly

the

ng

on

In-

by

the

the

ince

Was

le-

reral

veral Inflances of this Nature, he then pronounces them to be connected. What Alteration has happen'd to give rife to this new Idea of Connexion? Nothing but this, that he now feels these Events to be connected in his Imagination, and can readily foretell the Existence of the one from the Appearance of the other. When, therefore, we say, that one Object is connected with another, we mean only, that they have acquir'd ! a Connexion in our Thoughts, and give rife to this Inference, by which they become Proofs of each other's Existence. A Conclusion, which is somewhat extraordinary; but which feems founded on fufficient Evidence. Nor will its Evidence be weakned by any general Diffidence of the Understanding, or sceptical Suspicion concerning every Conclusion, that is new and extraordinary. No Conclusion can be more agreeable to Scepticism than such as make Discoveries concerning the Weakness and narrow Limitations of human Reason and Capacity.

And what stronger Instance can be produc'd of the surprizing Ignorance and Weakness of the Understanding, than the present? For surely, if there be any Relation among Objects, which it imports us to know perfectly, 'tis that of Cause and Effect, On this are sounded all our Reasonings concerning Matter of Fact

milion of Caute, and call it, an Obert, followed by ar-

F 2

or Existence. By Means of it alone we attain any Affurance concerning Objects, that are remov'd from the present Testimony of our Memory and Senses. The only immediate Utility of all Science is to teach us, how to controul and regulate future Events by their Causes. Our Thoughts and Enquiries are, therefore, every Moment employ'd concerning this Relation. And yet so imperfect are the Ideas we form concerning it, that 'tis impossible to give any just Definition of Caufe, except what is drawn from fomething extraneous and foreign to it. Similar Objects are always conjoin'd with fimilar." Of this we have Experience. Suitable to this Experience, therefore, we may define a Caufe to be an Object, follow'd by another, and where all the Objets, fimilar to the first, are follow'd by Objects, fimilar to the fecond. The Appearance of a Cause does always convey the Mind, by a customary Transition, to the Idea of the Effect. Of this also we have Experience. We may, therefore, fuitable to this Experience, form another Definition of Cause, and call it, an Object, follow'd by another, and subofe Appearance always conveys the Thought so that other. But tho' both these Definitions be drawn from Circumstances, foreign to the Cause, we cannot remedy this Inconvenience, or attain any more perfect Definition, which may point out that Circumstance in the Cause, which gives it a Connexion with its Effect.

20 1

th

· W

N

fir.

for

tio

tw

fid

Eff pre Im

all Mi nor

ftar by

No

We have no Idea of this Connexion; nor even any Notion what it is we defire to know, when we endeavour at a Conception of it. We fay, for Instance, that the Vibration of this String is the Cause of this particular Sound. But what do we mean by that Affirmation? We either mean, that this Vibration is follow'd by this Sound, and that all similar Vibrations have been follow'd by similar Sounds: Or, that this Vibration is follow'd by this Sound, and that upon the Appearance of the one, the Mind anticipates the Senses, and forms immediately an Idea of the other. We may consider the Relation of Cause and Effects in either of these two Lights; but beyond these, we have no Idea of it.

occur to us; except only, that we could not not as in

S

40

t.

i-

!-

t

'n

ôt

a

in

ì.

e

To recapitulate, therefore, the Reasonings of this Essay: Every Idea is copy'd from some preceding Impression or Sentiment; and where we cannot find any Impression, we may be certain there is no Idea. In all single Instances of the Operation of Bodies or Minds, there is nothing that produces any Impression, nor consequently can suggest any Idea of Power or necessary Connexion. But when many uniform Instances appear, and the same Object is always follow'd by the same Event; we then begin to entertain the Notion of Cause and Connexion. We then feel a

F 3

new

shou

of 1

ther

MEDIE

new Sentiment or Impression, viz. a customary Cons nexion in the Thought or Imagination betwixt one Object and its usual Attendant; and this Sentiment is the Original of that Idea we feek for. For as this Idea arises from a Number of similar Instances, and not from any fingle Instance; it must arise from that Circumstance, in which the Number of Instances differ from every individual Instance. But this customary Connexion or Transition of the Imagination is the only Circumstance, in which they differ. In every other particular, they are alike. The first Inflance we faw of Motion, communicated by the Shock of two Billiard-balls (to return to this obvious Instance) is exactly fimilar to any one, that may, at prefent, occur to us; except only, that we could not, at first, infer the one Event from the other; which we are enabled to do at present, after so long a Course of uniform Experience. I know not, if the Reader will readily apprehend this Reasoning. I am afraid, that, should I multiply Words about it, or throw it into a greater Variety of Lights, it would only become more obscure and intricate. In all abstract Reafonings, there is one Point of View, which, if we can happily hit, we shall go farther towards illustrating the Subject, than by all the Eloquence and copious Expression of the World. This we bluothion of Confe pul Councesion We then

should endeavour to attain, and reserve the Flowers of Rhetoric for Subjects, that are more adapted to them.

15

ie

nt is d at f-)is n 1k e) t, ŧ, re of 11

it, to ne ave ilce ve FA

ESSAY

Of the Court of Parks. Historia of Courts and Electrical Courts of Courts and Electrical Courts of Courts

The substantial and the second

AND THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY

The second secon

er bi

01 (13

1976

with and leaft puta

true easy Ter

futu

nitio

qui

ESSAY VIII.

of the force I dear to design of the Artic, Society of the Artic, Society of

the most application and a Remarkage and a con-

part'd in and Controverty. Other as the Martine of

Of LIBERTY and NECESSITY.

The Lattice of Court I A R T Constructed the

Argement, which may give, them day William over

It might reasonably be expected, that, in Questions, which have been canvass'd and disputed with great Eagerness since the first Origin of Science and Philosophy, the Meaning of all the Terms, at least, should have been agreed upon among the Disputants; and our Enquiries, in the Course of two thousand Years, been able to pass from Words to the true and real Subject of the Controversy. For how easy may it seem to give exact Definitions of the Terms employ'd in Reasoning, and make these Desinitions, not the mere Sound of Words, the Object of suture Scrutiny and Examination! But if we consider the Matter more narrowly, we shall be apt to draw a quite opposite Conclusion. From that Circumstance alone, that a Controversy has been long kept asoot,

F 5;

and

and remains still undecided, we may presume, that there is some Ambiguity in the Expression, and that the Disputants affix different Ideas to the Terms employ'd in the Controversy. For as the Faculties of the Soul are suppos'd to be naturally alike in all Men; otherwise nothing could be more fruitless than to reason or dispute together; 'twere impossible, if they affix'd the same Ideas to their Terms, they could so long form different Opinions of the fame Subject; especially when they communicate their Views, and each Party turn themselves on all Sides, in Search of Arguments, which may give them the Victory over their Antagonists. 'Tis true; if they attempt the Discussion of Questions, that lie entirely beyond the Reach of human Capacity, fuch as those concerning the Origin of Worlds, or the Occonomy of the intellectual System or Region of Spirits, they may long beat the Air in their fruitless Contests, and never arrive at any determinate Conclusion. But if the Quethion regard any Subject of common Life and Experience; nothing, one would think, could preferve the Dispute so long undecided, but some ambiguous Expressions, which keep the Antagonists still at a Distance, and hinder them from grappling with each nicione, not the mere Sound of Words, the Cracker

This has been the Case in the long-disputed Que.

* Rion concerning Liberty and Necessity; and to so remarkable

Laure Scruting and Examination! But it we confidur

mar
we de to he to the would trove cany
fuch
Won
Eafe
fuch
Inftr
Argument

have and othat of whole Word of No.

not n Reaf

Opera

tt

ıt

1-

of

;

to

fo

t :

nd of

rer

he

he

ng

in-

arue-

pe-

Ex-

Di-

ach

Que.

able

markable a Degree, that, if I be not much mistaken, we shall find all Mankind, both learned and ignorant, to have been always of the same Opinion with regard to that Subject, and that a few intelligible Definitions would immediately have put an end to the whole Controversy. I own, that this Dispute has been so much canvass'd on all hands, and has led Philosophers into fuch a Labyrinth of obscure Sophystry, that 'tis no Wonder, if a fensible and polite Reader indulge his Ease so far as to turn a deaf Ear to the Proposal of fuch a Question, from which he can expect neither Instruction nor Entertainment. But the State of the Argument here proposed may, perhaps, serve to renew his Attention, as it has more Novelty, promises, at least, some Decision of the Controversy, and will not much disturb his Ease, by any intricate or obscure Reasoning.

I HOPE, therefore, to make appear, that all Men have ever agreed in the Doctrines both of Necessity, and of Liberty, according to any reasonable Sense, that can be put on these Expressions; and that the whole Controversy has hitherto turn'd merely upon Words. We shall begin with examining the Doctrine of Necessity.

'Trs univerfally allow'd, that Matter, in all its Operations, is actuated by a necessary Force, and that

every Effect is so precisely determin'd by the Nature and Energy of its Cause, that no other Effect, in such particular Circumstances, could possibly have resulted from the Operation of that Cause. The Degree and Direction of every Motion is, by the Laws of Nature, prescrib'd with such Exactness, that a living Creature may as soon arise from the Shock of two Bodies, as Motion in any other Degree or Direction, than what is actually produc'd by it. Would we, therefore, form a just and precise Idea of Necessity, we must consider, whence that Idea arises, when we apply it to the Operation of Bodies.

gathers bere proposed to real and tractage

IT feems evident, that, if all the Scenes of Nature were shifted continually in such a Manner, that no two Events bore any Resemblance to each other, but every Object was entirely new, without any Similitude to whatever had been seen before, we should never, in that Cafe, have attain'd the least Idea of Necessity, or of a Connexion amongst these Objects. We might fav, upon fuch a Supposition, that one Object or Event has follow'd another; not that one was produc'd' by the other. The Relation of Cause and Effect must be utterly unknown to Mankind. Inference and Reafoning concerning the Operations of Nature would, from that Moment, be at an End; and the Memory and Senses remain the only Canals, by which the Knowledge of any real Existence could possibly have access to the Mind. Our Idea, therefore, of Necesmit fimi the from cum we tion from ceffi

fity

allow
two
of M
follo
Do

difpu
othe

I

lar Constant It is Unif

Moti

A

fity and Causation arises entirely from that Uniformity, observable in the Operations of Nature; where similar Objects are constantly conjoin'd together, and the Mind is determin'd by Custom to infer the one from the Appearance of the other. These two Circumstances form the whole of that Necessity, which we ascribe to Matter. Beyond the constant Conjunction of similar Objects, and the consequent Inference from one to the other, we have no Notion of any Necessity or Connexion.

Is it appear, therefore, that all Mankind have ever allow'd, without any Doubt or Hesitation, that these two Circumstances, take place in the voluntary Actions of Men, and in the Operations of the Mind; it must follow, that all Mankind have ever agreed in the Doctrine of Necessity, and that they have hitherto disputed, merely for Want of sunderstanding each other.

Action and Belinghor. Thete Recence of W

3:

e

0.

t

e.

7,

it

ď

ft

1-

1,

y

16

70

1-

y .

As to the first Circumstance, the constant and regular Conjunction of similar Events; we may possibly satisfy ourselves by the following Considerations. It is universally acknowledged, that there is a great Uniformity amongst the Actions of Men, in all Nations and Ages, and that human Nature remains still the same, in its Principles and Operations. The same Motives produce always the same Actions: The same Events

Events follow from the fame Causes. Ambition. Avarice, Self-love, Vanity, Friendship, Generosity, public Spirit; these Passions, mix'd in various Degrees, and distributed thro' Society, have been, from the Beginning of the World, and still are, the Sources of all the Actions and Enterprizes, that have ever been observ'd amongst Mankind. Would you know the Sentiments, Inclinations, and Course of Life of the Greeks and Romans? Study well the Temper and Actions of the French and English. You cannot be much mistaken in transferring to the former most of the Observations you have made with regard to the latter. Mankind are fo much the fame, in all Times and Places, that History informs us of nothing new or strange in this particular. Its chief Use is only to difcover the constant and universal Principles of human Nature, by shewing Men in all Varieties of Circumflances and Situations, and furnishing us with Materials, from which we may form our Observations, and become acquainted with the regular Springs of human Action and Behaviour. These Records of Wars, Intrigues. Factions, and Revolutions are fo many Collections of Experiments, by which the Politician or moral Philosopher fixes the Principles of his Science; in the same Manner as the Physician or natural Philosopher becomes acquainted with the Nature of Plants, Minerals, and other external Objects, by the Experiments, which he forms concerning them. Nor are the Earth, Water, and other Elements, examin'd

at I

by

5 5 try, froi ven rofi froi pro had Dra exp of a the trar Mo him Cur natu ry'd fcril he v

do 1

and

١,

.

n es n

ie

le

d

e

of

ne

es

or

f-

m

n-

eid

nn n-

1-

or

i-

of

he

or 'd

by

by Aristotle, and Hypocrates, more like those, which at present lie under our Observation, than the Men, describ'd by Polybius and Tacitus, are to those who now govern the World.

SHOULD a Traveller, returning from a far Country, bring us an Account of Men, entirely different from any we were ever acquainted with; Men, who were entirely divefted of Avarice, Ambition, or Revenge; who knew no Pleasure but Friendship, Generofity, and public Spirit; we should immediately. from these Circumstances, detect the Falshood, and prove him a Liar, with the same Certainty as if he had stuff'd his Narration with Stories of Centaurs and Dragons, Miracles and Prodigies. And if we would explode any Forgery in History, we cannot make use of a more convincing Argument, than to prove, that the Actions, ascrib'd to any Person, are directly contrary to the Course of Nature, and that no human Motives, in fuch Circumstances, could ever induce him to fuch a Conduct. The Veracity of Quintus Curtius is as suspicious, when he describes the supernatural Courage of Alexander, by which he was hurry'd on fingly to attack Multitudes, as when he describes his supernatural Force and Activity, by which he was able to refift them. So readily and universally do we acknowledge a Uniformity in human Motives and Actions as well as in the Operations of Body.

and Hance Beginner, but becare there as an

HENCE likewise the Benefit of that Experience. acquir'd by a long Life and a Variety of Bufiness and Company, in order to instruct us in the Principles of human Nature, and regulate our future Conduct, aswell as Speculation. By Means of this Guide, we mount up to the Knowledge of Mens Inclinations and Motives, from their Actions, Expressions, and even Gestures; and again, descend to the Interpretation of their Actions from the Knowledge of their Motives and Inclinations. The general Observations, treasur'd up by a Course of Practice and Experience, give us the Clue of human Nature, and teach us to unravel all its Labyrinths and Intricacies. Pretexts and Appearances no longer deceive us. Public Declarations pass for the specious Colouring of a Cause: And tho' Virtue and Honour be allow'd their proper Weight and Authority, that perfect Difinterestedness, fo often pretended, is never expected in Multitudes and Parties; feldom in their Leaders; and fcarcely even in Individuals of any Rank or Station. But were there no Uniformity in human Actions, and were every Experiment we could form of this Kind irregular, and anomolous, 'twere impossible to collect any general Observations concerning Mankind; and no Experience, however accurately digefted by Reflection, would ever ferve to any Purpose. Why is the antient Husbandman more skilful in his Calling than the young Beginner, but because there is a certain: tain and and by

mity
Len
show
out
Prej
ever
the
and
form

rity.

A and Cuffe from Char Sex we b which which

in the

tain Uniformity in the Operation of the Sun, Rain, and Earth, towards the Production of Vegetables; and Experience teaches the old Practitioner the Rules, by which this Operation is govern'd and directed?

d

f

is.

13

 \mathbf{d}

10

ir

S,

e,

to

ts

e-

e:

er

ſs,

ly

ut

nd

nd

ती.

nd

le-

15

ng

er-

ain:

We must not, however, expect, that this Uniformity of human Actions should be carry'd such a Length, as that all Men, in the same Circumstances, should always act precisely in the same Manner, without any Allowance for the Diversity of Characters, Prejudices, and Opinions. Such a Uniformity, in every Particular, is found in no Part of Nature. On the contrary, from observing the Variety of Conduct and Behaviour in different Men, we are enabled to form a greater Variety of Rules and Maxims, which still suppose a Degree of Uniformity and Regularity.

ARE the Manners of Men different in different Ages and Countries? We learn thence the great Force of Custom and Education, which mold the human Mind from its Infancy, and form it into a fix'd and establish'd Character. Is the Behaviour and Conduct of the one Sex very unlike that of the other? 'Tis from thence we become acquainted with the different Characters, which Nature has impress'd upon the Sexes, and which she preserves with Constancy and Regularity. Are the Actions of the same Person much diversify'd in the different Periods of his Life, from Infancy to old

Age? This affords Room for many general Observations concerning the gradual Change of our Sentiments and Inclinations, and the different Maxims, which prevail in the different Ages of human Creatures. Even the Characters which are peculiar to each Individual, have a Constancy and Uniformity in their Influence, otherwise our Acquaintance with the Persons, and our Observations of their Conduct could never teach us their Dispositions, nor serve to direct our Behaviour with regard to them.

I GRANT it possible to find some Actions, which feem to have no regular or uniform Connexion with any known Motives, and are Exceptions to all the Measures of Conduct, which have ever been establish'd for the Government of Men. But if we would willingly know, what Judgment should be form'd of fuch irregular and extraordinary Actions; we may confider the Sentiments that are commonly entertain'd with regard to those irregular Events, which appear in the Course of Nature, and the Operations of external Objects. All Causes are not conjoin'd to their usual Effects, with like Constancy and Uniformity. An Artificer, who handles only dead Matter, may be disappointed of his Scope and Aim as well as the Politician, who directs the Conduct of sensible and intelligent Agents.

THE

TE

first A

to fue

often

with r

But P

of Na

and P

nutene the Co

Contin

ration

verted

they r

trariety

Caufes

and Or

son for

fay it

eafily p

Pendul

Wheels

fon of a

Movem

Instance

nexion b

a-

15,

ea-

to

in

he

ild

eet

ich

ith

the

h'd

vil-

of

nay

ter-

nich

ons

d to

for-

tter,

l as

and

THE

THE Vulgar, who take Things according to their first Appearance, attribute the Uncertainty of Events to fuch an Uncertainty in the Caufes as makes them often fail of their usual Influence; tho' they meet with no Obstacle nor Impediment in their Operation. But Philosophers, observing, that almost in every Part of Nature there is contain'd a vast Variety of Springs and Principles, which are hid, by reason of their Minuteness or Remoteness, find, that 'tis at least possible the Contrariety of Events may not proceed from any Contingency in the Caufe, but from the fecret Ope-This Possibility is conration of contrary Causes. verted into Certainty by farther Observation, when they remark, that, upon an exact Scrutiny, a Contrariety of Effects always betrays a Contrariety of Causes, and proceeds from their mutual Hindrance and Opposition. A Peasant can give no better Reafon for the stopping of any Clock or Watch than to fay it commonly does not go right: But an Artizan eafily perceives, that the same Force in the Spring or Pendulum has always the fame Influence on the Wheels; but fails of its usual Effect, perhaps by Reafon of a Grain of Duft, which puts a stop to the whole Movement. From the Observation of several parallel Instances, Philosophers form a Maxim, that the Connexion betwixt all Causes and Effects is equally necesfary, and that its feeming Uncertainty in some In**flances**

stances proceeds from the secret Opposition of contrary Causes.

THUS for Instance, in the human Body, when the usual Symptoms of Health or Sickness disappoint our Expectations; when Medicines operate not with their wonted Powers; when irregular Events follow from any particular Causes; the Philosopher and Physician are not furpriz'd at the Matter, nor are ever tempted to deny, in general, the Necessity and Uniformity of those Principles, by which the animal Occonomy is conducted. They know, that a human Body is a mighty complicated Machine: That many fecret Powers lurk in it, which are altogether beyond our Comprehension: That to us it must often appear very uncertain in its Operations: And that therefore the irregular Events, which outwardly discover themfelves, can be no Proof, that the Laws of Nature are not observ'd with the greatest Strictness and Regularity in its internal Operations and Government.

THE Philosopher, if he be consistent, must apply the same Reasonings to the Actions and Volitions of intelligent Agents. The most irregular and unexpected Resolutions of Men may frequently be accounted for by those who know every particular Circumstance of their Character and Situation. A Person of an obliging Disposition gives a peevish Answer:

But he pid Fe Carria Goodtimes either in gen tain D Manne tho it fome F duct, 1 and In tives m ing the as the the W Princip

betwixe and un any Pa junction Manki either from

fares

gacity

But

But he has the Tooth-ake, or has not din'd. A ftupid Fellow discovers an uncommon Alacrity in his Carriage: But he has met with a sudden Piece of Good-fortune. Or even when an Action, as sometimes happens, cannot be particularly accounted for, either by the Person himself or by others; we know, in general, that the Characters of Men are, to a certain Degree, inconstant and irregular. This is, in a Manner, the constant Character of human Nature; tho it be applicable, in a more particular Manner, to fome Persons, who have no fix'd Rule for their Conduct, but proceed in a continu'd Course of Caprice and Inconstancy. The internal Principles and Motives may operate in a uniform Manner, notwithstanding these seeming Irregularities; in the same Manner as the Winds, Rain, Clouds, and other Variations of the Weather are suppos'd to be govern'd by steady Principles; tho' not eafily discoverable by human Sagacity and Enquiry.

Thus, it appears, not only that the Conjunction betwixt Motives and voluntary Actions is as regular and uniform, as that betwixt the Cause and Effect in any Part of Nature; but also that this regular Conjunction has been universally acknowledg'd amongst Mankind, and has never been the Subject of Dispute, either in Philosophy or common Life. Now as it is from past Experience, that we draw all Inferences concerning

offers them as a result about the field filled and fall find be trained by the Money to enterprise to en-

on-

the our heir

rom cian pted cy of

y is a secret

our

hem-

gula-

apply ons of unex-

r Cir-Person swer:

But

concerning the future, and as we conclude, that Objects will always be conjoin'd together, which we find always to have been conjoin'd; it may feem fuperfluous to prove, that this experienc'd Uniformity in human Actions is the Source of all the Inferences we form concerning them. But in order to throw the Argument into a greater Variety of Lights, we shall also infift, tho' briefly, on this latter Topic.

THE mutual Dependance of Men is fo great, in all Societies, that scarce any human Action is entirely compleat in itself, or is perform'd without fome Reference to the Actions of others, which are requifite to make it answer fully the Intention of the Actor, The poorest Artificer, who labours alone, expects at least the Protection of the Magistrate, to ensure the Enjoyment of the Fruits of his Labour. He also expects, that, when he carries his Goods to Market, and offers them at a reasonable Price, he shall find Buyers; and shall be able, by the Money he acquires, to engage others to supply him with those Commodities, which are requifite for his Subfiftence. In Proportion as Mens Dealings are more extensive, and their Intercourse with others more complicated, they always comprehend, in their Schemes of Life, a greater Variety of voluntary Actions, which they expect, from their proper Motives, to co-operate with their own. In all these Conclusions, they take their Mer-COLCETTING

fares f their I firmly are to they ha upon t any W and wo his Ex fhort. cerning man L ment v therefo agreed

No Opinio to mer **fuppof** Parts d would on the perren ties be had no Where

(D) ILT

forego

d

r-

in

ve

he

in

me

ui-

or.

at

the

ex-

and

ers;

en-

ties,

por-

heir

al

ater

pect,

their

vfea-

fures

fores from past Experience, in the same Manner as in their Reasonings concerning external Objects; and firmly believe, that Men, as well as all the Elements. are to continue, in their Operations, the same, which they have ever found them. A Manufacturer reckons upon the Labour of his Servants, for the Execution of any Work, as much as upon the Tools he employs, and would be equally furpriz'd, in the one Cafe, were his Expectations disappointed, as in the other. In fort, this experimental Inference and Reafoning concerning the Actions of others enters fo much into human Life, that no Man, while awake, is ever a Moment without employing it. Have we not Reason. therefore, to affirm, that all Mankind have always agreed in the Doctrine of Necessity, according to the foregoing Definition and Explication of it? Chain of Argument betwire them, we that

No a have Philosophers ever entertain'd a different Opinion from the People in this Particular. For not to mention, that almost every Action of their Life supposes it; there are even few of the speculative Parts of Learning, to which it is not effential. What would become of History, had we not a Dependance on the Veracity of the Historian, according to the Experience we have had of Mankind? How could Polities be a Science, if Laws and Forms of Government had not a uniform and regular Influence upon Society? Where would be the Poundation of Morals, if particular

ticular Characters had no certain nor determinate Power to produce particular Sentiments, and if these Sentiments had no constant Operation on Actions? And with what Pretext could we employ our Criticism upon any Poet or polite Author, if we could not pronounce the Conduct and Sentiments of his Actors, ejther natural or unnatural, to fuch Characters, and in fuch Circumstances? It feems almost impossible, therefore, to engage, either in Science or Action of any Kind, without acknowledging the Doctrine of Necessity, and this Inference from Motives to voluntary Actions : from Characters to Conduct,

more without comploying it. His o

And indeed, when we consider how aptly natural and moral Evidence link together, and form only one Chain of Argument betwixt them, we shall make no Scruple to allow, that they are of the same Nature, and deriv'd from the fame Principles. A Prisoner, who has neither Money nor Interest, discovers the Impossibility of his Escape, as well from the Obstinacy of the Goaler, as from the Walls and Bars, with which he is furrounded; and in all Attempts for his Freedom, chuses rather to work upon the Stone and Iron of the one, than upon the inflexible Nature of the other. The same Prisoner, when conducted to the Scaffold, foresees his Death as certainly from the Constancy and Fidelity of his Guards as from the Opev. Considering they then the ration

IHE be the ever, 1 of Nec have yo it in W all Age

Matter

followi Bodies :

ration

certai

to cor

tioner

ing, c

nected

but th

passing

of the

Object

of Cau

to call Union

united

or Fig

of Thi

the U

ticular.

ate

efe

15 ?

i/m

-01

ei-

in

re-

iny

Ve-

ary

iral

one

e no

ner, the

bsti-

with

r his

and

e of

the the

Ope-

ation

ration of the Ax or Wheel. His Mind runs along a certain Train of Ideas: The Refusal of the Soldiers to consent to his Escape; the Action of the Executioner; the Separation of the Head and Body; Bleeding, convulfive Motions, and Death. Here is a connected Chain of natural Causes and voluntary Actions; but the Mind feels no Difference betwixt them, in passing from one Link to another: nor is less certain of the future Event than if it were connected with the Objects present to the Memory or Senses, by a Train of Causes, cemented together by what we are pleas'd to call a physical Necessity. The same experienc'd Union has the fame Effect on the Mind, whether the united Objects be Motives, Volitions, and Actions; or Figure and Motion. We may change the Names of Things; but their Nature and their Operation on the Understanding never change.

I HAVE frequently consider'd, what could possibly be the Reason, why all Mankind, tho' they have ever, without Hesitation, acknowledged the Doctrine of Necessity, in their whole Practice and Reasoning, have yet discover'd such a Reluctance to acknowledge it in Words, and have rather shewn a Propensity, in all Ages, to profess the contrary Opinion. The Matter, I think, may be accounted for, after the sollowing Manner. If we examine the Operations of Bodies and the Production of Effects from their Causes,

we shall find, that all our Faculties can never carry us farther in our Knowledge of this Relation, than barely to observe, that particular Objects are constantly conjoin'd together, and that the Mind is carry'd, by a customary Transition, from the Appearance of the one to the Belief of the other. But tho' this Conclusion concerning human Ignorance be the Refult of the ftrictest Scrutiny and Examination of this Subject, Men still entertain a strong Propensity to believe, that they penetrate farther into the Powers of Nature, and perceive fomething like a necessary Connexion betwixt the Caufe and the Effect. When again they turn their Reflections towards the Operations of their own Minds, and feel no fuch Connexion of the Motive and the Action; they are apt, from thence, to suppose, that there is a Difference betwixt the Effects, refulting from material and brute Force, and those which arise from Thought and Intelligence. But being once convinc'd, that we know nothing farther of Caufation of any Kind, than merely the constant Conjunction of Objects, and the consequent Inference of the Mind from one to another, and finding, that these twoCircumstances are univerfally acknowledged to have place in voluntary Actions; we may thence be more eafily led to own the fame Necessity, common to all Causes. And tho' this Reasoning may contradict the Systems of many Philosophers, in ascribing Necessity to the Determinations of the Will, we shall find, upon Reflection, that they diffent from it in Words only, ton a real tire Freduction of Effect, from agent Caulus,

not in the Sobeen any Ped, the Matter and Hin the wheth nation make that N

rations

Veceff
Faculti
fandin
first dist
tions of
try if il
Necessi
Objects
one to
ality, t
ceive is
universi
tions of

posissos

n

ly

a

le

n

ne

t,

at

re,

on

ey

eir

loto

ets.

ose

be-

r of

on-

e of

hefe

nave

nore

all o

t the

ty to upon

only,

not

Sonsing.

not in their real Sentiments. Necessity, according to the Sense in which it is here taken, has never yet been rejected, nor can ever, I think, be rejected, by any Philosopher. It may only, perhaps, be pretended, that the Mind can perceive, in the Operations of Matter, some farther Connexion betwixt the Cause and Effect; and a Connexion, which has not Place in the voluntary Actions of intelligent Beings. Now whether it be so or not, can only appear upon Examination, and it is incumbent on these Philosophers to make good their Assertion, by defining or describing that Necessity, and pointing it out to us, in the Operations of material Causes.

It would feem, indeed, that Men begin at the wrong End of this Question concerning Liberty and Necessity, when they enter upon it by examining the Faculties of the Soul, the Influence of the Understanding, and the Operations of the Will. Let them first discuss a more simple Question, viz. the Operations of Body and of brute unintelligent Matter; and try if they can there form any Idea of Causation and Necessity, except that of a constant Conjunction of Objects, and subsequent Inference of the Mind from one to another. If these Circumstances form, in reality, the whole of that Necessity, which we can conceive in Matter, and if these Circumstances be also universally acknowledged to take place in the Operations of the Mind, the Dispute is at an End; or, at

G 2

leaff.

least, must be own'd to be thenceforward merely But as long as we will rashly suppose, that we have some farther Idea of Necessity and Causation in the Operations of external Objects; at the fame sime, that we can find nothing farther, in the voluntary Actions of the Mind; there is no Possibility of bringing the Dispute to any determinate Issue, while we proceed upon fo erroneous a Supposition. The only Method of undeceiving us, is, to mount up higher; to examine the narrow Extent of our Knowledge, when apply'd to material Caufes; and to convince ourselves, that all we know of them, is, the constant Conjunction and Inference above-mention'd. We may, perhaps, find, that 'tis with Difficulty we are induced to fix fuch narrow Limits to human Understanding: But we can afterwards find no Difficulty, when we come to apply this Doctrine to the Actions of the Will. For as 'tis evident, that thefe have a regular and constant Conjunction with Motives and Cir. cumstances and Characters, and as we always draw Inferences from the one to the other, we must be oblig'd to acknowledge, in Words, that Necessity, which we have already avow'd, in every Deliberation and Reflection of our Lives, and in every Step of our Conduct and Behaviour *.) I all the months of and

Tod the whole of that Mecality, which we can con-

on which i

fuade ourfe

Bu gard most conter

to pr

gerience in many of Matt Agent, fider the his Thou ceding C thing bu ness or J from the we may feldom fe able to i tives, and happens, fible of fo dily take firative as that our A and imagin because, v that it mo (or a Velle

The Prevalence of the Doctrine of Liberty may be accounted for, from another Caule, vin. a falle Sensation or seeming Experience

y

at

n

n-

of ile

he

up

W-

n-

the

'd.

we

Jn-

lty.

s of

gu-

Cir

raw

t be

fity,

tion

four

2 030

BUT

9V100

unted g Ex-

rience

from the 8

But to proceed in this reconciling Project with regard to the Doctrine of Liberty and Necessity, the most contentious Question, of Metaphysics, the most contentious Science; it will not require many Words to prove, that all Mankind have ever agreed in the Doctrine

end Battine one afford no infrares.

perience which we have, or may have of Liberty or Indifference; in many of our Actions, The Necessity of any Action, whether of Matter or of Mind, is not, properly speaking, a Quality in the Agent, but in any thinking or intelligent Being, who may consider the Action; and it consists chiefly in the Determination of his Thought to infer the Existence of that Action from some preceding Objects, as Liberty, when oppos'd to Necessity, is nothing but the Want of that Determination, and a certain booferefs or Indifference, which we feel, in passing er not passing from the Idea of one Object to that of any succeeding one. Now we may observe, that, tho' in reflecting on human Actions we seldom feel fuch a Looseness or Indifference, but are commonly able to infer them with confiderable Certainty from their Motives, and from the Dispositions of the Agent; yet it frequently happens, that, in performing the Actions themselves, we are senfible of fomething like it : And as all refembling Objects are readily taken for each other, this has been employ'd as a demonfrative and even an intuitive Proof of human Liberty. We feel, that our Actions are subject to our Will, on most Occasions ; and imagine we feel, that the Will itself is subject to nothing. because, when by a Denial of it we are provok'd to try, we feel that it moves easily every Way, and produces an Image of itself, (or a Velleity, as it is call'd in the Schools) even on that Side, on which it did not fettle. This Image, or faint Motion, we persuade ourselves, could, at that Time, have been compleated into G 3

Doctrine of Liberty as well as in that of Necessity, and that the whole Dispute, in this respect also, has been hitherto merely verbal. For what is meant by Liberty, when apply'd to voluntary Actions? We cannot furely mean, that Actions have fo little Connexion with Motives, Inclinations, and Circumstances, that the one does not follow, with a certain Degree of Uniformity, from the other, and that the one affords no Inference, from which we can conclude the Existence of the other. For these are plain and acknowledged Matters of Fact. By Liberty, then, we can only mean, a Power of acting or not acting, according to the Determinations of the Will; that is, if we chuse to remain at reft, we may; if we chuse to move, we also may. Now this hypothetical Liberty is univerfally allow'd to belong to every Body, who is not a Prisoner, and in Chains. Here then is no Subject of Dispute.

-TAHW . time was consecuted Caratan Liver steer MAT-

the to design to the to

V

we f

ftanc

of F

we c

finiti

will

T

out a

not a

necef

the ac

Defin

let hi

prefs'

the w

cation

lutely

confta

have e

this co

the L

that w

the Thing itself; because, should that be deny'd, we find, upon a second Trial, that, at present, it can. We consider not, that the fantastical Desire of showing Liberty is here the Motive of our Actions. And it seems certain, that however we may imagine we feel a Liberty within ourselves, a Spectator can commonly infer our Actions from our Motives and Character; and even where he cannot, he concludes in general, that he might, were he perfectly acquainted with every Circumstance of our Situation and Temper, and the most secret Springs of our Complexion and Dasposition. Now this is the very Effence of Necessary, according to the foregoing Doctrine.

Minesperi il ter o tata A sair le agai soci d'est autritat.

i-

y,

ly

0-

ne

ty,

ce,

the

a

er-

ain

ay.

w'd

and

AT-

npon

that

ims-

com-

; and

night,

ur Si-

Com-

WHATEVER Definition we may give of Liberty, we should be careful to observe two requisite Circumstances; first, that it be consistent with plain Matter of Fact; secondly, that it be consistent with itself. If we observe these Circumstances, and render our Definition intelligible, I am persuaded that all Mankind will be found of one Opinion with regard to it.

'Tis univerfally allow'd, that nothing exists without a Cause of its Existence, and that Chance, when strictly examin'd, is a mere negative Word, and means not any real Power, which has, any where, a Being in Nature. But 'tis pretended that some Causes are necessary, and some are not necessary. Here then is the admirable Advantage of Definitions. Let any one define a Cause, without comprehending, as a Part of the Definition, a necessary Connexion with its Effect; and let him shew distinctly the Origin of the Idea, expres'd by the Definition; and I shall frankly give up the whole Controversy. But if the foregoing Explication of the Matter be receiv'd, this must be absolutely impracticable. Had not Objects a regular and constant Conjunction with each other, we should never have entertain'd any Notion of Cause and Effect; and this constant Conjunction produces that Inference of the Understanding, which is the only Connexion, that we can have any Comprehension of. Whoever

G 4

attempts

attempts a Definition of Cause, exclusive of these Circumstances, will be oblig'd, either to employ unintelligible Terms, or such as are synonimous to the Term which he endeavours to define. And if the Definition above mentioned, be admitted; Liberty, when oppos'd to Necessity, not to Constraint, is the same Thing with Chance; which is universally allow'd to have no Existence.

PART II.

THERE is no Method of Reasoning more common, and yet none more blameable, than in philosophical Debates, to endeavour the Resutation of any Hypothesis, by a Pretext of its dangerous Consequences to Religion and Morality. When any Opinion leads into Absurdities, 'tis certainly false; but 'tis not certain an Opinion is false, because 'tis of dangerous Consequence. Such Topics, therefore, ought entirely to be

Thus if a Cause be defined, that which produces any thing; tis easy to observe, that producing is synonimous to causing. In like manner, if a Cause be defined, that by which any thing exists; this is liable to the same Objection. For what is meant by these Words, by which? Had it been said, that a Cause is that after which any thing constantly exists; we should have understood the Terms. For this is, indeed, all we know of the Matter. And this Constancy forms the very Essence of Necessity, nor have we any other Idea of it.

be for Truth odious ing to an affirm berty. Mora

them.

N able make flant | the Ir to an (whic unive and in Will o that v tions, experi Inclin lar, ir haps, this Pr

Meani

ir-

in-

the

he

ty,

he al-

n,

e-

15,

fi-

ito

an

le-

to

oe'

ģş

In

5 3

ter

he

nd

WE

be forborn, as ferving nothing to the Discovery of Truth, but only to make the Person of an Antagonist odious. This I observe in general, without pretending to draw any Advantage from it. I submit frankly to an Examination of this Kind, and shall venture to affirm, that the Doctrines, both of Necessity and Liberty, as above explain'd, are not only consistent with Morality and Religion, but are absolutely essential to them. And first, of Necessity.

adion hares new many

NECESSITY may be defin'd two Ways, conformable to the two Definitions of Caufe, of which it makes an effential Part. It confifts either in the confant Union and Conjunction of like Objects, or in the Inference of the Understanding from one Object. to another. Now Necessity, in both these Senses, (which, indeed, are, at the Bottom, the fame) has univerfally, the' tacitly, in the Schools, in the Pulpit, and in common Life, been allow'd to belong to the Will of Man; and no one has ever pretended to deny, that we can draw Inferences concerning human Actions, and that those Inferences are founded on the experienc'd Union of like Actions, with like Motives, Inclinations, and Circumstances. The only Particue lar, in which any one can differ, is, that either, perhaps, he will refuse to give the Name of Necessity to this Property of human Actions: But as long as the Meaning is understood, I hope the Word can do no G 5 Harm:

Harm: Or that he will maintain it possible to discover fomething farther in the Operations of Matter. But this, it must be acknowledg'd, can be of no Consequence to Morality or Religion, whatever it may be to natural Philosophy or Metaphysics. We may be mistaken in afferting, that there is no Idea of any other Necessity or Connexion in the Actions of Body: But furely we here ascribe nothing to the Actions of the Mind, but what every one does, and must readily allow of. We change no Circumstance in the receiv'd orthodox System with regard to the Will, but only in that with regard to material Objects and Causes. Nothing therefore can be more innocent, at least, than this Doctrine. All Laws being founded on Rewards and Punishments, 'tis suppos'd as a fundamental Principle, that these Motives have a regular and uniform Influence on the Mind, and both produce the good and prevent the evil Actions. We may give to this Influence, what Name we please; but as 'tis ufually conjoin'd with the Action, it must be esteem'd a Cause, and be look'd upon as an Instance of that Necessity, which we would establish.

The only proper Object of Hatred or Vengeance, is a Person, or Creature, endow'd with Thought and Consciousness; and when any criminal or injurious Actions excite that Passion, 'tis only by their Relation to the Person, or Connexion with him. Actions are,

Harm

way coriv'd never other.

MEI form is Confect these A them a tions as

from fi

are, l

and w

Chara

form'

if go

felves

all the

fon is

from

and le

impof

Object

the Pr

confeq

after h

the fir

are, by their very Nature, temporary and perishing; and where they proceed not from some Cause in the Characters and Disposition of the Person, who perform'd them, they can neither redound to his Honour, if good, nor Infamy, if evil. The Actions themfelves may be blameable; they may be contrary to all the Rules of Morality and Religion: But the Perfon is not responsible for them; and as they proceeded. from nothing in him, that is durable and conftant, and leave nothing of that Nature behind them, 'tis impossible he can, upon their Account, become the Object of Punishment or Vengeance. According to the Principle therefore, which denies Necessity, and confequently Caufes, a Man is as pure and untainted, after having committed the most horrid Crimes, as at the first Moment of his Birth, nor is his Character any way concern'd in his Actions; fince they are not deriv'd from it, and the Wickedness of the one can never be us'd as a Proof of the Depravity of the other.

1

d

it

d

1-

d

ne

to

u-

'd

at

e.

nd

ous.

la-

ons

re,

MEN are not blam'd for such Actions as they perform ignorantly and casually, whatever may be the Consequences. Why? but because the Principles of these Actions are only momentary, and terminate in them alone. Men are less blam'd for such evil Actions as they perform hastily and unpremeditately, than from such as proceed from Thought and Deliberation.

G 6

For

For what Reason? but because a hasty Temper, tho' a constant Cause or Principle in the Mind, operates only by Intervals, and infects not the whole Character. Again, Repentance wipes off every Crime, if attended with a Reformation of Life and Manners. How is this to be accounted for? but by afferting, that Actions render a Person criminal, merely as they are Proofs of criminal Passions or Principles in the Mind; and when, by any Alteration of these Principles, they cease to be just Proofs, they likewise cease to be criminal. But except upon the Doctrine of Necessity, they never were just Proofs, and consequently never were criminal.

Arguments, that Liberty, according to that Definition above-mentioned, in which all Men agree, is also effential to Morality, and that no human Actions, where it is wanting, is susceptible of any moral Qualities, or can be the Object either of Approbation or Dislike. For as Actions are the Objects of our moral Sentiments, so far only as they are Indications or Proofs of the internal Character, Passions, and Affections; 'tis impossible they can give rise either to Praise or Blame, where they proceed not from these Principles, but are deriv'd altogether from external Force and Violence.

. noiseas greeced from Thought and Deliberation.

10% A

1

I

Obje

and

fron

It m

be fi

Ope

nece

reac

gle d

no L

acted

Moti

ings :

quen

Hun

tude

if the

volve

know

For a

the C

long

ceffai

I PRETEND not to have obviated or remov'd all Objections to this Theory, with regard to Necessity and Liberty. I can foresee other Objections, deriv'd from Topics, which have not here been treated of. It may be faid, for Instance, that if voluntary Actions be subjected to the same Laws of Necessity with the Operations of Matter, there is a continu'd Chain of necessary Causes, pre-ordain'd and pre-determin'd, reaching from the original Caufe of all, to every fingle Volition of every human Creature. No Contingency any where in the Universe; no Indifference; no Liberty. While we act, we are, at the fame time. acted upon. The ultimate Author of all our Volitions is the Creator of the World, who first bestow'd Motion on this immense Machine, and plac'd all Beings in that particular Position, whence every subsequent Event, by an inevitable Necessity, must result. Human Actions, therefore, can either have no Turpitude at all, as proceeding from fo good a Cause; or if they can have any moral Turpitude, they must involve our Creator in the same Guilt, while he is acknowledged to be their ultimate Cause and Author. For as a Man, who fired a Mine, is answerable for all the Consequences, whether the Train he employ'd be long or fhort; fo wherever a continu'd Chain of neceffary Caufes are fix'd, that Being, either finite or infinite,

ri

1

r

e

e

I

infinite, who produces the first, is likewise the Author of all the rest, and must both bear the Blame, and acquire the Praise, which belongs to them. Our clearest and most unalterable Ideas of Morality establish this Rule, upon unquestionable Reasons, when we examine the Consequences of any human Action; and these Reasons must still have greater Force, when apply'd to the Volitions and Intentions of a Being, infinitely wife and powerful. Ignorance or Impotence may be pleaded for so limited a Creature as Man; but those Imperfections have no Place in our Creator. He forefaw, he ordain'd, he intended all those Actions of Men, which we fo rashly pronounce criminal. And we must conclude, therefore, either that they are not criminal, or that the Deity, not Man, is responsible for them. But as either of these Positions is absurd and impious, it follows, that the Doctrine, from which they are deduc'd, cannot possibly be true, as being liable to all the fame Objections. An abfurd Confequence, if necessary, proves the original Doctrine to be abfurd; in the same Manner, that criminal Actions. render criminal the original Cause, if the Connexion betwixt them be necessary and inevitable.

THIS Objection confilts of two Parts, which we shall examine separately; First, that if human Actions.

it litte.

they
Good
they
is alto
crimi
ness
and i

can b

T and c after ture, Syste withutmo every or ab they, stem, Deity giving Good fome reft,

tions,

can be trac'd up, by a necessary Chain, to the Deity, they can never be criminal; on account of the infinite Goodness and Perfection of that Being, from whom they are deriv'd, and who can intend nothing but what is altogether good and right. Or Secondly, if they be criminal, we must retract those Attributes of Goodness and Perfection, which we ascribe to the Deity, and must acknowledge him to be the ultimate Author of Guilt and moral Turpitude in all his Creatures.

THE Answer to the first Objection seems obvious and convincing. There are many Philosophers, who. after an exact Scrutiny of all the Phænomena of Nature, conclude, that the WHOLE, consider'd as one System, is, in every Period of its Existence, order'd with perfect Benevolence and Goodness; and that the utmost possible Happiness will, in the End, result to every created Being, without any Mixture of positive or absolute Ill and Misery. Every physical Ill, say they, makes an effential Part of this benevolent Syftem, and could not possibly be remov'd, even by the Deity himfelf, confider'd as a wife Agent, without giving Entrance to greater Ill, or excluding greater Good, which will result from it. From this Theory, fome Philosophers, and the antient Stoics among the rest, deriv'd a Topic of Consolation, under all Afflictions, while they taught their Pupils, that those Ills, forpatte Efficacy with regard to the one, will have a

t

2

1

h

g

0

S.

D.

e ·

18.3

phone

n

they labour'd under, were, in reality, Goods to the Universe; and that to an enlarg'd View, which could comprehend the whole System of Nature, every Event became an Object of Joy and Exultation. But tho' this Topic be specious and sublime, it was soon found in Practice weak, and ineffectual. You would furely more irritate, than appeale a Man, lying under the racking Pains of the Gout, by preaching up to him the Rectitude of those general Laws, which produc'd the malignant Humours in his Body, and led them, thro' the proper Canals, to the Nerves and Sinews, where they now excite fuch acute Torments. These enlarg'd Views may, for a Moment, please the Imagination of a speculative Man, who is plac'd in Eafe and Security; but neither can they dwell with Conftancy on his Mind, even tho' undiffurb'd by the Emotions of Pain or Passion; much less can they maintain their Ground, when attack'd by fuch powerful Antagonists. The Affections take a narrower and more natural Survey of their Object; and by an Occonomy, more fuitable to the Infirmity of human Minds, regard alone the Objects around us, and are actuated by such Events as appear good or ill to the private System. The Case is the same with moral as with physical Ill; nor can it reasonably be suppos'd, that those remote Considerations, which are found of fo little Efficacy with regard to the one, will have a more

mor The the and prob Eme The chief hum Blan Dift that imm Inter bliff Thin the (main, Inten prom mote balanc ral an who i

his V

thefe

moral

81

30

1

1

THE

e

n

h

y

1-

er

n .

in

re .

d,

of

ea

more powerful Influence with regard to the other. The Mind of Man is so form'd by Nature, that, upon the Appearance of certain Characters, Dispositions, and Actions, it immediately feels the Sentiment of Approbation or Blame; nor are there any Feelings or Emotions more effential to its Frame and Constitution. The Characters, which engage its Approbation, are chiefly fuch as contribute to the Peace and Security of human Society; as the Characters, which excite Blame, are chiefly such as tend to its Detriment and Disturbance: Whence we may reasonably prefume, that the moral Sentiments arise, either mediately or immediately, from a Reflection on these opposite Interests. What the philosophical Meditations establish a different Opinion of Conjecture, that every Thing is right with regard to the Whole, and that the Qualities, which disturb Society, are, in the main, as beneficial, and are as suitable to the primary Intention of Nature, as those which more directly promote its Happiness and Welfare? Are such remote and uncertain Speculations able to counterbalance the Sentiments, which arise from the natural and immediate View of the Objects? A Man, who is robb'd of a confiderable Sum; does he find his Vexation for the Lofs a whit diminish'd by these sublime Resections? Why then should his moral Resentment against the Crime be supposed incomincompatible with them? Or why should not the Acknowledgment of a real Distinction betwixt Vice and Virtue be reconcileable to all speculative Systems of Philosophy, as well as that of a real Distinction betwixt personal Beauty and Deformity? Both these Distinctions are sounded on the natural Sentiments of the human Mind: And these Sentiments are not to be controul'd or alter'd by any philosophical Theory or Speculation whatsoever.

THE fecond Objection admits not of fo easy and fatisfactory an Answer; nor is it possible to explain distinctly, how the Deity can be the mediate Cause of all the Actions of Men, without being the Author of Sin and moral Turpitude. These are Mysteries, which mere natural and unaffifted Reason is very unfit to handle; and whatever System it embraces, it must find itself involv'd in inextricable Difficulties, and even Contradictions, at every Step it takes with regard to fuch Subjects. To reconcile the Indifference and Contingency of human Actions with Prescience; or to defend absolute Decrees, and yet free the Deity from being the Author of Sin, has been found hitherto to exceed all the Skill of Philosophy. Happy, if she be thence sensible of her Temerity when she pries into these sublime Mysteries; and leaving a Scene so full of Obfcurities.

fcuri defty mins Diffi out

Unce

Of LIBERTY and NECESSITY. 163
fcurities and Perplexities, return, with fuitable Modesty, to her true and proper Province, the Examination of common Life; where she will find Difficulties enow to employ her Enquiries, without launching into so boundless an Ocean of Doubts, Uncertainties and Contradictions!

ESSAY

by Transferred to the design of

ce

y-)i-

y ?

tiny

ind tin

luly-

is mble

tep

ons

Sin,

of ime

Ob-

Q/LITTERT WALNESSTEE. 260 feutiers and Perplexities, retrief with filledille Modelle to her true and proper Province, the balling hal made ; All norman to norman Difficulties upon to engine ber Requires, with out launching into 19 houndings an Ogran of Dorbits Carriago, sand Conmitteed TO BE TO BE A PARTY OF STATE O Carried a large day of the part of the metallic than But the selection of th Carrier and proper country are made and Spills all cores in teacher set wherein here, although Name of the seal o ESSAY the state of the s

A leads

-339

. Siste

Whe

as ce terta it wi

othe

Obj

has gleationed to conspict output on the many of the lands of

careful a al being in addit craft, the whole the Charleston of the feart, for Littles, is parked the Charleston in one Control, so a Romer

the long of the cold a sentition of the sentition

ast at materials in tell an

ESSAY IX.

Obsaycions on be direct industries and the distance of relich we are now iteratings and they Theory, by which we explain the Operations of the

Of the REASON of ANIMALS.

if we find, that the lane I heavy is requific to en-

A L L our Reasonings concerning Matter of Fact are founded on a Species of Anatogy, which leads us to expect from any Cause the same Events, which we have observed to result from similar Causes. Where the Causes are entirely similar, the Analogy is perfect, and the Inference, drawn from it, is regarded as certain and conclusive; nor does any Man ever entertain a Doubt, where he sees a Piece of Iron, that it will have Weight and Cohesion of Parts; as in all other Instances, which have ever fallen under his Observation. But where the Objects have not so exact

36

act a Similarity, the Analogy is less perfect, and the Inference is less conclusive; tho' still it has some Force, in Proportion to the Degrees of Similarity and Refemblance. The Anatomical Observations, form'd upon one Animal, are, by this Species of Reasoning, extended to all Animals; and 'tis certain, that when the Circulation of the Blood, for Instance, is prov'd clearly to have place in one Creature, as a Frog or Fish, it forms a strong Presumption, that the same Principle has place in all of them. These analogical Observations may be carry'd farther, even to this Science, of which we are now treating; and any Theory, by which we explain the Operations of the Understanding or the Origin and Connexion of the Passions, in Man, will acquire additional Authority, if we find, that the same Theory is requisite to explain the same Phænomena, in all other Animals, We shall make Trial of this, with regard to the Hypothesis, by which, in the foregoing Essays, we have endeavour'd to account for all experimental Reasonings; and 'tis hop'd, that this new Point of View will ferve to confirm all our former Observations. It is most nyers, cornected and bring abeling

First. It feems evident, that Animals, as well as Men, learn many Things from Experience, and infer,

as certain and conclutive , nor does any Man ever en-

tertain a Doubt, where he fees a Pince of fron, that

that rand I flingui Old, what Pleafur Field, which ceeds I truft to younge Hare i he form his Ob

cipline

proper

be taug

their n

that t

fame

quain

nal O

up a

Stones

16

ne

nd

d

g,

en

r'd

or

me

cal

his

any

the

the

ity,

ex-

als.

the

we

ental t of

ll as

infer,

that

that the fame Events will always follow from the same Causes. By this Principle, they become acquainted with the more obvious Properties of external Objects, and gradually, from their Birth, treasure up a Knowledge of the Nature of Fire, Water, Earth. Stones, Heights, Depths, &c. and of the Effects that refult from their Operation. The Ignorance and Inexperience of the Young, is here plainly distinguishable from the Cunning and Sagacity of the Old, who have learnt, by long Observation, to avoid what hurt them, and to pursue what gave Ease or Pleasure. A Horse, that has been accustom'd to the Field, becomes acquainted with the proper Height. which he can leap, and will never attempt what exceeds his Force and Ability. An old Greyhound will trust the more fatiguing Part of the Chace to the younger, and will place himself so as to meet the Hare in her Doubles; nor are the Conjectures, which he forms on this Occasion, founded on any Thing but his Observation and Experience.

This is still more evident from the Essects of Discipline and Education on all Animals, who, by the proper Application of Rewards and Punishments, may be taught any Course of Action, the most contrary to their natural Instincts and Propensities. Is it not

Expe-

Experience, which renders a Dog apprehensive of Pain, when you menace him, or lift up the Whip to beat him? Is it not even Experience, which makes him answer to his Name, and infer, from such an arbitrary Sound, that you mean him, rather than any of his Fellows, and intend to call him, when you pronounce it in a certain Manner, and with a certain Tone and Accent?

In all these Cases, we may observe, that the Animal infers some Fact beyond what immediately strikes his Senses; and that this Inference is altogether sounded on past Experience, while the Creature expects from the present Object the same Events, which it has always found in its Observation to result from similar Objects.

Secondly. 'Tis impossible, that this Inference of the Animal can be founded on any Process of Argument or Reasoning, by which he concludes, that like Events must follow like Objects, and that the Course of Nature will always be regular in its Operations. For if there be in reality any Arguments of this Nature, they surely lie too abstruse for the Observation of such impersect Understandings; since it may well employ the utmost Care and Attention of a philosophic Genius to discover and observe them. Animals,

mals, Reafo Gener Conc1 who, main. by th fome neral I fuch i ferring certain Were to adm Creatio establis from a univerf ferve. from e its ufu

from t

other.

denomi

4

0

28

in

y

u

in

ni-

ces

er e

ich

om

of

gu-

like

urse

ons.

Na-

tion

well

ilo-

Ani-

ials,

mals, therefore, are not guided in these Inferences by Reasoning: Neither are Children: Neither are the Generality of Mankind, in their ordinary Actions and Conclusions: Neither are Philosophers themselves, who, in all the active Parts of Life, are, in the main, the same with the Vulgar, and are govern'd by the fame Maxims. Nature must have provided fome other Principle, of more ready, and more general Use and Application; nor can an Operation of fuch immense Consequence in Life, as that of inferring Effects from Causes, be trusted to the uncertain Process of Reasoning and Argumentation. Were this doubtful with regard to Men, it feems to admit of no Question with regard to the Brute-Creation; and the Conclusion being once firmly establish'd in one, we have a strong Presumption, from all the Rules of Analogy, that it ought to be univerfally admitted, without any Exception or Referve. 'Tis Custom alone, which engages Animals, from every Object, that strikes their Senses, to infer its usual Attendant, and carries their Imagination, from the Appearance of the one, to conceive the other, in that strong and lively Manner, which we denominate Belief. No other Explication can be

H

given

given of this Operation, in all the higher, as well as lower Classes of sensitive Beings, that fall under our Notice and Observation.

Conclutions; Nicher are Philosophers them dies.

Bur tho' Animals learn many Parts of their Knowledge from Observation, there are also many Parts of it, which they derive from the original Hand of Nature, which must exceed the Share of Capacity they possess on ordinary Occasions, and in which they improve, little or nothing, by the longest Practice and Experience. These we denominate Instincts, and are so apt to admire, as fomething very extraordinary, and inexplicable by all the Difquilitions of human Understanding. But our Wonder will, perhaps, cease or diminish; when we consider, that the experimental Reasoning itself, which we possess in common with Beafts, and on which the whole Conduct of Life depends, is nothing but a Species of Instinct or mechanical Power, that acts in us unknown to ourselves, and in its chief Operations; is not directed by any fuch Relations or Comparisons of Ideas, as are the proper Objects of our intellectual Faculties. Tho' the In-Ainct be different, yet still 'tis an Instinct, which teaches a Man to avoid the Fire; as much as that,

that, the vell

der

neir any inal are ons, ng, nesc to in-Uneafe eriomoncies t. us

era-

Ob-Inhich

that,

that, which teaches a Bird, with fuch Exactness, the Art of Incubation, and the whole Oeconomy and Order of its Nursery.

H 2

. South the march that the first and the second and the second

A STREET, A STREET, STREET, ST. A STREET, ST. B. S. S.

bodie's include, out to do to minimum was to

SSAY

Of the Rise light of All states. 1 1 they which reaches a Bird, with field Exadered, the Air of drougation, and the whole Occapony and Order of its Nurleys, consultery traders to reto the Property Laws many Property less Since the property of the second state of the the second of th at the last profit in a place of Occasion January Charles Commission of the Charles of the Commission of the Maria Hand Statistical Description of the the state of the s per mark the process of the state of the barries pose of the first party of the proof post in which The state of the s we ferritte be market on the are that the leprisoned in south the order on the crist, where Allen bush topy of the set fire the Marting alless of hear is not the proof in effected and temples that Fredham have been be There is been all areas the agree to deep this

EXT

F. COO.

molla.

STEUGH

वर्षाः उद्य

conci

poffib

little

ledg'

the A

is for

who

vious

Evid

Senfi

ega cay circ be to terrain of the Truth of their Tritle research of the immediate Objects of his Scuffes. Its

to their Disciples a nor

ESSAY X.

the electly were the Bocking of the real Prolency over to electly recalled in Scripture, forces directly and

of MIRACLES.

and Tendinen, on waith it is supposed to be built.

in mediate Com A T A A T plate

the net brancht some to every one's Breefl, by the

THERE is in Dr. Tillotson's Writings an Argument against the real Presence, which is as concise and elegant, and strong as any Argument can possibly be supposed against a Doctrine, that is so little worthy of a serious Resutation. 'Tis acknowledg'd on all hands, says that learned Prelate, that the Authority, either of the Scripture or of Tradition, is sounded merely on the Testimony of the Apostles, who were Eye-witnesses to those Miracles of our Saviour, by which he prov'd his divine Mission. Our Evidence, then, for the Truth of the Christian Resigion is less than the Evidence for the Truth of our Senses; because, even in the first Authors of our Religion, it was no greater; and 'tis evident it must di-

H 3

minish

minish in passing from them to their Disciples; nor can any one be so certain of the Truth of their Testimony as of the immediate Objects of his Senses. But a weaker Evidence can never destroy a stronger; and therefore, were the Doctrine of the real Presence ever so clearly reveal'd in Scripture, 'twere directly contrary to the Rules of just Reasoning to give our Assent to it. It contradicts Sense, tho' both the Scripture and Tradition, on which it is suppos'd to be built, carry not such Evidence with them as Sense; when they are consider'd merely as external Evidences, and are not brought home to every one's Breast, by the immediate Operation of the Holy Spirit.

MOTHING is so convenient as a decisive Argument of this Kind, which must at least filence the most arrogant Bigotry and Superstition, and free one from their impertinent Sollicitations. I flatter myself, that I have discover'd an Argument of a like Nature, which, if just, will, with the Wise and Learned, be an everlasting Check to all Kinds of superstitious Delusion, and consequently, will be useful as long as the World endures. For so long, I presume, will the Accounts of Miracles and Prodigies be found in all prophane History.

Tho' Experience be our only Guide in reasoning concerning Matters of Fact; it must be acknowledg'd,

their than the Evidence for the Truth of our

tha - for On the WO but find that plai befo Eve vati from in al conj more pecta Matt Affu

A the E an inf the last perient.

tion:

ruli:

Speci

that this Guide is not altogether infallible, but in fome Cases is apt to lead us into Errors and Mistakes. One, who, in our Climate, should expect better Weather in any Week of June than in one of December. would reason justly and conformable to Experience: but 'tis certain, that he may happen, in the Event, to find himself mistaken. However, we may observe, that, in such a Case, he would have no Cause to complain of Experience; because it commonly informs us beforehand of the Uncertainty, by that Contrariety of Events, which we may learn from a diligent Observation. All Effects follow not with a like Certainty from their suppos'd Causes. Some Events are found, in all Countries and all Ages, to have been constantly conjoin'd together: Others are found to have been more variable, and fometimes to disappoint our Expectations; fo that in our Reasonings concerning Matter of Fact, there are all imaginable Degrees of Affurance, from the highest Certainty to the lowest Species of moral Evidence.

A WISE Man, therefore, proportions his Belief to the Evidence. In such Conclusions as are founded on an infallible Experience, he expects the Event with the last Degree of Assurance, and regards his past Experience as a full *Proof* of the future Existence of that Event. In other Cases, he proceeds with more Caution: He weighs the opposite Experiments: He con-

H 4

fiders

oning edg'd, that

11.1

r

-

at

id.

er.

n-

nt

re

lt,

en

nd

the

84-

nost

rom

that

ure,

De-

the

n all

fiders which Side is supported by the greatest Number of Experiments: To that Side he inclines, with Doubt and Hefitation; and when at last he fixes his Judgment, the Evidence exceeds not what we properly call Probability. All Probability, then, supposes an Opposition of Experiments and Observations; where the one Side is found to over-balance the other, and to produce a Degree of Evidence, proportion'd to the Superiority. A hundred Instances or Experiments on one Side, and fifty on another, afford a very doubtful Expectation of any Event; tho' a hundred uniform Experiments, with only one contradictory one, does reasonably beget a very strong Degree of Assurance. In all Cases, we must balance the opposite Experiments, where they are opposite, and deduct the leffer Number from the greater, in order to know the exact Force of the superior Evidence.

To apply these Principles to a particular Instance; we may observe, that there is no Species of Reasoning more common, more useful, and even necessary to human Life, than that deriv'd from the Testimony of Men, and the Reports of Eye-witnesses and Speciators. This Species of Reasoning, perhaps, one may deny to be founded on the Relation of Cause and Essect. I shall not dispute about a Word. 'Twill be sufficient to observe, that our Assurance in any Argument of this Kind is deriv'd from no other Principle than

than mon Rep that geth one t of th we o Favo any] other their tion t not f Were Quali repose Man

An human it vari ther as Conjunt and an stant of

flances

no M

11

3-

te

to

ne

n

t-

1-

e,

u-

ite

he

he

e:

ng

to

of

la-

nay

Ef-

be

gu-

iple

han

than our Observation of the Veracity of human Testimony, and of the usual Conformity of Facts to the Reports of Witnesses. It being a general Maxim, that no Objects have any discoverable Connexion together, and that all the Inferences we can draw from one to another are founded merely on our Experience of their conftant and regular Conjunction; 'tis evident we ought not to make an Exception to this Maxim in Favour of human Testimony, whose Connexion with. any Events feems, in itself, as little necessary as any other. Did not Mens Imagination naturally follow their Memory; had they not commonly an Inclination to Truth and a Sentiment of Probity; were they not sensible to Shame, when detected in a Falshood: Were not these, I say, discover'd by Experience to be Qualities, inherent in human Nature, we should never repose the least Confidence in human Testimony. A Man delirious, or noted for Falshood and Villany, has no Manner of Weight or Authority with us.

And as the Evidence, deriv'd from Witnesses and human Testimony, is sounded on past Experience, so it varies with the Experience, and is regarded either as a Proof or a Probability, according as the Conjunction betwixt any particular Kind of Report and any Kind of Objects has been sound to be constant or variable. There are a Number of Circumstances to be taken into Consideration in all Judgments

sion of all thefe Circumflances. We entertain a Salpi

ments

ments of this Kind; and our ultimate Standard, by which we determine all Disputes, that may arise concerning them, is always deriv'd from Experience and Observation. Where this Experience is not intirely uniform on any Side, 'tis attended with an unavoidable Contrariety in our Judgments, and with the same Opposition and mutual Destruction of Arguments as in every other Kind of Evidence. We frequently hestate concerning the Reports of others. We balance the opposite Circumstances, that cause any Doubt or Uncertainty; and when we discover a Superiority on any Side, we incline to it; but still with a Diminution of Assurance, in proportion to the Force of its Antagonist.

This Contrariety of Evidence, in the present Case, may be deriv'd from several different Causes; from the Opposition of contrary Testimony; from the Character or Number of the Witnesses; from the Manner of their delivering their Testimony; or from the Union of all these Circumstances. We entertain a Suspicion concerning any Matter of Fact, when the Witnesses contradict each other; when they are but few, or of a suspicious Character; when they have an Interest in what they affirm; when they deliver their Testimony with Doubt and Hesitation, or on the contrary, with too violent Asseverations. There are many other Pariculars of the same Kind, which may diminish or definory

11

ftro

S Tel trao Evic Dim is m any Conn Real form fuch here which goes, by th ciple of Af alfo, the F which poize,

Bu Testin

thority

stroy the Force of any Argument, deriv'd from human Testimony.

by

onand rely

able

Op-

s in

refi-

ince

t or

on

inu-

f its

ale,

rom

Cha-

nner

e U-

uspi-

effes

of a

ft in

nony

with Par-

r de-

ftroy

Suppose, for Instance, that the Fact, which the Testimony endeavours to establish, partakes of the Extraordinary and the Marvellous; in that Case, the Evidence, refulting from the Testimony, receives a Diminution, greater or less, in proportion as the Fact is more or less unusual. The Reason, why we place any Credit in Witnesses and Historians is not from any Connexion we perceive a priori betwixt Testimony and Reality, but because we are accustom'd to find a Conformity betwixt them. But when the Fact attefted is fuch a one as has feldom fallen under our Observation, here is a Contest of two opposite Experiences; of which the one deftroys the other as far as its Force goes, and the Superior can only operate on the Mind by the Force, which remains. The very fame Principle of Experience, which gives us a certain Degree of Affurance in the Testimony of Witnesses, gives us also, in this Case, another Degree of Assurance against the Fact, which they endeavour to establish; from: which Contradiction there necessarily arises a Counter_ poize, and mutual Destruction of Belief and Authority.

But in order to increase the Probability against the Testimony of Witnesses, let us suppose, that the Fact,

H 6 which

which they affirm, instead of being only marvellous, is really miraculous; and suppose also, that the Testimony, consider'd apart, and in itself, amounts to an entire Proof; in that Case there is Proof against Proof, of which the strongest must prevail, but still with a Diminution of its Force, in proportion to that of its Antagonist.

A MIRACLE is a Violation of the Laws of Nature; and as a firm and inalterable Experience has establish'd these Laws, the Proof against a Miracle, from the very Nature of the Fact, is as entire as any Argument from Experience can possibly be imagin'd. Why is it more than probable, that all Men must die; that Lead cannot, of itself, remain suspended in the Air; that Fire consumes Wood, and is extinguish'd. by Water; unless it be that these Events are found agreeable to the Laws of Nature, and there is requir'd a Violation of these Laws, or in other Words, a Miracle, to prevent them? Nothing is esteem'd a Miracle if it ever happen in the common Course of Nature. 'Tis no Miracle that a Man in feeming good Health should die of a sudden; because such a Kind of Death, tho' more unusual than any other, has yet been frequently observ'd to happen. But 'tis a Miracle, that a dead Man should come to Life; because that has never been observ'd, in any Age or Country: There must, therefore, be an uniform Experience against

Exp and the be d

to the fon o in Fal ing a a heal Wind which justly ! contra that th Miracl fpicion greffion Nature fuch a Transg Deity, either | and Eff fible M

ever fo

racle, t

against every miraculous Event, otherwise the Event would not merit that Appellation. And as an uniform Experience amounts to a Proof, there is here a direct and full *Proof*, from the Nature of the Fact, against the Existence of any Miracle; nor can such a Proof be destroy'd, or the Miracle render'd credible, but by an opposite Proof, that is superior *.

0

f

11

ıt

.

2

y

:

e

d.

d

2-

S,

a

of

d

d

et

1-

se!

7 .

ce

aft

THE

* Sometimes an Event may not, in itself, feem to be contrary to the Laws of Nature, and yet, if it were real, it might, by reafon of some Circumstances, be denominated a Miracle, because, in Fast, it is contrary to these Laws. Thus if a Person, claiming a divine Authority, should command a fick Person to be well, a healthful Man to fall down dead, the Clouds to pour Rain, the Winds to blow, in short, should order many natural Events, which immediately follow upon his Command; these might justly be efteem'd Miracles, because they are really, in this Case, contrary to the Laws of Nature. For if any Suspicion remain, that the Event and Command concurr'd by Aceident, there is no Miracle and no Transgression of the Laws of Nature. If this Suspicion be remov'd, there is evidently a Miracle, and a Transgression of these Laws; because nothing can be more contrary to Nature than that the Voice or Command of a Man should have fuch an Influence. A Miracle may be accurately defin'd, a Transgression of a Law of Nature by a particular Volition of the Deity, or by the Interposal of some invisible Agent. A Miracle may either be discoverable by Men or not. This alters not its Nature and Essence. The raising of a House or Ship into the Air is a vifible Miracle. The raifing of a Feather, when the Wind wants ever so little of a Force requisite for that Purpose, is as real a Miracle, tho' not so sensible with regard to us,

THE plain Consequence is (and 'tis a general Maxim worthy of our Attention) "That no Testimony is " fufficient to establish a Miracle, unless the Testi-" mony be of fuch a Kind, that its Falshood would be " more miraculous, than the Fact, which it endea-" vours to establish: And even in that Case, there is " a mutual Destruction of Arguments, and the Superior only gives us an Assurance suitable to that De-" gree of Force, which remains, after deducting the "Inferior." When any one tells me, that he faw a dead Man reftor'd to Life, I immediately confider with myself, whether it be more probable, that this Person should either deceive or be deceiv'd, or that the Fact he relates should really have happen'd. I weigh the one Miracle against the other, and according to the Superiority, which I discover, I pronounce my Decision, and always reject the greater Miracle. If the Falshood of his Testimony would be more miraculous, than the Event, which he relates; then, and not till then, can he pretend to command my Belief or Opinion, on of men said and shared a swall shads to make a Nacity than that the Voice or Committed of a Man thould have

That effer of aller of Muse by a partialist of the TRAP

and Millinger. The railing of a Hook or Ship into the Air is a vifale Miracig. The railing of a Zeather, wheat the Wind wants over is little of a Powe regulate for that Purpole, is at real a Mi-

only a be deleged by Alco or not. I we sites not its Nature

fach an Influence. A Moule may le courtely defin'd, a

seld, the' not le leadels with regard to us.

any of fi

CALL

the

ma

Fal

dig

gre

the

efta

all such as to in an

of fi

perfo brate unav

to gi

PART II.

in her and an area of the second

Z-

is i-

a-

is

e-

he-

a

ler

his he

gh.

to

ny

If

cu-

nd

lief

TS

ddit

MITIE

In the foregoing Reasoning we have supposed, that the Testimony, upon which a Miracle is sounded, may possibly amount to an entire Proof, and that the Falshood of that Testimony would be a kind of Prodigy. But 'tis easy to shew, that we have been a great deal too liberal in our Concessions, and that there never was a miraculous Event, in any History, establish'd on so full an Evidence.

For first, there is not to be found, in all History, any Miracle attested by a sufficient Number of Men, of such unquestion'd Good-sense, Education, and Learning as to secure us against all Delusion in themselves; of such undoubted Integrity, as to place them beyond all Suspicion of any Design to deceive others; of such Credit and Reputation in the Eyes of Mankind as to have a great deal to lose in case of being detected in any Falshood; and at the same time attesting Facts, perform'd in such a public Manner, and in so celebrated a Part of the World, as to render the Detection unavoidable: All which Circumstances are requisite to give us a full Assurance in the Testimony of Men.

Second-band, or by Relound, and place a Paide and value of others.

SECONDLY. We may observe in human Nature a Principle, which, if strictly examin'd, will be found to diminish extremely the Assurance we might have, from human Testimony, in any Kind of Prodigy. The Maxim, by which we commonly conduct ourselves in our Reasonings, is, that the Objects, of which we have no Experience, refemble those, of which we have; that what we have found to be most usual is always most probable; and that where there is any Opposition of Arguments we ought to give the Preference to fuch of them as are founded on the greatest Number of past Observations. But tho' in proceeding by this Rule, we readily reject any Fact, that is unusual and incredible in an ordinary Degree; yet in advancing farther, the Mind observes not always the fame Rule; but when any Thing is affirm'd utterly abfurd and miraculous, it rather the more readily admits such a Fact, upon account of that very Circumstance, which ought to destroy all its Authority. The Passion of Surprize and Wonder, arising from Miracles, being an agreeable Emotion, gives a fensible Tendency towards the Belief of those Events, from which it is deriv'd. And this goes so far, that even those who cannot enjoy this Pleasure immediately, nor can believe those miraculous Events, of which they are inform'd, yet love to partake of the Satisfaction at Second-hand, or by Rebound, and place a Pride and Delight in exciting the Admiration of others.

of ' Lan ture Spir ther mon Aut imag Nari best ing f no P oper: Man teref and c his I noun Subje it, Pa larity Impu

N

EL little

Credi

WITH

1

n

e

e

13

y

2-

A

1-

19

in

he

ly

d-

n-

he

es,

n-

ich

ofe.

can

are

at

and

TH

WITH what Greediness are the miraculous Accounts of Travellers receiv'd, their Descriptions of Sea and Land-Monsters, their Relations of wonderful Adventures, strange Men, and uncouth Manners? But if the Spirit of Religion join itself to the Love of Wonder, there is an End of common Sense; and human Testimony, in these Circumstances, loses all Pretensions to Authority. A Religionist may be an Enthusiast, and imagine he fees what has no Reality: He may know his Narration to be false, and yet persevere in it, with the best Intentions in the World, for the fake of promoting fo holy a Cause: Or even where this Delusion has no Place, Vanity, excited by fo strong a Temptation, operates on him more powerfully than on the rest of Mankind in any other Circumstances; and Self-Interest with equal Force. His Auditors may not have, and commonly have not sufficient Judgment to canvass his Evidence: What Judgment they have, they renounce by Principle, in these sublime and mysterious Subjects: Or if they were ever so willing to employ it, Passion and a heated Imagination disturb the Regularity of its Operations. Their Credulity increases his Impudence: And his Impudence over-powers their Credulity. porters of it, Aprends the Intelligence.

ELOQUENCE, when in its highest Pitch, leaves little room for Reason or Reslection; but addressing itself entirely to the Fancy or the Assections, capti-

vates

they :

norar

ple h

Peop

noran

with :

ways

we po

where

every

Mann

voluti

ver th

perier

obscu

are in

every

enligh

learn,

in the

penfit

traord

terval

can n

ture,

Clascon and its as a

wates the willing Hearers, and subdues their Under-Randing. Happily, this Pitch it seldom attains. But what a Cicero or a Demosthenes could scarcely operate over a Roman or Athenian Audience, every Capuchin, every itinerant or stationary Teacher can perform over the Generality of Mankind, and in a higher Degree, by touching such gross and vulgar Passions *.

THIRDLY. It forms a very firong Prefumption against all supernatural and miraculous Relations, that they

sag to holy a Caule: Or even where this Debution The many Instances of forg'd Miracles, and Prophecies and Supernatural Events, which, in all Ages, have either been detected by contrary Evidence, or which detect themselves by their Absurdity, mark fufficiently the sfrong Propensity of Mankind to the Extraordinary and the Marvellous, and ought reasonably to beget a Sofpicion against all Relations of this Kind. This is our natural Way of thinking even with regard to the most common and most credible Events. For Instance: There is no Kind of Report, which rifes fo eafily, and spreads so quickly, especially in Country-places and Provincial Towns, as those concerning Marriages; infomuch as two young Persons of equal Condition never fee each other twice, but the whole Neighbourhood immediately join them together. The Pleasure of telling a Piece of News so interesting, of propagating it, and of being the first Reporters of it, spreads the Intelligence. And this is so well known, that no Man of Sense gives attention to these Reports, till he finds them confirm'd by some greater Evidence. Do not the same Passions, and others still stronger, incline the Generality of Mankind to the believing and reporting, with the greatest Vehemence and Affurance, all religious Miracles?

er-

But

ate

in,

er

ee,

2-

hat

ey

and

de-

heir

ind

y to

our

mon d of

ally

ning

tion

me-

e of Re-

wn,

l he

ame

lanence

Villaidilla....

they are always found chiefly to abound amongst ignorant and barbarous Nations; or if a civiliz'd People has ever given Admission to any of them, that People will be found to have receiv'd them from ignorant and barbarous Ancestors, who transmitted them with that inviolable Sanction and Authority, which always attends antient and receiv'd Opinions. When we peruse the first Histories of all Nations, we are ape to imagine ourselves transported into some new World, where the whole Frame of Nature is disjointed, and every Element performs its Operations in a different Manner, from what it does at present. Battles, Revolutions, Pestilences, Famines, and Deaths are never the Effects of those natural Canfes, which we experience. Prodigies, Omens, Oracles, Judgments quite obscure and over-shadow the few natural Events, that are intermingled with them. But as these grow thinner every Page, in Proportion as we advance nearer the enlighten'd Ages of Science and Knowledge, we foon learn, that there is nothing mysterious or supernatural in the Case, but that all proceeds from the usual Propenfity of Mankind towards the Marvellous and Extraordinary, and that the' this Inclination may at Intervals receive a Check from Sense and Learning, it can never be thoroughly extirpated from human Nature, sees good of apolithebal sig-

Delation; while the Wileand Learned are contented,

themselves of the particular Paths, by which it may be

in course, to decide its Ablachty, -without informing

"Tis strange, a judicious Reader is apt to fay, upon the Perusal of these wonderful Historians, that such prodigious Events never happen in our Days. But 'tis nothing strange, I hope, that Men should lye in all Ages. You must furely have feen Instances enow of that Frailty. You have yourfelf heard many fuch prodigious Relations started, which being treated with Scorn by all the Wife and Judicious, have at last been abandon'd, even by the Vulgar. Be affur'd, that those renown'd Lyes, which have spread and flourish'd to fuch a monstrous Height, arose from like Beginnings; but being fown on a more proper Soil, that up at last into Prodigies almost equal to those, which Carents, Cracics, Judg. atlento

tre and over-foadow the few netural livenie, that

'Twas a wife Policy in that cunning Impostor, Alexander, who, tho' now forgotten, was once so famous, to lay the first Scene of his Impostures in Paphlagonia, where, as Lucian tells us, the People were extremely ignorant and flupid, and ready to swallow even the groffest Delusion. People at a Distance, who are weak enough to think the Matter at all worth Enquiry, have no Opportunity of receiving better Information. The Stories come magnify'd to them by a hundred Circumstances. Fools are industrious to propagate the Delufion; while the Wife and Learned are contented, in general, to deride its Absurdity, without informing themselves of the particular Facts, by which it may be diffinctly.

diftind tioned Paphi mong emine engag Aureli militar

THI posture the De nerality Cafe) i mote C in a C most ig the Rep large en Authori Men's I nity to d certain a ally exp But had Philosop immedia

their Sen

distinctly refuted. And thus the Impostor above-mentioned was enabled to proceed, from his ignorant Paphlagonians, to the inlisting of Votaries, even among the Grecian Philosophers, and Men of the most eminent Rank and Distinction in Rome. Nay could engage the Attention of that sage Emperor, Marcus Aurelius; so far as to make him trust the Success of a military Expedition to his delusive Prophecies.

5

f

h

h

n at

ď

no

00

ch

CO

ex-

us,

ia,

ely

the

are

iry,

lred

the

ted.

ning

y be

netly

THE Advantages are so great of starting an Imposture amongst an ignorant People, that even thos the Delufion should be too gross to impose on the Generality of them (which, tho' feldom, is sometimes the Case) it has a much better Chance of succeeding in remote Countries, than if the first Scene had been laid in a City renown'd for Arts and Knowledge. most ignorant and barbarous of these Barbarians carry the Report abroad. None of their Countrymen have large enough Correspondence or sufficient Credit and Authority to contradict and beat down the Delufion. Men's Inclination to the Marvellous has full Opportunity to difplay itself. And thus a Story shall pass for certain at a thousand Miles Distance, which is univerfally exploded in the Place where it was first started. But had Alexander fix'd his Residence at Athens, the Philosophers of that renown'd Mart of Learning, had immediately spread, thro' the whole Roman Empire, heir Sense of the Matter, which, being supported by

fo great Authority, and display'd by all the Force of Reason and Eloquence, had entirely open'd the Eves of Mankind. 'Tis true; Lucian passing by chance thro' Paphlagonia had an Opportunity of performing this good Office. But, the' much to be wish'd, it does not always happen, that every Alexander meets with a Lucian, ready to expose and detect his Impostures * inadcoil eviluable ad or noisil

I MAY add as a fourth Reason, which diminishes the Authority of Prodigies, that there is no Testimony for any, even those which have not-been exprefsly detected, that is not appos'd by an infinite Number of Witnesses; fo that not only the Miracle destroys the Credit of the Testimony, but even the Testimony destroys itself. To make this the better understood, let us consider, that, in Matters of Religion, whatever is different is contrary, and that 'tis

It may here, perhaps, be objected, that I proceed rashly, and form my Notions of Alexander merely from the Account given of him by Lucian, a profes'd Enemy. It were indeed to be wish'd, that some of the Accounts publish'd by his Followers and Accomplices had remain'd. The Opposition and Contrast betwixt the Character and Conduct of the same Man, as drawn by a Friend or an Enemy is as strong, even in common Life, much more in these religious Matters, as that betwirt any two Men is the World, betwixt Alexander and St. Paul, for Instance. See 1 Letter to Gilbert West Eig; on the Conversion and Apostleship St. Paul of the Matter, which, Leing Supported 32

impa

Siam

on ar

prete

ligion

rect S

which

more In del

Credit

establi

ligions

Evider

as opp

of Rea

bomet .

rant the

on the Titus Z

Author

Catholi

ticular .

mony i

Mahomi

tradicte

the Mir

pear ov

different

impossible the Religions of antient Rome, of Turkey, of Siam, and of China should all of them be establish'd on any folid Foundation. Every Miracle, therefore. pretended to have been wrought in any of these Religions (and all of them abound in Miracles) as its direct Scope is to establish the particular System, to which it is attributed; fo it has the same Force, tho' more indirectly, to overthrow every other System. In destroying a Rival-System, it likewise destroys the Credit of those Miracles, on which that System was established; so that all the Prodigies of different Religions are to be regarded as contrary Facts, and the Evidences of these Prodigies, whether weak or strong, as opposite to each other. According to this Method of Reasoning, when we believe any Miracle of Mahomet or any of his Successors, we have for our Warrant the Testimony of a few barbarous Arabians: and on the other fide, we are to regard the Authority of Titus Livius, Platarch, Tacitus, and in short of all the Authors and Witnesses, Grecian, Chinese, and Roman Catholic, who have related any Miracles in their particular Religion; I fay, we are to regard their Testimony in the same Light as if they had mention'd that Mahometan Miracle, and had in express Terms contradicted it, with the same Certainty as they have for the Miracles they relate. This Argument may appear over-subtile and refin'd; but is not in Reality different from the Reasoning of a Judge, who supposes,

of res

it ets

thes efti-

racle the oetter

finite

Re-

rafhly, ecount ed to be vers and

rast berawn by e, much Men in

See a

impol-

poses, that the Credit of two Witnesses, maintaining a Crime against any one, is destroy'd by the Testimony of two others, who affirm him to have been two hundred Leagues distant, at the same Instant when the Crime is said to have been committed.

to elifolist the particular Suffein, to

ONB of the best attested Miracles in all prophane History is that which Tacitus reports of Velpasian, who cur'd a blind Man in Alexandria, by Means of his Spittle, and a lame Man by the mere Touch of his Foot; in Obedience to a Vision of the God, Serapis, who had enjoin'd them to have recourse to the Emperor, for these miraculous and extraordinary Cures. The Story may be feen in that fine Historian *; where every Circumstance seems to add Weight to the Testimony, and might be display'd at large with all the Force of Argument and Eloquence, if any one were now concern'd to enforce the Evidence of that exploded and idolatrous Superstition. The Gravity, Solidity, Age, and Probity of fo great an Emperor, who, thro' the whole Course of his Life, convers'd in a familiar Way with his Friends and Courtiers, and never affected those extraordinary Airs of Divinity, affum'd by Alexander and Demetrius. The Historian, a contemporary Writer, noted for Candour and Veracity, and withal, the greatest and most penetrating Genius, perhaps, of all Antiquity; and so free from

* Hift Lib. 4. Cap. 8. And and most and

Spled

eny even and mony for July Eye-rafter pire, Price que me which lated, suppose

hood.

Cardin Confidinto Spe pass'd into Spe pass'd into Spe had sen Church that had the had Leg; b

it, he fo

a

e

ne

10

is

nis

is,

m-

es.

ere Nithe

ere

ex-

So-

ror,

rs'd

and.

nity,

rian,

Ve-

ating

from

any

even lies under the contrary Imputation, of Atheism and Prophaneness: The Persons, from whose Testimony he related the Miracle, of establish'd Character for Judgment and Veracity, as we may well suppose; Eye-witnesses of the Fact, and confirming their Verdict, after the Flavian Family were despoil'd of the Empire, and could no longer give any Reward, as the Price of a Lye. Utrumque, qui interfuere, nunc quoque memorant, postquam nullum mendacis pretium. To which if we add the public Nature of the Fact, as related, it will appear, that no Evidence can well be suppos'd stronger for so gross and so palpable a Falshood.

Cardinal de Rerz, and which may well deserve our Consideration. When that intriguing Politician sled into Spain, to avoid the Persecution of his Enemies, he pass'd thro' Saragossa, the Capital of Arragon, where he was shewn, in the Cathedral Church, a Man, who had serv'd twenty Years as a Door-keeper of the Church, and was well known to every Body in Town, that had ever paid their Devotions at that Cathedral. He had been seen, for so long a Time, wanting a Leg; but recover'd that Limb by the rubbing of holy Oil upon the Stump; and when the Cardinal examin'd it, he sound it to be a true natural Leg, like the other.

ain'T

This Miracle was vouch'd by all the Canons of the Church; and the whole Company in Town was appealed to for a Confirmation of the Fact; whom the Cardinal found, by their zealous Devotion, to be thorough Believers of the Miracle. Here the Relater was also contemporary to the suppos'd Prodigy, of an incredulous and libertine Character as well as of great Genius, the Miracle of fo fingular a Nature as could scarce admit of a Counterfeit, and the Witnesses very numerous, and all of them, in a Manner, Spectators of the Fact, to which they gave their Testimony. And what adds mightily to the Force of the Evidence, and may double our Surprize on this Occasion, is, that the Cardinal himself, who relates the Story, seems not to give any Credit to it, and consequently cannot be suspected of any Concurrence in the holy Fraud. confider'd juftly, that it was not requifite, in order to reject a Fact of this Nature, to be able accurately to disprove the Testimony, and to trace its Falshood, thro' all the Circumstances of Knavery and Credulity, which produc'd it. He knew, that as this was commonly altogether impossible, at any small Distance of Time and Place; so was it extremely difficult, even where one was immediately prefent, by Reason of the Bigotry, Ignorance, Cunning, and Roguery of a great Part of Mankind. He therefore concluded, like a just Reasoner, that such an Evidence carry'd Fallhood upon the very Face of it, and that a Miracle, broggod it to be a true natural Leg. like the oute.

fupperly

racle lately Tom whose curin Sight ufual extrac diately questi and D eminer this all spers'd learned determ vour th able di we find the Cor oppose i Impossil

Which th

all reaso

ficient R

ie

3ne be

e-

y,

of

as ffes

ec-

ny. nce,

that

not

t be

He

er to

ly to

nood,

ulity,

com-

nce of

even

of the

of a

·luded,

carry'd

Tiracle,

portel

supported by any human Testimony, was more properly a Subject of Derision than of Argument.

THERE furely never was fo great a Number of Miracles ascrib'd to one Person, as those, which were lately faid to have been wrought in France upon the Tomb of Abbe Paris, the famous Jansenist, with whose Sanctity the People were so long deluded. curing of the Sick, giving Hearing to the Deaf, and Sight to the Blind were every where talk'd of, as the usual Effects of that holy Sepulchre. But what is more extraordinary; many of the Miracles were immediately prov'd, upon the Spot, before Judges of unquestion'd Integrity, attested by Witnesses of Credit and Distinction, in a learned Age, and on the most eminent Theatre, that is now in the World. this all; A Relation of them was publish'd, and dispers'd every where; nor were the Jesuits, tho' a learned Body, supported by the civil Magistrate, and determin'd Enemies to those Opinions, in whose Fayour the Miracles were faid to have been wrought, ever able distinctly to refute or detect them. Where shall we find fuch a Number of Circumstances, agreeing to the Corroboration of one Fact ! And what have we to oppose to such a Cloud of Witnesses, but the absolute Impossibility or miraculous Nature of the Events, which they relate ? And this furely, in the Eyes of all reasonable People, will alone be regarded as a sufacient Refutation. I 2

Is

Is the Consequence just; because some human Testimony has the utmost Force and Authority in some Cases, when it relates the Battles of Philippi or Pharsalia, for Instance; that therefore all Kinds of Testimony must, in all Cases, have equal Force and Authority? Suppose the Casarean and Pompeian Factions had, each of them, challeng'd the Victory in these Battles, and the Historians of each Party had uniformly ascrib'd the Advantage to their own Side; how could Mankind, at this Distance, have been able to determine betwixt them? The Contrariety is equally strong betwixt the Miracles related by Herodotus or Plutarch, and those related by Mariana, Bede, or any monkish Historian.

THE Wife lend a very academic Faith to every Report; which favours the Passion of the Reporter, whether it magnifies his Country, his Family, or himself, or in any other Way strikes in with his natural Inclinations and Propensities. But what greater Temptation than to appear a Missionary, a Prophet, an Ambassador from Heaven? Who would not encounter many Dangers and Dissiculties, to attain so sublime a Character? Or is, by the Help of Vanity and a heated Imagination, a Man has first made a Convert of himself, and enter'd seriously into the Delusion; who ever

ever of fo

eft Fl for it greedi

Ho Ages,
How is and has Where tion of Confor when is Princip rather to allow of

Falshood the Time more will Distance

Authoria

most est

ever scruples to make use of pious Frauds, in support of fo holy and meritorious a Caufe?

THE smallest Spark may here kindle into the greatest Flame; because the Materials are always prepar'd The avidum genus auricularum, swallow greedily, without Examination, whatever fooths Superstition, and promotes Wonder.

How many Stories of this Nature have, in all Ages, been detected and exploded in their Infancy? How many more have been celebrated for a Time. and have afterwards funk into Neglect and Oblivion? Where fuch Reports, therefore, fly about, the Solution of the Phænomenon is obvious; and we judge in Conformity to regular Experience and Observation, when we account for it by the known and natural Principles of Credulity and Delufion. And shall we, rather than have Recourse to so natural a Solution, allow of a miraculous Violation of the most known and most establish'd Laws of Nature?

I NEED not mention the Difficulty of detecting a Falshood in any private or even public History, at the Time and Place, where it is faid to happen; much more where the Scene is remov'd to ever so small a Distance. Even a Court of Judicature, with all the Authority, Accuracy, and Judgment, which they can

I 3

employ,

who ever

eated

eme

270

fti-

lu-

ons

efe mi-

le;

ble

ally

10

Re-

whe-

felf.

ncliemp-

Am-

unter

me 2

him-

cmploy,

employ, find themselves often at a loss to distinguish betwixt Truth and Falshood in the most recent Actions. But the Matter never comes to any Issue, if trusted to the common Method of Altercation and Debate and flying Rumours; especially when Men's Passions have taken party on either Side.

In the Infancy of new Religions, the Wife and Learned commonly esteem the Matter too inconsidefable to deferve their Attention or Regard: And when afterwards they would willingly detect the Cheat, in order to undeceive the deluded Multitude, the Seafon is now gone, and the Records and Witnesses, who might clear up the Matter, have perish'd beyond Recovery. I she has a mount of a common and one to met

No Means of Detection remain, but those which must be drawn from the very Testimony itself of the Reporters: And these, tho' always sufficient with the Judicious and Knowing, are commonly too fine to fall under the Comprehension of the Vulgar.

Conformity to regular Experience and Observation.

Upon the whole, then, it appears, that no Testimony for any Kind of Miracle can ever possibly amount to a Probability, much less to a Proof; and that even supposing it amounted to a Proof, 'twould be oppos'd by another Proof, deriv'd from the very Nature of the Fact, which it would endeavour to effablift.

blish to h which fore. have and the c Rem plain Reli there man racle

ftem

fay, 4 tion ? may p ture, tho', Recor guage total that t lively foreign our pr to rece

whence

h

6.

if

e-

13

nd

le.

en

in

on

ho

le-

uch

the

the

to

efti-

and

ould

very

eftablish. blish. 'Tis Experience only, which gives Authority to human Testimony; and 'tis the same Experience, which affures us of the Laws of Nature. When, therefore, these two Kinds of Experience are contrary, we have nothing to do but subtract the one from the other, and embrace an Opinion, either on the one Side or the other, with that Affurance, which arises from the Remainder. But according to the Principle here explain'd, this Subtraction, with regard to all popular Religious, amounts to an entire Annihilation; and therefore we may establish it as a Maxim, that no human Testimony can have such Force as to prove a Miracle, and make it a just Foundation for any such System of Religion *. igave the lead loc instinct

* I beg the Limitation here made may be remark'd, when I fay, that a Miracle can never be prov'd, fo as to be the Foundation of a System of Religion. For I own, that otherwise, there may possibly be Miraeles, or Violations of the usual Course of Nature, of fuch a Kind as to admit of Proof from human Testimony a tho', perhaps, it will be impossible to find any such in all the Records of History. Thus suppose, all Authors, in all Languages, agree, that from the first of January 1600, these was a total Darkness over the whole Earth for eight Days : Suppose that the Tradition of this extraordinary Event, is still ffrong and lively among the People: That all Travellers, who return from foreign Countries, bring us Accounts of the same Tradition, without the least Variation or Contradiction; 'tis evident, that our present Philosophers, instead of doubting of that Fact, ought to receive it for certain, and ought to fearch for the Caufes, whence it might be deriv'd.

thould not local of no refer to Charle, and o thele charles

I AM the better pleas'd with this Method of Reafoning, as I think it may ferve to confound those dangerous

But suppose, that all the Historians, who treat of England, should agree, that on the first of January 1600, Queen Elizabeth died; that both before and after her Death the was feen by her Physicians and the whole Court, as is usual with Persons of her Rank; that her Successor was acknowledg'd and proclaim'd by the Parliament; and that, after having been interr'd a Month, the again appear'd, took Poffession of the Throne, and govern'd England for three Years: I must confess I should be surprized at the Concurrence of fo many odd Circumstances, but should not have the least Inclination to believe so miraculous an Event. I should not doubt of her pretended Death, and of those other public Circumstances, that follow'd it: I should only affert it to have been pretended, and that it neither was, nor possibly could be real. You would in vain object to me the Difficulty, and almost Impossibility of deceiving the World in an Affair of such Confequence; the Wildom and Integrity of that renown'd Queen; with the little or no Advantage she could reap from so poor an Artifice: All this might aftonish me; but I would full reply, that the Knavery and Folly of Men are fuch common Phanomena, that I should rather believe the most extraordinary Events to arife from their Concurrence than admit so single a Violation of the Laws of Nature,

But should this Miracle be ascrib'd to any new System of Religion; Men, in all Ages, have been so much impos'd on by ridiculous Stories of that Kind; that this very Circumstance would be a full Proof of a Cheat, and sufficient, with all Men of Sense, not only to make them reject the Fact, but even reject it without farther

Pri is Me by evid Scri Fiel Pen tend

mer

first

and

farther aferible count us to I than in the fervations of their are months in the minish make to

it, wit

Religion, who have undertaken to defend it by the Principles of human Reason. Our most holy Religion is founded on Faith, not on Reason; and 'tis a sure Method of exposing it to put it to such a Trial as it is, by no Means, sitted to endure. To make this more evident, let us examine those Miracles, related in Scripture; and not to lose ourselves in too wide a Field, let us confine ourselves to such as we find in the Pentateuch, which we shall examine, as these pretended Christians would have us, not as the Word or Testimony of God himself, but as the Production of a mere human Writer and Historian. Here then we are first to consider a Book, presented to us by a barbarous and ignorant People, wrote in an Age when they were

fil

farther Examination. Tho' the Being; to whom the Miracle is ascrib'd, be, in this Case, Almighty, it does not, upon that Account, become a whit more probable; fince 'tis impossible for us to know the Attributes or Actions of such a Being, otherwise than from the Experience, which we have, of his Productions, in the usual Course of Nature. This still reduces us to past Observation, and obliges us to compare the Instances of the Violations of Truth in the Testimony of Men with those of the Violations of Truth in the Testimony of Men with those of the Violation of the Laws of Nature by Miracles, in order to judge which of them is most likely and probable. As the Violations of Truth are more common in the Testimony concerning religious Miracles than in that concerning any other Matter of Fact; this must diminish very much the Authority of the former Testimony, and make us form a general Resolution never to lend any Attention to it, with whatever specious Pretext it may be cover'd,

18

el

phe

He

first

cap

one

its 1

to it

Perf

derf

lieve

peri

still more barbarous, and in all Probability long after the Facts it relates; corroborated by no concurring Testimony, and resembling those fabulous Accounts, which every Nation gives of its Origin. Upon reading this Book, we find it full of Prodigies and Mi-It gives an Account of a State of the World racles. and of human Nature entirely different from the prefent: Of our Fall from that State: Of the Age of Man, extended to near a thousand Years: Of the Destruction of the World by a Deluge: Of the arbitrary Choice of one People, as the Favourites of Heaven: and that People, the Countrymen of the Author: Of their Deliverance from Bondage by Prodigies the most aftonishing imaginable: I desire any one to lay his Hand upon his Heart, and after ferious Confideration declare, whether he thinks, that the Falshood of such a Book, supported by such a Testimony, would be more extraordinary and miraculous than all the Mifacles it relates; which is, however, necessary to make it be receiv'd, according to the Measures of

What we have faid of Miracles may be apply'd, without any Variation, to Prophecies; and indeed, all Prophecies are real Miracles, and as such only, can be admitted as Proofs of any Revelation. If it did not exceed the Capacity of human Nature to foretell future Events, 'twould be absurd to employ any Prophecy

T

,

1

f

f

h he i-

of

d, all an lid ell cy phecy as a Proof of a divine Mission or Authority from Heaven. So that, upon the whole, we may conclude, that the Christian Religion, not only was at first attended with Miracles, but even at this Day cannot be believ'd by any reasonable Person without one. Mere Reason is insufficient to convince us of its Veracity: And whoever is mov'd by Faith to assent to it, is conscious of a continued Miracle in his own Person, which subverts all the Principles of his Understanding, and gives him a Determination to believe what is most contrary to Custom and Experience.

I 6

ESSAY

are exceeded with deliracies, but even at this Day outce to believe the pay reasonable Ferion without or alter Acasen is indissolvent to convince us of the Verious. And will over it more it but which to estimate

the requires And who over is more they read to address
to the is evaluated to a continued left acts in later were
less on, which fabrers all the Principles of his Un-

derivative, and gives him a Determination to be-

eithe.

I

adv

app fom thro Mer

ther

fing

quir Sow men

an A

THE SHAPE BETTER THE PARTY OF T

MASSE A SECRETARY A CONTRACTOR OF SECRETARY

the state of the s

Same a survey of the same of

How Layley States to Land to Late of the

was rever emarple, even so its most extravegant Prin-

ESSAY XI.

to much infelted. Moreoverity is a soften to so ud-

vane'd Acet in Peace and Timeca

Death of Secreta, which the III out proceeded pints

Of the PRACTICAL CONSEQUENCES of NATURAL RELIGION.

I WAS lately engag'd in Conversation with a Friend, who loves sceptical Paradoxes, where, tho' he advanc'd many Principles, which I can by no means approve of, yet as they seem to be curious, and bear some relation to the Chain of Reasoning carry'd on thro' these Essays, I shall here copy them from my Memory as accurately as I can, in order to submit them to the Judgment of the Reader.

Our Conversation began with my admiring the fingular good Fortune of Philosophy, which, as it requires entire Liberty, above all other Privileges, and sourishes chiefly from the free Opposition of Sentiments and Argumentation, receiv'd its first Birth in an Age and Country of Freedom and Toleration, and

o water of the free as the Corolar Good-

was never cramp'd, even in its most extravagant Principles, by any Creeds, Confessions, or penal Statutes. For except the Banishment of Protagoras, and the Death of Socrates, which last Event proceeded partly from other Motives, there are scarce any Instances to be met with, in antient History, of this bigotted Jealoufy and Perfecution, with which the prefent Age is so much infested. Epicurus liv'd at Aibens to an advanc'd Age, in Peace and Tranquility : Epicureans were even admitted to receive the facerdotal Character, and to officiate at the Altar, in the most sacred Rites of their Religion: And the public Encouragement + of Pensions and Salaries was afforded equally, by the wifest of all the Roman Emperors ‡, to the Professors of every Sect of Philosophy. How requifite fuch kind of Treatment was to Philosophy, in its first Origin, will easily be conceiv'd, if we reflect, that even at prefent, when it may be suppos'd more hardy and robust, it bears with much Difficulty the Inclemency of the Seasons, and those harsh Winds of Calumny and Persecution, which blow upon it.

You admire, fays my Friend, as the singular Good-Fortune of Philosophy, what seems to result from the natural Course of things, and to be unavoidable in every Age and Nation. This pertinacious Bigotry, of which you complain, as so fatal to Philosophy, is

really

fli

his

an

of

Di

in

ing

mo

pos

Sto

tha

Ala

nev

feen

hav

peri

kine

Lea

gar

·In

tirely

wife

of Pl

ing a

and a

fach

ne

Luciani evar: i, haridat 1 Id. surenes.

Practical Consequences of Natural Religion. 207 really her Offspring, who after allying with Superstition, separates himself intirely from the Interest of his Parent, and becomes her most inveterate Enemy and Perfecutor. Speculative Dogmas and Principles of Religion, the present Occasions of such furious Dispute, could not possibly be conceiv'd or admitted in the early Ages of the World; when Mankind, being wholly illiterate, form'd an Idea of Religion. more fuitable to their weak Apprehension, and compos'd their facred Tenets chiefly of fuch Tales and Stories as were the Objects of traditional Belief, more than of Argument or Disputation. After the first Alarm, therefore, was over, which arose from the new Paradoxes and Principles of the Philosophers; they feem, ever after, during the Ages of Antiquity, to have liv'd in great Harmony with the establish'd Superstitions, and to have made a fair Partition of Mankind betwixt them; the former claiming all the Learned and the Wife, and latter possessing all the Vulgar and Illiterate.

8

e

ė

E

n.

18

3

ý

IT feems then, fays I, that you leave Politics entirely out of the Question; and never suppose, that a wife Magistrate can justly be jealous of certain Tenets of Philosophy, such as those of Epicurus, which denying a divine Existence, and consequently a Providence and a future State, seem to loosen, in a great Measure,

Mild for the Arbenian People, and thall give you fuch

the Ties of Morality, and may be suppos'd, for that Reason, pernicious to the Peace of civil Society.

I know, reply'd he, that in Fact these Persecutions never, in any Age, proceeded from calm Reafon, or any Experience of the pernicious Confequences of Philosophy; but arose entirely from Passion and But what if I should advance farther, and affert, that if Epicurus had Been accus'd before the People, by any of the Sycopbants or Informers of those Days, he could eafily have defended his Cause, and prov'd his Principles of Philosophy to be as falutary as those of his Adversaries, who endeavour'd, with such Zeal, to fubject him, to the public Hatred and Jea-Toufy?

I wish, fays I, you would try your Eloquence upon so extraordinary a Topic, and make a Speech for Epicuras, which might fatisfy, not the Mob of Athens, if you will allow that antient and polite City to have contain'd any Mob, but the more philosophical Part of his Audience, such as might be suppos'd capable of comprehending his Arguments...

THE Matter would not be difficult, upon such Conditions, reply'd he: And if you please, I shall Suppose myself Epicurus for a Moment, and make you fixed for the Athenian People, and shall give you such and Adv

fitio

Affe myfe reaso Deli Quei mon lative haps, milia me-1 here of W Rions **fuade** Peace you v

to exa

but, a Philos Practical Confequences of Natural Religion. 209 an Harangue as will fill all the Urn with white Beans, and leave not a black one to gratify the Malice of my Adversaries.

VERY well: Pray proceed upon these Suppositions.

I COME hither, O ye Athenians, to justify in your Assembly what I maintained in my School, and find myself impeach'd by furious Antagonists, instead of reasoning with calm and dispassionate Enquirers. Your Deliberations, which of right should be directed to Questions of public Good and the Interest of the Commonwealth, are diverted to the Disquisitions of speculative Philosophy; and these magnificent, but, perhaps, fruitless Enquiries, take place of your more familiar but more useful Occupations. But so far as in me lies, I will prevent this Abuse. We shall not here dispute concerning the Origin and Government of Worlds. We shall only enquire how far such Questions concern the public Interest. And if I can perfuade you, that they are entirely indifferent to the Peace of Society and Security of Government, I hope you will prefently fend us back to our Schools, there to examine at leifure the Question the most sublime, but, at the fame time, the most speculative, of all Philosophy.

ŧ

f

h

11

h.

n

Your religious Philosophers, not satisfy'd with the Tradition of your Forefathers, and Doctrines of your Priests (in which I willingly acquiesce) indulge a rash Curiofity, in trying how far they can establish Religion upon the Principles of Reason; and they thereby excite, instead of satisfying the Doubts, which naturally arise from a diligent and scrutinous Enquiry. They paint, in the most magnificent Colours, the Order, Beauty, and wife Arrangement of the Universe; and then alk, if fuch a glorious Display of Intelligence and Wildom could proceed from the fortuitous Concourfe of Atoms, or if Chance could produce what the highest Genius can never sufficiently admire. I shall not examine the Juftness of this Argument. I shall allow it to be as folid as my Antagonists and Accusers can defire. "Tis fufficient, if I can prove, from this very Reasoning, that the Question is entirely speculative, and that when, in my philosophical Disquisitions, I deny a Providence and a future State, I undermine not the Foundations of Society and Government, but advance Principles, which they themselves, upon their own Topics, if they argue confifently, must allow to be folid and fatisfactory. William & has yminos to shall

You then, who are my Accusers, have acknowledged, that the chief or sole Argument for a divine Existence (which I never question'd) is deriv'd from the Order of Nature; where there appears such Marks of I gand and is ar infe hav If y you the non

ceffi

feet, can lities Effer may Wei Read fign we re Qual But capal dulgs pofe

Real

of Intelligence and Defign, that you think it extravagant to affign for its Cause, either Chance, or the blind and unguided Force of Matter. You allow, that this is an Argument, drawn from Effects to Causes. You infer, from the Order of the Work, that there must have been Project and Forethought in the Workman. If you cannot make out this Point, you allow, that your Conclusion fails; and you pretend not to establish the Conclusion in a greater Latitude than the Phænomena of Nature will justify. These are your Concessions. I desire you to mark the Consequences.

d

d

e

1-

ot

W

n

y

e.

I

nė

ut

eir

to

W-

ine

om

rks

of

Flattery

Marble than in Colonya. The Tuents and Taile di. WHEN we infer any particular Cause from an Effect, we must proportion the one to the other, and can never be allow'd to ascribe to the Cause any Qualities, but what are exactly fufficient to produce the Effect, A Body of ten Ounces rais'd in any Scale may ferve as a Proof, that the counter-ballancing Weight exceeds ten Ounces; but can never afford a Reason, that it exceeds a hundred. If the Cause, asfign'd for any Effect, be not sufficient to produce it, we must either reject that Cause, or add to it such Qualities as will give it a just Proportion to the Effect. But if we ascribe to it farther Qualities, or affirm it capable of producing other Effects, we can only indulge the Licence of Conjecture, and arbitrarily suppose the Existence of Qualities and Energies, without Reason or Authority. Partition of air of THE

F

fo

pr

is

be

mo

EA

do

as of

Th

fro

oth

far

and

ude

a C

Off

fibl

per

fo f

perl

Buah

THE same Rule holds, whether the Cause assign'd be brute unconscious Matter or a rational intelligent Be-If the Cause be known only by the Effect, we never ought to assign to it any Qualities, beyond what are precisely requisite to produce the Effect; nor can we, by any Rules of just Reasoning, return back from the Cause, and infer other Effects from it, beyond those by which alone it is known to us. No one, merely from the Sight of one of Zeuxis's Pictures, could know, whether he was also a Statuary or Architect, and was an Artist no less skilful in Stone and Marble than in Colours. The Talents and Tafte difplay'd in the particular Work before us; these we may fafely conclude the Workman was poffes'd of. The Cause must be proportion'd to the Effect: And if we exactly and precifely proportion it, we shall never find in it any Qualities, that point farther, or afford an Inference concerning any other Delign or Performance. Such Qualities must be somewhat beyond what is merely requisite to produce the Effect, which we examine or at the cold benef tellered to reasonings

ALLOWING, therefore, the Gods to be the Authors of the Existence or Order of the Universe; it follows, that they possess that precise Degree of Power, Intelligence, and Benevolence, which appear in their Workmanship; but nothing farther can ever be prov'd, except we call in the Assistance of Exaggeration and Flattery

we must gither reject that Caule, or add to it such

Practical Consequences of Natural Religion. 213 Flattery to Supply the Defects of Argument and Reafoning. So far as the Traces of any Attributes, at present, appear, so far may we conclude these Attributes to exist. The Supposition of farther Attributes is mere Hypothesis; much more, the Supposition, that, in distant Periods of Place and Time, there has been, or will be a more magnificent Dilplay of these Attributes, and a Scheme or Order of Administration more fuitable to fuch imaginary Virtues. We can never be allow'd to mount up from the Universe, the Effect, to Jupiter, the Cause; and then descend downwards, to infer any new Effect from that Cause: as if the present Effects alone were not entirely worthy of the glorious Attributes we ascribe to that Deity: The Knowledge of the Caufe being deriv'd folely from the Effect, they must be exactly adjusted to each other, and the one can never point towards any thing farther, or be the Foundation of any new Inference and Conclusion.

You find certain Phænomena in Nature. You feek a Caufe or Author. You imagine you have found him. You afterwards become so enamour'd of this Offspring of your Brain, that you imagine it impossible but he must produce something greater and more perfect than the present Scene of Things, which is so fall of Ill and Disorder. You forget, that this superlative Intelligence and Benevolence is entirely imaginary,

edmined from the cas Councils of the Gods, who

t.

.

t

r

ı,

ď

Y

ginary, or at leaft, without any Foundation in Reafon, and that you have no ground to ascribe to him any Qualities, but what you see he has actually exerted and display'd in his Productions. Let your Gods, therefore, O Philosophers, be suited to the present Appearances of Nature: And presume not to alter these Appearances by arbitrary Suppositions, in order to suit them to the Attributes, which you so fondly ascribe to your Deities.

never be all said to see as en from the

WHEN Priests and Poets, supported by your Authority, O Athenians, talk of a Golden or a Silver Age, which preceded the present Scene of Vice and Mifery, I hear them with Attention and with Reverence. But when Philosophers, who pretend to neglect Authority, and to cultivate Reafon, hold the same Discourse, I own, I pay them not the same obfequious Submission and pious Deference. I ask; Who carry'd them into the celestial Regions, who admitted them into the Councils of the Gods, who open'd to them the Book of Fate, that they thus raftly affirm their Deities have executed, or will exsecute, any Purpole, beyond what thas actually appear'd? If they tell me, that they have mounted on the Steps or Scale of Reason, and by drawing Inferences from Effects to Caufes, I still infult, that they have aided the Scale of Reason by the Wings of Imagination : otherwise they could not thus change their Manner ginaria .

fects than fuch they

found

Mani

H ill A the G that I abour Matte Laws contr oblig Creat tribut grante Suppo haps, nome for gr but w your] politic tirely

no Tr

1.

any Perfection or any Attribute, but what can be

found in the prefent World.

.

é

)-

;

10

us

X-

p-

on

fe-

rey

ne-

deil

ner

HENCE all the fruitless Industry to account for the ill Appearances of Nature, and fave the Honour of the Gods; while we must acknowledge the Reality of that Evil and Disorder, with which the World so much abounds. The obstinate and intractable Qualities of Matter, we are told, or the Observance of general Laws, or some such Reason is the sole Cause, which controul'd the Power and Benevolence of Jupiter, and oblig'd him to create Mankind and every fenfible -Creature fo imperfect and fo unhappy. These Attributes, then, are, it feems, beforehand, taken for granted, in their greatest Latitude. And upon that Supposition, I own, that such Conjectures may, perhaps, be admitted as plaufible Solutions of the Phæ-But still I ask; Why take these Attributes for granted, or why ascribe to the Cause any Qualities but what actually appear in the Effect? Why torture your Brain to justify the Course of Nature upon Suppolitions, which, for aught you know, may be entirely imaginary, and of which there are to be found no Traces in the Course of Nature? THE

Lafricacco, and a velocital THE religious Hypothesis, therefore, must be confider'd only as a particular Method of accounting for the visible Phænomena of the Universe: But no just Reasoner will ever presume to infer from it any fingle Fact, and alter or add to these Phænomena, in any fingle Particular. If you think, that the Appearances of Things prove fuch Causes, 'tis allowable for you to draw an Inference concerning their Existence. In fuch complicated and fublime Subjects, every one should be indulged in the Liberty of Conjecture and Argument. But here you ought to reft. If you come backward, and arguing from your infer'd Causes, conclude, that any other Fact has existed, or will exist, in the Course of Nature, which may serve for a fuller Difplay of particular Attributes; I must admonish you, that you have departed from the Method of Reasoning; attach'd to the present Subject, and must certainly have added fomething to the Attributes of the Caufe, beyond what appears in the Effect; otherwise you could never, with tolerable Sense or Propriety, add any thing to the Effect, which might render it more worthy of the Caufe. WAV ; als I line and or why ald ibe to the Can's any Chalifies

WHERE, then, is the Odiousness of that Doctrine. which I teach in my School, or rather, which I examine in my Gardens? Or what do you find in this whole Question, wherein the Security of good Morals. 312

rals COD

I ven ven Dif nou fure lies ackr Virt Vice from the ! chief Sour lance Life every what tions Difpo Defig fition

fery, in Lif well a

Practical Consequences of Natural Religion. 217
rals, or the Peace and Order of Society is in the leaft
concern'd?

I DENY a Providence, you fay, and supreme Governor of the World, who guides the Course of Events, and punishes the Vicious with Infamy, and Disappointment, and rewards the Virtuous with Honour and Success, in all their Undertakings. But furely, I deny not the Course itself of Events, which lies open to every one's Enquiry and Examination. I acknowledge, that, in the prefent Order of Things. Virtue is attended with more Peace of Mind than Vice; and meets with a more favourable Reception from the World. I am fensible, that, according to the past Experience of Mankind, Friendship is the chief Joy of human Life, and Moderation the only Source of Tranquillity and Happiness. I never balance betwixt the virtuous and the vicious Course of Life; but am fenfible, that, to a well-dispos'd Mind, every Advantage is on the Side of the former: And what can you fay more, allowing all your Suppositions and Reasonings? You indeed tell me, that this Difposition of Things proceeds from Intelligence and Defign. But whatever it proceeds from, the Dispofition itself, on which depends our Happinels or Mifery, and confequently our Conduct and Deportment in Life, is still the fame. 'Tis still open for me, as well as you, to regulate my Behaviour, by my past ly to introduce sac Picce, and give it more

e

d

e

.

n

1

١,

y

e,

ld.

re

0,

X-

nis

ls.

53000

Experience of Events. And if you affirm, that, while a divine Providence is allow'd, and a supreme distributive Justice in the Universe, I ought to expect fome more particular Favour of the Good, and Punishment of the Bad, beyond the ordinary Course of Events; I here find the fame Fallacy, which I have before endeavour'd to detect. You perfift in imagining, that, if we grant that divine Existence, for which you so earnestly contend, you may safely infer Consequences from it, and add something to the experienc'd Order of Nature, by arguing from the Attributes, which you afcribe to your Gods. You feem not to remember, that all your Reasonings on this Subject can only be drawn from Effects to Causes; and that every Argument, deduc'd from Causes to Effects, must of Necessity be a gross Sophysm; since it is impossible for you to know any thing of the Cause, but what you have antecedently, not infer'd, but discover'd to the full, in the Effect.

But what must a Philosopher judge of those vain Reasoners, who, instead of regarding the present Life and the present Scene of Things, as the sole Object of their Contemplation, so far reverse the whole Course of Nature, as to render it merely a Passage to something farther; a Porch, which leads to a greater, and vaftly different Building; a Prologue, which ferves merely to introduce the Piece, and give it more Grace

fuch From if t be e poffil exer which will and ! any

> A Work that, you : then hold fayin itfelf that

tent,

itfelf.

Salara

कारत न

1

but f

fatist

Practical Consequences of Natural Religion. 219 Grace and Propriety? Whence, do you think, can fuch Philosophers derive their Idea of the Gods? From their own Conceit and Imagination furely. For if they deriv'd it from the present Phænomena, it would never point to any thing farther, but must be exactly adjusted to them. That the Divinity may possibly possess Attributes, which we have never seen exerted; may be govern'd by Principles of Action. which we cannot discover to be satisfy'd: All this will freely be allow'd. But still this is mere Possibility and Hypothesis. We never can have Reason to infer any Attributes, or any Principles of Action in him, but so far as we know them to have been exerted and fatisfy'd. is to be a necessary about it is

Are there any Marks of a distributive Justice in the World? If you answer in the Affirmative, I conclude, that, fince Justice here exerts itself, it is fatisfy'd. If you reply in the Negative, I conclude, that you have then no Reason to ascribe Justice to the Gods. If you hold a Medium betwixt Affirmation and Negation, by faying, that the Justice of the Gods, at prefent, exerts itself in Part, but not in its full Extent; I answer, that you have no Reason to give it any particular Extent, but only fo far as you fee it, at prefent, exert sittelf, ashard, and son flatgar poy tast forgarall

.

n fe

of fe

e-

er,

ch ore ce well Holior standard now a look of the boards how

Demogrates of old ; and as you same pleas'd to make

THUS I bring the Dispute, O Athenians, to a short Iffue with my Antagonists. The Course of Nature lies open to my Contemplation as well as theirs. The experienc'd Train of Events is the great Standard, by which we all regulate our Conduct. Nothing elfe can be appeal'd to, in the Field, or in the Senate. Nothing elie ought ever to be heard of, in the School, or in the Closet. In vain, would our limited Understandings break thro' these Bounds, which are too narrow for our fond Imagination. While we argue from the Course of Nature, and infer a particular intelligent Cause, which first bestow'd, and still preferves Order in the Universe, we embrace a Principle. which is both uncertain and useless. 'Tis uncertain; because the Subject lies entirely beyond the Reach of human Experience. 'Tis useless; because our Knowledge of this Cause being deriv'd entirely from the Course of Nature, we can never, according to any Rules of just Reasoning, return back from the Cause with any new Inferences, or making Additions to the common and experienc'd Course of Nature, establish any new Principles of Conduct and Behaviour.

I OBSERVE, (says I, sinding he had sinish'd his Harangue) that you neglect not the Artifice of the Demagogues of old; and as you was pleas'd to make me stand for the People, you infinuate yourself into

my

you

tac

ind

luc

Fad

ver

Re

Epi Bui

cou

Wo

Add

ing

you

Foo

that

othe

Inur

to ac

you

from

thing

my Favour, by embracing those Principles, to which, you know, I have always express'd a particular Attachment. But allowing you to make Experience (as indeed I think you ought) the only Standard of your Judgment concerning this, and all other Questions of Fact; I doubt not but it may be possible, from the very same Experience you appeal to, to resute this Reasoning, which you have put into the Mouth of Epicurus. If you saw, for Instance, a half-sinish'd Building, surrounded with Heaps of Bricks and Stones and Mortar, and all the Instruments of Masonry; could you not inser from the Effect, that it was a Work of Design and Contrivance? And could you not return again, from this inser'd Cause, to inser new

Additions to the Effect, and conclude, that the Building would foon be finish'd, and receive all the farther Improvements, which Art could bestow upon it? If you saw, upon the Sea-shore, the Print of one human Foot, you would conclude, that a Man had pass'd that Way, and that he had also left the Traces of the

e

÷

he

sh

he

ke

ato

my

other Foot, tho' effac'd by the rolling of the Sands or Inundation of the Waters. Why then do you refuse to admit the same Method of Reasoning with regard to the Order of Nature? Consider the World and the

present Life only as an imperfect Building, from which you can infer a superior Intelligence; and arguing from that superior Intelligence, which can leave no-

thing imperfect; why may you not infer a more fi-

nish'd Scheme or Plan, which will receive its Completion in some distant Period of Space or Time? Are not these Methods of Reasoning exactly parallel? And under what Pretext, can you embrace the one, while you reject the other?

a ed vem ti sed ton sdeeb, I a flet

THE infinite Difference of the Subjects, reply'd he, is a sufficient Foundation for this Difference in my Arguments and Conclusions. In Works of buman Art and Contrivance, 'tis allowable to advance from the Effect, to the Cause, and returning back from the Cause, form new Inferences concerning the Effect, and examine the Alterations, which it has probably undergone, or may still undergo. But what is the Foundation of this Method of Reasoning ? Plainly this; that Man is a Being, whom we know by Experience, whose Motives and Designs we are acquainted with, and whose Projects and Inclinations have a certain Connexion and Coherence, according to the Laws, which Nature has establish'd for the Government of fuch a Creature. When, therefore, we find, that any Work has proceeded from the Skill and Industry of Man; as we are otherwise acquainted with the Nature of the Animal; we can draw a hundred Inferences concerning what may be expected from him; and these Inferences will all be founded on Experience and Observation. But did we know Man only from the fingle Work or Production, which we examine, 'twere

'twe cause afcri Prod thing rence prov Figu the F other Foot Tim Effec Cauf not . Reaf other ufual

> TI the V only Univ Genu lities,

lity i

mal,

be co

Practical Confequences of Natural Religion. 223 'twere impossible for us to argue in this Manner; because our Knowledge of all the Qualities, which we ascribe to him, being in that Case deriv'd from the Production, 'tis impossible they could point to any thing farther, or be the Foundation of any new Inferences. The Print of a Foot in the Sand can only prove, when confider'd alone, that there was fome Figure adapted to it, by which it was produc'd: But the Print of a human Foot proves likewise, from our other Experience, that there was probably another Foot, which also left its Impression, tho' esfac'd by Time or other Accidents. Here we mount from the-Effect to the Cause; and descending again from the Cause, infer Alterations in the Effect; but this is not a Continuation of the same simple Chain of Reasoning. We comprehend in this Case a hundred other Experiences and Observations, concerning the ufual Figure and Members of that Species of Animal, without which this Method of Argument must be confider'd as altogether fallacious and sophistical.

THE Case is not the same with our Reasonings from the Works of Nature. The Deity is known to us only by his Productions, and is a single Being in the Universe, not comprehended under any Species or Genus, from whose experienc'd Attributes or Qualities, we can by Analogy, infer any Attribute or Quality in him. As the Universe shows Wisdom and Goodness, we infer Wisdom and Goodness: As it K 4

e

e

Phone !

shows a particular Degree of these Perfections, we infer a particular Degree of them, precifely adapted to the Effect we examine. But farther Attributes or farther Degrees of the fame Attributes, we can never be authoriz'd to infer or suppose, by any Rules of just Reasoning. Now without some such Licence of Supposition, 'tis impossible for us to argue from the Cause, or infer any Alteration in the Effect, beyond what has immediately fallen under our Observation. Greater Good produc'd by this Being must still prove a greater Degree of Goodness: More impartial Distribution of Rewards and Punishments must proceed from a superior Regard to Justice and Equity. Every suppos'd Addition to the Works of Nature makes an Addition to the Attributes of the Author of Nature; and consequently, being altogether unsupported by any Reafon or Argument, can never be admitted but as mere Conjecture and Hypothesis.

In general, it may, I think, be establish'd as a Maxim, that where any Cause is known only by its particular Effects, it must be impossible to infer any new Effects from that Cause; since the Qualities, which are requisite to produce these new Effects, along with the former, must either be different, or superior, or of more extensive Operation, than those which simply produc'd the Effect, whence alone the Cause

is fi ther of t

and we is in the white brace the coalmon Maxit multiple of the coalmon maximum multiple of the coalmon maximum multiple of the coalmon multiple of the

ation of Effects be the and Exthe fan of Space cannot our Kin Capie I feet;

new or

13

Practical Consequences of Natural Religion. 225 is supposed to be known to us. We can never, therefore, have any Reason to suppose the Existence of these Qualities.

THE great Source of our Mistake in this Subject, and of the unbounded Licence of Conjecture, which we indulge, is, that we tacitly consider ourselves, as in the Place of the supreme Being, and conclude, that he will, on every Occasion, observe the same Conduct, which we ourselves, in his Situation, would have embrac'd as reasonable and eligible. But besides, that the ordinary Course of Nature may convince us, that almost every Thing is regulated by Principles and Maxims very different from ours; besides this, I say, it must evidently appear contrary to all Rules of Analogy to reason from the Intentions and Projects of

1

è

S

y

g

r,

h

[e

15

Men

^{*} To fay that the new Effects proceed only from a Continuation of the same Energy, which is already known from the sire Effects, will not remove the Difficulty. For even granting this to be the Case, (which can seldom be supposed) the very Continuation and Exertion of a like Energy (for 'tis impossible it can be absolutely the same) I say, this Exertion of a like Energy in a different Period of Space and Time is a very arbitrary Supposition, and what there cannot possibly be any Traces of in the Effects, from which all our Knowledge of the Cause is originally deriv'd. Let the infer'd Cause be exactly proportion'd (as it should be) to the known Effect; and 'tis impossible it can posses any Qualities, from which new or different Effects can be infer'd.

Men to those of a Being so different, and so much superior. In human Nature, there is a certain experienc'd Confistency and Coherence of Designs and Inclinations; fo that when, from any Facts, we have discover'd one Aim or Intention of any Man, it may often be reasonable, from Experience, to infer another, and draw a long Chain of Conclusions concerning his past or future Conduct. But this Method of Reasoning never can take place with regard to a Being, so remote and incomprehensible, who bears less Analogy to any other Being in the Universe than the Sun to a waxen Taper, and who discovers himself only by some faint Traces or Outlines, beyond which we have no Authority to ascribe to him any Attribute or Per-What we imagine to be a superior Perfection may really be a Defect. Or were it ever fo much a Perfection, the ascribing it to the supreme Being, where it appears not to have been really exerted, to the full, in his Works, favours more of Flattery and Panegyric, than of just Reasoning and sound Philoso-All the Philosophy, therefore, in the World, and all the Religion, which is nothing but a Species of Philosophy, will never be able to carry us beyond the usual Course of Experience, or give us different Meafures of Conduct and Behaviour, from those which are furnish'd by Reflections on common Life. No new Fact can ever be infer'd from the religious Hypothesis; no Event foreseen or foretold; no Reward or Punish-

you you have no not i fequ fupp Vice appe this I

Its I

the fa

of fuc

Reafo

zens :

Reftra

ment

spect,

me

kn

Ap

fac

Co

Me

ment

ES. S

2 4

Practical Confequences of Natural Religion. 227 ment expected or dreaded, beyond what is already known by Practice and Observation. So that my Apology for Epicurus will still appear folid and fatisfactory; nor have the political Interests of Society any Connexion with the philosophical Disputes concerning Metaphysics and Religion.

THERE is still one Circumstance, reply'd I, which you feem to have overlook'd. Tho' I should allow your Premises, I must still deny your Conclusion. You conclude, that religious Doctrines and Reasonings can have no Influence on Life, because they ought to have no Influence; never confidering, that Men reason not in the same Manner you do, but draw many Confequences from the Belief of a divine Existence, and suppose, that the Deity will inflict Punishments on Vice, and bestow Rewards on Virtue, beyond what appears in the ordinary Course of Nature. Whether this Reasoning of theirs be just or not, is no Matter. Its Influence on their Life and Conduct must still be the same. And those, who attempt to disabuse them of fuch Prejudices, may, for aught I know, be good Reasoners, but I cannot allow them to be good Citizens and Politicians; fince they free Men from one Restraint upon their Passions, and make the Infringement of the Laws of Equity and Society, in one Refpect, more easy and secure. ean unfer the one for me the will

f

e:

-

e

N

9

1-

ıt

K 6

the blees Las mispell

AFTER all, I may, perhaps, agree to your general Conclusion in favour of Liberty, the upon different Premises from those, on which you endeavour to found it. I think the State ought to tolerate every Principle of Philosophy; nor is there an Instance of any Government's suffering in its political Interests by such Indulgence. There is no Enthusiasm among Philosophers; their Doctrines are not very alluring to the People; and no Restraint can be put upon their Reasonings, but what must be of dangerous Consequence to the Sciences, and even to the State, by paving the Way for Persecution and Oppression in Points, wherein the Generality of Mankind are more deeply interested and concern'd.

But there occurs to me, (continu'd I) with regard to your main Topic a Difficulty, which I shall just propose to you, without insisting on it, lest it lead into Reasonings of too nice and delicate a Nature. In a Word, I much doubt, whether it be possible for a Cause to be known only by its Effect (as you have all along suppos'd) or to be of so singular and particular a Nature as to have no Parallel and no Similarity with any other Cause or Object, that has ever fallen under our Observation. 'Tis only when two Species of Objects are found to be constantly conjoin'd, that we can infer the one from the other; and were an Effect presented, which was entirely singular, and could not

be

be

fee

at

fer

we

bot

Re

kn

to

OW

Pri

nist

fee

a D

you to 1

ficu

Effe

infe

lt

o a a ll u

h er or ee et or ee

ESSAY

The first of Code and the Code of the Code totall tar (3 many graveler teleplane) simple to out proper well often by the state of AND AND STREET, AND AND AND STREET COME OF THE COME Estadou and Acade to have beauty the color His to car acceptar that a fall are within being a Sometimes to the state of the contract of A SHEET OF STREET PARK AND CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF to a set of the new terms of the second to be grand and and a day of the barrens of ch All lessomorphisms sits community the city of the common regard to be not tarned to be Art to the Read The last track to the contract of the contract of the to the course of a hierace general manufactural out on tony a provide Austral and Installingshould represent the feet and the feet of th Ali thist or a least of the land of the little in the contract of the land of health, hear my can even reten from the Oute to the Robble and re, baing from our bline of the former, sales tily filled leaving at A let leading the first

reser

fut gio be sha

tha

En Dr

2

na

7

encor Philosophers a the less certain no oca ever mer

ESSAY XII.

sty Suljest, either of Astion or Speculation. beens a weign accord Coefficie. What is not

Of the ACADEMICAL or SCEPTICAL PHILOSOPHY.

Justiful String our box send fale;

PART I.

THERE is not a greater Number of philosophical Reasonings, display'd upon any Subject, than those to prove the Existence of a Deity, and restute the Fallacies of Arbeists; and yet the most religious Philosophers still dispute whether any Man can be so blinded as to be a speculative Atheist. How shall we reconcile these Contradictions? The Knight-Errants, who wander'd about to clear the World of Dragons and Giants, never entertain'd the least Doubt concerning the Existence of these Monsters.

THE Sceptic is another Enemy of Religion, who naturally provokes the Indignation of all Divines and graver

TOWNER.

graver Philosophers; tho' 'tis certain no one ever met with any fuch abfurd Creature, or convers'd with a Man, who had no Opinion or Principle concerning any Subject, either of Action or Speculation. begets a very natural Question; What is meant by a Sceptic? And how far it is possible to push these philosophical Principles of Doubt and Uncertainty?

THERE is a Species of Scepticism, antecedent to all Study and Philosophy, which is much inculcated by Des Cartes and others, as a sovereign Preservative against Error and precipitate Judgment. It recommends an universal Doubt, not only of all our former Opinions and Principles, but also of our very Faculties; of whose Veracity, say they, we must assure ourselves, by a Chain of Reasoning, deduc'd from some original Principle, which cannot possibly be fallacious or deceitful. But neither is there any fuch original Principle, which has a Prerogative above others, that are felf-evident and convincing: Or if there were, could we advance a Step beyond it, but by the Use of those very Faculties, of which we are suppos'd to be already diffident. The Cartefian Doubt, therefore, were it ever possible, to be attain'd by any human Creature (as it plainly is not) would be altogether incurable; and no Reasoning could ever bring us to a State of Affurance and Conviction upon any Subject.

legs somvice its to noperation of the lovery vicinities IT

IT

of Sc

flood

Prepa

a pro

our .

have begin

vanc

our

Conf

both

the c

Trut

our]

T

to S

have

their

any i

of S

ploy

pute

of c

the r

phyf

(if th

It must, however, be confess'd, that this Species of Scepticism, when more moderate, may be understood in a very reasonable Sense, and is a necessary Preparative to the Study of Philosophy, by preserving a proper Impartiality in our Judgments, and weaning our Minds from all those Prejudices, which we may have imbib'd from Education or rash Opinion. To begin with clear and self-evident Principles, to advance by timorous and sure Steps, to review frequently our Conclusions, and examine accurately all their Consequences; tho' by this Means we shall make both a slow and a short Progress in our Systems; is the only Method, by which we can ever hope to reach Truth, and attain a proper Stability and Certainty in our Determinations.

THERE is another Species of Scepticism, consequent to Science and Enquiry; where Men are supposed to have discovered, either the absolute Fallaciousness of their Mental Faculties, or their Unsitness to reach any fixed Determination in all those curious Subjects of Speculation, about which they are commonly employed. Even our very Senses are brought into Dispute by this Species of Philosophers; and the Maxims of common Life are subjected to the same Doubt as the most prosound Principles or Conclusions of Metaphysics and Theology. As these paradoxical Tenets (if they may be called so) are to be met with in some

Philosophers, and the Refutation of them in several, they naturally excite our Curiosity, and make us enquire into the Arguments, on which they may be founded.

I NEED not infift upon the more trite Topics, employ'd by the Sceptics in all Ages, against the Evidence of Sense; such as those deriv'd from the Imperfection and Fallaciousness of our Organs, on numberless Occasions; the crooked Appearance of an Oar in Water; the various Aspects of Objects, according to their different Distances; the double Images, that arise from the pressing one Eye with the Finger; with many other Appearances of a like Nature. These fceptical Topics, indeed, are only sufficient to prove, that the Senses alone are not implicitely to be depended on; but that we must correct their Evidence by Reafon, and by Confiderations, deriv'd from the Nature of the Medium, the Distance of the Object, and the Disposition of the Organ, in order to render them, within their Sphere, the proper Criteria of Truth and Falshood. There are other more profound Arguments against the Senses, which admit not of fo eafy a Solution.

IT seems evident, that Men are carry'd, by a natural Instinct or Prepossession, to repose Faith in their Senses; and that, without any Reasoning, or even almost

externation, but ture we Creation this But Defig

most b

blind:
pose to
the excion,
of the
and w
dent of
to out
flows
It pre
pende

Bu is foo teach but a

witho

perce

tion, but would exist, tho' we and every sensible Creature were absent or annihilated. Even the Animal Creation are govern'd by a like Opinion, and preserve this Belief of external Objects, in all their Thoughts,

Defigns, and Actions.

It feems also evident, that when Men follow this blind and powerful Instinct of Nature, they always suppose the very Images, presented by the Senses, to be the external Objects, and never entertain any Suspicion, that the one are nothing but Representations of the other. This very Table, which we see white, and which we feel hard, is believ'd to exist, independent of our Perception, and to be something external to our Mind, which perceives it. Our Presence bestows not Being on it: Our Absence annihilates it not. It preserves its Existence, uniform and entire, independent of the Situation of intelligent Beings, who perceive or contemplate it.

But this universal and primary Opinion of all Menis soon destroy'd by the slightest Philosophy, which teaches us, that nothing can ever be present to the Mindbut an Image or Perception, and that the Senses are only the Inlets, thro' which these Images are receiv'd, without being ever able to produce any immediate Intercourse Table we see seems to diminish as we remove farther from it: But the real Table, which exists, independent of us, suffers no Alteration: It was, therefore, nothing but its Image, which was present to the Mind-These are the obvious Dictates of Reason; and no Man, who reslects, ever doubted, that the Existences, which we consider, when we say, this House and that Tree, are nothing but Perceptions in the Mind, and steeting Copies or Representations of other Existences, which remain uniform and independent.

So far, then, are we necessitated by Reasoning to depart from, or contradict the primary Instincts of Nature, and embrace a new System with regard to the Evidence of our Senses. But here Philosophy finds itself extremely embarrass'd, when it would justify this new System, and obviate the Cavils and Objections of the Sceptics. It can no longer plead the infallible and irresistible Instinct of Nature: For that led us to a quite different System, which is acknowledg'd fallible and even erroneous. And to justify this pretended philosophical System, by a Chain of clear and convincing Argument, or even any Appearance of Argument, exceeds the Power of all human Capacity.

hand ever able to produce any introvediate lin-

\$19005150

operation of the femblishmin'd of a 1 be entited

presen

reach :

The S

withou

BY

ceptio

jects,

(if tha

Energ

fome

other

ledg'd

not fro

and or

By what Argument can it be prov'd, that the Perceptions of the Mind must be caus'd by external Objects, entirely different from, tho' resembling them (if that be possible) and could not arise either from the Energy of the Mind itself, or from the Suggestion of some invisible and unknown Spirit, or from some other Cause still more unknown to us? 'Tis acknowledg'd, that, in fact, many of these Perceptions arise not from any thing external, as in Dreams, Madness, and other Diseases. And nothing can be more inexplicable than the Manner, in which Body should so operate upon Mind as ever to convey an Image of itself to a Substance supposed of so different, and even contrary a Nature.

'Tis a Question of Fact, whether the Perceptions of the Senses be produc'd by external Objects, resembling them: How shall this Question be determin'd? By Experience surely, as all other Questions of a like Nature. But here Experience is, and must be entirely silent. The Mind has never any thing present to it but the Perceptions, and cannot possibly reach any Experience of their Connexion with Objects. The Supposition of such a Connexion is, therefore, without any Foundation in Reasoning.

f

To have recourse to the Veracity of the supreme Being, in order to prove the Veracity of our Senses, is surely making a very unexpected Circuit. If his Veracity were at all concern'd in this Matter, our Senses would be entirely infallible; because it is not possible he can ever deceive. Not to mention, that if the external World be once call'd in doubt, we shall be at a loss to find Arguments, by which we may prove the Existence of that Being or any of his Attributes.

This therefore is a Topic, in which the profounder and more philosophical Sceptics will always triumph, when they endeavour to introduce an universal Doubt into all Subjects of human Knowledge and Enquiry. Do you follow the Instincts and Propensities of Nature, may they fay, in affenting to the Veracity of Sense? But these lead you to believe, that the very Perception or fensible Image is the external Object. Do you disclaim this, in order to embrace a more rational Principle, that the Perceptions are only Representations of fomething external? You here depart from your natural Propenfities and more obvious Sentiments; and yet are not able to fatisfy your Reason, which can never find any convincing Argument from Experience to prove, that the Perceptions are connected with any external Objects.

THERE

T

ture,

which

dive

Real

or I

Enqu

fuch

mere

felve

If th

it m

mary

latter

the fo

quir'e

all th

Mind

reach

dent d

Quali

but th

lities

amine

and e

gible a tang

-

THERE is another Sceptical Topic of a like Nature, deriv'd from the most profound Philosophy; which might merit our Attention were it requisite to dive so deep, in order to discover Arguments and Reasonings, that can serve so little any serious Purpose 'Tis univerfally allow'd by modern or Intention. Enquirers, that all the fensible Qualities of Objects. fuch as hard, foft, hot, cold, white, black, &c. are merely fecondary, and exist not in the Objects themfelves, but are Perceptions in the Mind, without any external Archetype or Model, which they represent. If this be allow'd, with regard to fecondary Qualities. it must also follow with regard to the suppos'd primary Qualities of Extension and Solidity; nor can the latter be any more entitled to that Denomination than the former. The Idea of Extension is entirely acquir'd from the Senses of Sight and Feeling; and if all the Qualities, perceiv'd by the Senses, be in the Mind, not in the Object, the same Conclusion must reach the Idea of Extension, which is wholly dependent on the sensible Ideas or the Ideas of secondary Qualities. Nothing can fave us from this Conclusion, but the afferting, that the Ideas of those primary Qualities are attain'd by Abstraction; which, if we examine accurately, we shall find to be unintelligible, and even absurd. An Extension, that is neither tangible nor visible, cannot possibly be conceiv'd: and a tangible or visible Extension, which is neither hard

t

)=

u

al

1-

m

1-

n,

m

n-

E

nor foft, black nor white, is equally beyond the Reach of human Conception. Let any Man try to conceive a Triangle in general, which is neither Isoceles, nor Scalenum, nor has any particular Length nor Proportion of Sides; and he will soon perceive the Absurdity of all the scholastic Notions with regard to Absuraction and general Ideas.

Thus the first philosophical Objection to the Evidence of Sense or to the Opinion of external Existence consists in this, that such an Opinion, if rested on natural Instinct, is contrary to Reason, and if refer'd to Reason, is contrary to natural Instinct, and at the same time, carries no rational Evidence with it, to convince an impartial Enquirer. The second Objection goes farther, and represents this Opinion as con-

This Argument is drawn from Dr. Berkeley; and indeed most of the Writings of that ingenious Author form the best Lessons of Scepticism, which are to be found either among the antient or modern Philolophers, Bayle not excepted. He professes, however, in his Title-Page, and undoubtedly, with great Truth, to have compos'd his Book against the Sceptics as well as against the Atheists and Free-Thinkers. But that all his Arguments, tho otherwise intended, are, in reality, merely sceptical, appears evidently from this, that they admit of no Answer and produce to Convision. Their only Essect is to cause that momentary Amazement and Irresolution and Consuston, which is the Result of Scepti clim.

fon, the C

Scept nation quirie jection which

is deriving contains of the jest of full of Dogma rebellion Senfe in lity of are por Metaph

tation.

oun'd stom the Seni

Of the Academical and Sceptical Philosophy. 241 trary to Reason; at least, if it be a Principle of Reason, that all sensible Qualities are in the Mind, not in the Object.

PART II.

Ir may seem a very extravagant Attempt of the Sceptics to destroy Reason by Argument and Ratiocination; yet this is the grand Scope of all their Enquiries and Disputes. They endeavour to find Objections, both to our abstract Reasonings, and to those which regard Matter of Fact and Existence.

e

-

0

to

C-

n-

of

tor

-WC

, to

the

tho'

2 100

A-

efult

rary

The chief Objection against all abstract Reasonings is deriv'd from the Nature of Space and Time, which, in common Life and to a careless View, seem very clear and intelligible, but when they pass thro' the Scrutiny of the prosound Sciences (and they are the chief Object of these Sciences) afford Principles and Notions full of Absurdity and Contradiction. No priestly Dogmas, invented on purpose to tame and subdue the rebellious Reason of Mankind, ever shock'd common Sense more than the Doctrine of the infinite Divisibility of Extension, with all its Consequences; as they are pompously display'd by all Geometricians and Metaphysicians, with a kind of Triumph and Exultation. A real Quantity, infinitely less than any finite

L

Quantity,

Quantity, containing Quantities, infinitely less than itself, and so on, in infinitum; this is an Edifice so bold and prodigious, that it is too weighty for any pretended Demonstration to support, because it shocks the clearest and most natural Principles of human Reason*. But what renders the Matter more extraordinary, is, that these absurd Opinions are supported by a Chain of Reason, the clearest and most natural; nor does it feem possible for us to allow the Premises, without admitting the Confequences. Nothing can be more convincing and fatisfactory than all the Conclusions concerning the Properties of Circles and Triangles; and yet, when these are once receiv'd, how can we deny, that the Angle of Contact betwixt a Circle and its Tangent is infinitely less than any rectilineal Angle, that as you may encrease the Diameter of the Circle in infinitum, this Angle of Contact be-

Whatever Disputes there may be about mathematical Points, we must allow, that there are physical Points; that is, Parts of Extension, which cannot be divided or lessen'd, either by the Eye or Imagination. These Images, then, which are present to the Fancy or Senses, are absolutely indivisible, and consequently must be allow'd by Mathematicians to be infinitely less than any real Part of Extension; and yet nothing appears more certain to Reason, than that an infinite Number of them composes an infinite Extension. How much more an infinite Number of those infinitely small Parts of Extension, which are still suppos'd infinitely divisible?

comes

COL

Co

be

Ta

tion

tha

be

nat die

thre

wh

her

trea

cert

pro

daz

with Obj

7

absti

pabl

finite

ceffic

a C

who

impr

mit (

r

s,

of

ye

he

be

on,

n-

ller

les

THE Absurdity of these bold Determinations of the abstract Sciences becomes, if possible, still more palpable with regard to Time than Extension. An infinite Number of real Parts of Time, passing in Succession, and exhausted one after another, is so evident a Contradiction, that no Man, one should think, whose Judgment is not corrupted, instead of being improved, by the Sciences, would ever be able to admit of it.

YET

YET still Reason must remain restless and unquiet, even with regard to that Scepticism, to which she is Ied by these Absurdities and Contradictions. How any clear, distinct Idea can contain Circumstances, contradictory to itself, or to any other clear, distinct Idea, is absolutely incomprehensible; and is, perhaps, as absurd as any Proposition, which can be form'd. So that nothing can be more sceptical, or more sull of Doubt and Hesitation, than this Scepticism itself, which arises from some of the absurd Conclusions of Geometry or the Science of Quantity *.

THE

* It seems to me not impossible to avoid these Absurdities and Contradictions, if it be admitted, that there is no such Thing as abstract or general Ideas, properly speaking; but that all general Ideas are, in Reality, particular ones, attach'd to a general Term, which recalls, upon Occasion, other particular ones, that resemble, in certain Circumstances, the Idea, present to the Mind. Thus when the Term, Horse, is pronounc'd, we immediately sigure to ourselves the Idea of a black or a white Animal of a particular Size or Figure: But as that Term is also us'd to be apply'd to Animals of other Figures and Sizes, these Ideas, tho' not actually present to the Imagination, are easily recall'd, and our Reasoning and Conclusion proceed in the same Way, as if they were actually present. If this be admitted (as seems reasonable)

it

1.

pop de:

th

Yo

it fo

ticia gefte be in Ideas George Found those, two en this is Imagin fions of have do ther.

themfe

abfurd

Difficu

THE sceptical Objections to moral Evidence or to the Reasonings concerning Matter of Fact are either popular or philosophical. The popular Objections are deriv'd from the natural Weakness of human Understanding; the contradictory Opinions, which have been entertain'd in different Ages and Nations; the Variations of our Judgment in Sickness and Health, Youth and Old-age, Prosperity and Adversity; the perpetual Contradiction of each particular Man's Opi-

it follows that all the Ideas of Quantity, upon which Mathematicians reason, are nothing but particular, and such as are suggested by the Senses and Imagination, and confequently, cannot be infinitely divifible. In general, we may pronounce, that the Ideas of greater, less, or equal, which are the chief Objects of Geometry, are far from being fo exact or determinate as to be the Foundation of such extraordinary Inferences. Ask a Mathematician what he means, when he pronounces two Quantities to be equal, and he must say, that the Idea of Equality is one of those, which cannot be defin'd, and that 'tis sufficient to place two equal Quantities before any one, in order to suggest it. Now this is an Appeal to the general Appearances of Objects to the Imagination or Senfes, and confequently can never afford Conclufions fo directly contrary to these Faculties. 'Tis sufficient to. have dropt this Hint at prefent, without profecuting it any farther. It certainly concerns all Lovers of Science not to expose themselves to the Ridicule and Contempt of the Ignorant by their abfurd Conclusions; and this seems the readiest Solution of these Difficulties.

al

al

at

he

0-

nal

to

10'

and

hey

ole)

it

L 3

nions

nions and Sentiments; with many other Topics of that Kind. 'Tis needless to insist farther on this Head. These Objections are but weak. For as in common Life, we reason every Moment concerning Fact and Existence, and cannot possibly subfist, without continually employing this Species of Argument. any popular Objections, deriv'd from thence, must be infufficient to destroy that Evidence. The great Subverter of Pyrrbonism or the excessive Principles of Scepticism, is Action, and Employment, and the Occupations of common Life. They may flourish and triumph in the Schools; where it is, indeed, difficult, if not impossible to refute them. But as soon as they leave the Shade, and by the Presence of the real Objects, which actuate our Passions and Sentiments, are put in Opposition to the more powerful Principles of our Nature, they vanish, like Smoak, and leave the most determin'd Sceptic in the same Condition as other Mortals.

THE Sceptic, therefore, had better keep in his proper Sphere, and display those philosophical Objections, which arise from more prosound Researches. Here he seems to have ample Matter of Triumph; while he justly insists, that all our Evidence for any Matter of Fact, which lies beyond the Testimony of Sense or Memory, is deriv'd entirely from the Relation of Cause and Effect; that we have no other Idea

of

0

b

11

li

N

bi

't

In

th

Fo

an

an

pla

Be

fro

I

ject can

For

Who

thefe

and

Ptol

Aftro

will .

For here is the chief and most confounding Objection to excessive Scepticism, that no durable Good can ever result from it; while it remains in its sull Force and Vigour. We need only ask such a Sceptic, What his Meaning is? And what he proposes by all these curious Researches? He is immediately at a stand, and knows not what to answer. A Copernican or Ptolemaic, who supports, each his different System of Astronomy, may hope to produce a Conviction, which will remain, constant and durable, with his Audience.

r

S.

1;

ny

of

la-

lea

of

L 4

A

A Stoic or Epicurean displays Principles, which may not only be durable, but which have a mighty Effect on Conduct and Behaviour. But a Pyrrhonian cannot propose, that his Philosophy will have any constant Influence on the Mind: Or if it had, that its Influence would be beneficial to Society. On the contrary, he must acknowledge, if he will acknowledge any thing, that all human Life must immediately perish, were his Principles univerfally and fleadily to prevail. All Discourse, all Action must immediately cease; and Men remain in a total Lethargy, till the Necesfities of Nature, unsatisfy'd, put an end to their miferable Existence. 'Tis true; so fatal an Event is very little to be dreaded. Nature is always too flrong for Principle. And tho' a Pyrrhonian may throw himself or others into a momentary Amazement and Confusion by his profound Reasonings; the first and most trivial Event in Life will immediately put to flight all his Doubts and Scruples, and leave him the fame, in every Point of Action and Speculation, with the Philosophers of every other Sect, or with those who never concern'd themselves with any philosophical Refearches. When he awakes from his Dream, he will be the first to join in the Laugh against himself, and to confess, that all his Objections are mere Amusements, and can have no other Tendency than to show us the whimfical Condition of Mankind, who must

act by cor

to the

aca

and this dift COD Ma mai onl bal tate nor opp ple

fufp

pati

ther

mo

act

concerning the Foundation of these Operations, or to remove the Objections that may be rais'd against them.

PART III.

THERE is, indeed, a more mitigated Scepticism or academical Philosophy, which may be both durable and useful, and which may, in Part, be the Result of this Pyrhonism, or excessive Scepticism, when its undistinguish'd Doubts are, in some measure, corrected by common Sense and Reflection. The greatest Part of Mankind are naturally apt to be affirmative and dogmatical in their Opinions; and while they fee Objects only on one Side, and have no Idea of any counterbalaneing Arguments, they throw themselves precipitately into the Principles, which they are inclin'd to; nor have they any Indulgence for those who entertain To hefitate or balance peropposite Sentiments. plexes their Understanding, checks their Passion, and fuspends their Actions. They are, therefore, impatient till they get out of a State of Mind, which to them is so uneasy; and they think they can never remove themselves far enough from it, by the Violence

of their Affirmations and Obstinacy of their Belief. But could fuch dogmatical Reasoners become sensible of the strange Infirmities of human Understanding even in its most perfect State, and when most exact and cautious in its Determinations; fuch a Reflection would naturally inspire them with more Modesty and Referve, and diminish their fond Opinion of themfelves, and their Prejudice against Antagonists. The Illiterate may reflect on the Disposition of the Learned, who, amidst all the Advantages of Study and Reslection, are commonly still modest and referv'd in their Determinations: And if any of the Learned are inclin'd, from their natural Temper, to Haughtiness and Obstinacy, a small Tincture of Pyrrbonism may abate their Pride, by showing them, that the few Advantages, which they may have attain'd over their Fellows, are but inconfiderable, if compar'd with the univerfal Perplexity and Confusion, which is inherent in human Nature. In general, there is a Degree of Doubt, and Caution, and Modesty, which, in all kinds of Scrutiny and Decision, ought for ever to accompany a just Reasoner.

ANOTHER Species of mitigated Scepticism, which may be of Advantage to Mankind, and which may be the natural Result of the Pyrrbonian Doubts and Scruples, is the Limitation of our Enquiries to such Subjects

nation the to the corras

Sul

not the Do

Po

it. wil flect fuc

thir diz

nar Wh Subjects as are best adapted to the narrow Capacity of human Understanding. The Imagination of Man is naturally fublime, delighted with whatever is remote and extraordinary, and running, without Controul, into the most distant Parts of Space and Time, to avoid the Objects, which Custom has render'd too familiar to it. A correct Judgment observes a contrary Method; and avoiding all distant and high Enquiries. confines itself to common Life, and to such Subjects as fall under daily Practice and Experience; leaving the more sublime Topics to the Embellishment of Poets and Orators, or the Arts of Priests and Politicians. To bring us to fo falutary a Determination, nothing can be more serviceable, than to be once thoroughly convinc'd of the Force of the Pyrrbonian Doubt, and of the Impossibility of any Thing, but the strong Power of natural Instinct, to free us from it. Those, who have a Propensity to Philosophy, will still continue their Researches; because they reflect, that, befide the immediate Pleasure, attending fuch an Occupation, philosophical Decisions are nothing but the Reflections of common Life, methodiz'd and corrected. But they will never be tempted to go beyond common Life, fo long as they confider the Imperfection of those Faculties they employ, their narrow Reach, and their inaccurate Operations. While we cannot give a fatisfactory Reason, why

e

t

f

h

y

ıd

h

we believe, after a thousand Experiments, that a Stone will fall, or Fire burn; can we ever satisfy ourselves concerning any Determinations we may form with regard to the Origin of Worlds, and the Situation of Nature, from, and to Eternity?

This narrow Limitation, indeed, of our Enquiries, is, in every Respect, so reasonable, that it suffices to make the slightest Examination of the natural Powers of the human Mind, and compare them to their Objects, in order to recommend it to us. We shall then find what are the proper Subjects of Science and Enquiry.

It seems to me, that the only Object of the abstract Sciences or of Demonstration is Quantity and
Number, and that all Attempts to extend this more
perfect Species of Knowledge beyond these Bounds
are mere Sophistry and Illusion. As the component
Parts of Quantity and Number are entirely similar,
their Relations become intricate and involv'd; and
nothing can be more curious, as well as useful, than
to trace, by a Variety of Mediums, their Equality or
Inequality, thro' their different Appearances. But
as all other Ideas are clearly distinct and different from
each other, we can never advance farther, by all our
Scrutiny, than to observe this Diversity, and, by an
obvious

obve and cific Me finite to the let Traus of any a V note fam

Nu nou Der

fon:

of !

Factor of I

Pro

obvious Reflection, pronounce one Thing not to be another. Or if there be any Difficulty in these Decisions, it proceeds entirely from the undetermin'd Meaning of Words, which is corrected by juster Definitions. That the Square of the Hypotenuse is equal to the Squares of the other two Sides, cannot be known, let the Terms be ever so exactly defin'd, without a Train of Reasoning and Enquiry. But to convince us of the Truth of this Proposition, that where there is no Property, there can be no Injustice, 'tis only necesfary to define the Terms, and explain Injuffice to be a Violation of Property. This Proposition is, indeed, nothing but a more imperfect Definition. 'Tis the fame Case with all those pretended syllogistical Reafonings, which may be found in every other Branch of Learning, except the Sciences of Quantity and Number; and these may safely, I think, be pronounc'd the only proper Objects of Knowledge and Demonstration.

ALL other Enquiries of Men regard only Matter of Fact and Existence; and these are evidently incapable of Demonstration. Whatever is may not be. No Negation of a Fact can involve a Contradiction. The Non-existence of any Being, without Exception, is as clear and distinct an Idea as its Existence. The Proposition, which affirms it not to be, is no less conceivable

1

n

ceivable and intelligible, than that which affirms it to be. The Case is different with the Sciences, properly so call'd. Every false Proposition is there confus'd and unintelligible. That the Cube Root of 64 is equal to the half of 10, is a false Proposition, and can never be distinctly conceiv'd. But that Cæsar, or the Angel Gabriel, or any Being never existed, may be a false Proposition, but still is perfectly conceivable, and implies no Contradiction.

THE Existence, therefore, of any Being can only be prov'd by Arguments from its Cause or its Effect; and these Arguments are founded entirely on Experience. If we reason a priori, any Thing may appear able to produce any Thing. The Falling of a Peeble may, for aught we know, extinguish the Sun; or the Wish of a Man controul the Planets in their Orbits. 'Tis only Experience, that teaches us the Nature and Bounds of Cause and Effect, and enables us to infer the Existence of one Object from that of another*. Such is the Foundation of moral Reasoning, which forms the greatest Part of human Know-

ledge,

led

Bel

lar

the

nol

Pol wh Spe

1

enc

con

and

dati

rien

and

V

of t

Bea perl

and

Fac

fuch

Enq

That impious Maxim of the antient Philosophy, Ex nibilo, nibil fit, by which the Creation of Matter was excluded, ceases to be a Maxim, according to this Philosophy. Not only the Will of the supreme Being may create Matter; but, for aught we can know a priori, the Will of any other Being might create it, or any other Cause, that the most whimsical Imagination can affign.

Of the Academical or Sceptical Philosophy. 255 ledge, and is the Source of all human Action and Behaviour.

MORAL Reasonings are either concerning particular or general Facts. All Deliberations in Life regard the former; as also all Disquisitions in History, Chronology, Geography, and Astronomy.

THE Sciences, which treat of general Facts, are Politics, natural Philosophy, Physic, Chymistry, &c. where the Qualities, Causes, and Esfects of a whole Species of Objects are enquired into.

DIVINITY or Theology, as it proves the Existence of a Deity, and the Immortality of Souls, is compos'd partly of Reasonings concerning particular, and partly concerning general Facts. It has a Foundation in Reason, so far as it is supported by Experience. But its best and most solid Foundation is Faith and divine Revelation.

a

11

le

es of

n-

V-

ilo,

les

the

we

, or

gn.

ge,

Morals and Criticism are not so properly Objects of the Understanding as of Taste and Sentiment. Beauty, whether moral or natural, is felt, more properly than perceiv'd. Or if we reason concerning it, and endeavour to fix its Standard, we regard a new Fact, viz. the general Taste of Mankind, or some such Fact, which may be the Object of Reasoning and Enquiry.

WHEN

WHEN we run over Libraries, persuaded of these Principles, what Havoc must we make? If we take in hand any Volume; of Divinity or School Metaphysics, for Instance; let us ask, Does it contain any abstract Reasonings concerning Quantity or Number? No. Does it contain any experimental Reasonings concerning Matters of Fact or Existence? No. Commit it then to the Flames: For it can contain nothing but Sophistry and Illusion.

F 1 N 1 8.

was a briefly by Added to the ast accounting the

Englished of the deposit of your state of the state

the to this treets sit it a fact

And in half of each man blild of grands for he fail told

Division of The Story as is grouped than Coul-



ele ake etaany
r ?
onmit
but